#### GENOA CHARTER TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARING OCTOBER 13, 2020 (TUESDAY) 6:30 P.M. AGENDA

#### CALL TO ORDER:

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

#### **APPROVAL OF AGENDA:**

#### **DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST:**

## <u>CALL TO THE PUBLIC: (Note: The Board reserves the right to not begin new business after 10:00 p.m.)</u>

**OPEN PUBLIC HEARING #1**...Review of a rezoning application and impact assessment to rezone approximately 4.34 acres from Office Service District (OSD) to High Density Residential (HDR) for parcel# 11-06-200-101. The parcel in question is located on an undeveloped 4.34 acre site on the north side of Grand River, west of Char Ann Drive. The request is petitioned by Kevin Irish.

- A. Recommendation of Rezoning Application
- B. Recommendation of Environmental Impact Assessment (8-31-2020)

**OPEN PUBLIC HEARING # 2**...Review of a site plan and environmental impact assessment for a proposed parking lot at 1183 Fendt Drive to be used in conjunction with the existing UPS Facility on the west of Fendt Drive. The request is petitioned by Hugo Ceron, SME.

- A Recommendation of Environmental Impact Assessment (9-2-2020)
- B. Disposition of Site Plan (9-23-2020)

#### ADMINISTRATIVE BUSINESS:

- Staff Report
- Approval of September 14, 2020 Planning Commission meeting minutes
- Member discussion
- Adjournment





#### GENOA CHARTER TOWNSHIP Application for Re-Zoning

APPLICANT NAME: Kevin Irish	ADDRESS: 4205 Faussett Rd. Howell, MI 48855
OWNER NAME: Kevin Irish	ADDRESS: 4205 Faussett Rd. Howell, MI 48855
PARCEL #(s): 4711-06-200-101	PRIMARY PHONE: ( 517 ) 404-1252
EMAIL 1: 4klirish@gmail.com	EMAIL 2: brentl@bosseng.com

We, the undersigned, do hereby respectfully make application to and petition the Township Board to amend the Township Zoning Ordinance and change the zoning map of the township of Genoa as hereinafter requested, and in support of this application, the following facts are shown:

#### A. REQUIRED SUBMITTAL INFORMATION

- 1. A legal description and street address of the subject property, together with a map identifying the subject property in relation to surrounding properties;
- 2. The name, signature and address of the owner of the subject property, a statement of the applicant's interest in the subject property if not the owner in fee simple title, and proof of consent from the property owner;
- 3. It is desired and requested that the foregoing property be rezoned from:

Office Service District

\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_ High Density Residential

- 4. A site plan illustrating existing conditions on the site and adjacent properties; such as woodlands, wetlands, soil conditions, steep slope, drainage patterns, views, existing buildings, sight distance limitations, relationship to other developed sites. and access points in the vicinity;
- 5. A conceptual plan demonstrating that the site could be developed with representative uses permitted in the requested zoning district meeting requirements for setbacks, wetland buffers access spacing, any requested service drives and other site design factors;
- 6. A written environmental impact assessment, a map of existing site features as described in Article 18 describing site features and anticipated impacts created by the host of uses permitted in the requested zoning district;
- 7. A written description of how the requested rezoning meets Sec. 22.04 "Criteria for Amendment of the Official Zoning Map."
- 8. The property in question shall be staked prior to the Planning Commission Public Hearing.

## **B. DESCRIBE HOW YOUR REQUESTED RE-ZONING MEETS THE ZONING ORDINANCE CRITERIA FOR AMENDING THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP:**

1. How is the rezoning consistent with the goals, policies and future land use map of the Genoa Township Master Plan, including any subareas or corridor studies. If not consistent, describe how conditions have changed since the Master Plan was adopted?

The zoning districts of this and adjacent parcels in the Future Land Use Map have not changed.

2. Are the site's physical, geological, hydrological and other environmental features suitable for the host of uses permitted in the proposed zoning district?

Yes. Approximately half of the subject site is open meadow with a detention basin already located

in the southeast corner of the site adjacent to Grand River. Higher grades on the north property line

provide a buffer to the adjacent low density residential development to the north.

3. Do you have any evidence that a reasonable return on investment cannot be received by developing the property with one (1) of the uses permitted under the current zoning?

The property has been for sale under the current zoning district for 18 years. No viable project has

come forward.

4. How would all the potential uses allowed in the proposed zoning district be compatible with surrounding uses and zoning in terms of views, noise, air quality, the environment, density, traffic impacts, drainage and potential influence on property values?

There are a mix of surrounding uses and zoning so potential uses for the HDR district would be

compatible. The property has frontage on Grand River Ave and HDR is a less intense use of the

site than the current zoning.

5. Are infrastructure capacity (streets, sanitary sewer, water, and drainage) and services (police and fire protection, etc.) sufficient to accommodate the uses permitted in the requested district?

Infrastructure capacity and services are sufficient - an existing 16" water main runs along the

Grand River ROW on the south edge of the property and an existing 8" sanitary line runs along the length

of the north property line.

6. Is there a demonstrated demand in Genoa Township or the surrounding area for the types of uses permitted in the requested zoning district? If yes, explain how this site is better suited for the zoning than others which may be planned or zoned to accommodate the demand.

Yes, housing is in demand in the Township. This site is better suited for the HDR district because of its

convenient proximity to service and commercial districts in the area for potential users.

7. If you have a particular use in mind, is another zoning district more appropriate? Why should the Township re-zone the land rather than amend the list of uses allowed in another zoning district to accommodate your intended use?

The proposed use is for an apartment community. All uses in OSD are commercial/business related.

8. Describe any deed restrictions which could potentially affect the use of the property.

A 66' wide easement for ingress and egress exists on a portion of the east property line and a

30' wide sanitary sewer easement is located along the length of the north property line.

#### C. AFFIDAVIT

The undersigned says that they are the <u>Owner</u> (owner, lessee, or other specified interest) involved in this petition and that the foregoing answers and statements herein contained and the information herewith submitted are in all respects true and correct to the best of his/her knowledge and belief.

BY: Kevin Irish

ADDRESS: 4205 Faussett Road, Howell, MI 48855

SIGNATURE

The following contact should also receive review letters and correspondence:

Name Jennier M. Austin	Jame.	Jennifer	Μ.	Austin	
------------------------	-------	----------	----	--------	--

Email: jennifera@bosseng.com

Business Affiliation: Boss Engineering

#### FEE EXCEEDANCE AGREEMENT

As stated on the site plan review fee schedule, all site plans are allocated two (2) consultant reviews and one (1) Planning Commission meeting. If additional reviews or meetings are necessary, the applicant will be required to pay the actual incurred costs for the additional reviews. If applicable, additional review fee payment will be required concurrent with submittal to the Township Board. By signing below, applicant indicates agreement and full understanding of this policy.

PROJECT NAME: Irish Grand River Parcel Re-Zoning

PROJECT LOCATON & DESCRIPTION: E. Grand River just west of CharAnn Drive on the north

side of the road	
SIGNATURE: Jurs Shish	DATE: 27, Aug '20
PRINT NAME: KEVIN LIEISH	PHONE: 512.404.1252
COMPANY NAME & ADDRESS: 4205 FALLSSET	- RD, HOLIELL MI 48355



517.546.4836 fax 517.548.1670 www.bosseng.com

September 18, 2020

Genoa Township Planning and Zoning Department 2911 Dorr Road Brighton, MI 48116 Attn: Amy Ruthig, Zoning Official

RE: Irish Rezoning Submittal Review Response

Ms. Ruthig,

As there were no items to revise per Tetra Tech and SAFEbuilt studio review letters regarding the Irish Rezoning submittal for parcel # 4711-06-200-101, please accept the original submittal documents for use at the October 13, 2020 Genoa Township Planning Commission meeting. Brighton Area Fire Authority review comments are acknowledged.

Sincerely,

BOSS ENGINEERING COMPANY

ennier m. austin

Jennifer M. Austin Project Landscape Architect



Planning Commission Genoa Township 2911 Dorr Road Brighton, Michigan 48116

Attention:	Kelly Van Marter, AICP
	Assistant Township Manager and Planning Director
Subject:	Proposed rezoning from OSD to HDR (Review #1)
Location:	Grand River Avenue – north side of Grand River, west of Char-Ann Drive
Zoning:	OSD Office Service District

Dear Commissioners:

At the Township's request, we have reviewed the application and submittal materials proposing rezoning of an undeveloped 4.34-acre site from OSD Office Service District to HDR High Density Residential. The stated intent of the proposed rezoning is for development of a 32-unit "apartment community."

This proposal has been reviewed in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Genoa Township Zoning Ordinance.

#### A. SUMMARY

- 1. The request is not consistent with the Township Master Plan Future Land Use classification of Office.
- 2. However, the Township may find that there has been a change in conditions since the Master Plan was adopted.
- 3. Provided the Township finds that there has been a change in conditions since the Master Plan was adopted, HDR zoning is generally consistent with the rezoning criteria of Section 22.04.
- 4. The request is anticipated to be compatible with the surrounding area.
- 5. The host of uses permitted in HDR are generally compatible with existing and planned uses in the surrounding area.
- 6. Consideration must be given to any technical comments provided by the Township Engineer, Utilities Director and/or Fire Authority with respect to infrastructure, utilities, and services.

#### B. PROCESS

As outlined in Article 22 of the Township Zoning Ordinance, the process to amend the Official Township Zoning Map is as follows:

- 1. The Township Planning Commission holds a public hearing on the rezoning and makes its recommendation to the Township Board;
- 2. The Livingston County Planning Commission reviews the request and makes its recommendation to the Township Board; and
- 3. The Township Board considers these recommendations and takes action to grant or reject the rezoning request.

As a reminder for the Township's consideration, requests for conventional rezoning cannot include conditions and the applicant is not bound by their stated intent (if rezoning is granted).

#### C. AREA OVERVIEW

Genoa Township Planning Commission Irish Rezoning Review #1 Page 2

Existing Land Use		
Site	Undeveloped	
North	Single family residential	
East	Office/service	
South	Office/financial	
West	Office/service	

well as existing and planned land uses in the area are as follows:

	Zoning
Site	OSD
North	LDR
East	OSD
South	OSD
West	GCD

Master Plan		
Site	Office	
North	Low Density Residential	
East	Office	
South	Office	
West	General Commercial	

a state of





The site is located on the north side of Grand River Avenue, west of Char-Ann Drive. Current zoning, as

#### **D. REZONING REVIEW**

1. Consistency with the goals, policies and future land use map of the Genoa Township Master Plan, including any subarea or corridor studies. If conditions have changed since the Master Plan was adopted, the consistency with recent development trends in the area.

The Township Master Plan and Future Land Use Map identify the site and properties to the east and south as Office. This classification is intended for "various forms of office development including professional offices, medical offices and banks."

As such, the proposal for HDR zoning is not consistent with the Township Master Plan.

With that being said, the applicant does note that the site has been for sale as an office property for many years, with no viable projects coming forward under the current zoning.

Additionally, as part of the current update to the Township Master Plan, alternative types and locations for residential uses are being investigated, including the Grand River corridor.

In order to make a favorable finding under this criterion, the Township would need to determine that conditions have changed since the Master Plan was adopted.

## 2. Compatibility of the site's physical, geological, hydrological and other environmental features with the host of uses permitted in the proposed zoning district.

The site does not contain any hydrological features, but does include sloping topography (from NW to SE) and a wooded area along the northerly portion of the site.

These conditions are not expected to preclude development opportunities under the proposed zoning designation of HDR. It is also worth noting that the buffer zone requirements of Section 12.02.03 are the same between office and single-family, and multiple-family and single-family.

As such, a buffer zone B will be required along the northerly property line for development under either the current (OSD) or proposed (HDR) zoning.

## 3. The ability of the site to be reasonably developed with one (1) of the uses permitted under the current zoning.

As previously noted, the undeveloped site has been for sale for many years with no viable office development coming forward.

While the site could be developed with an OSD use, it has not yet occurred. As a result, the property owner has investigated alternative land uses and zoning designations, which have resulted in this request.

# 4. The compatibility of all the potential uses allowed in the proposed zoning district with surrounding uses and zoning in terms of land suitability, impacts on the environment, density, nature of use, traffic impacts, aesthetics, infrastructure and potential influence on property values.

The HDR district is generally intended for multiple-family residential, though other uses are allowed (residential care, institutional, and recreational). These uses are generally viewed as an appropriate transition between the (generally) commercial corridor of Grand River Avenue and the single-family residences to the north.

The stated intent of an "apartment community" would be a permitted use, though more intensive HDR land uses would require special land use review/approval to ultimately ensure compatibility with surrounding properties.

## 5. The capacity of Township infrastructure and services sufficient to accommodate the uses permitted in the requested district without compromising the "health, safety and welfare" of the Township.

Given the site's frontage on Grand River Avenue, it is likely that there is access to sufficient infrastructure, utilities, and services. However, we defer to the Township Engineer, Utilities Director, and/or Brighton Area Fire Authority for any technical comments under this criterion.

# 6. The apparent demand for the types of uses permitted in the requested zoning district in the Township in relation to the amount of land in the Township currently zoned to accommodate the demand.

There is a site to the south (along Tahoe Boulevard) that is planned, zoned, and developed as high density residential. There is no other land zoned HDR in this area of the Township.

Additionally, HDR accounts for one of the smallest land use categories in the Master Plan, despite the Township being predominantly residential in nature.

Similar to previous comments, the Township is currently evaluating alternative types and locations of residential development via a Master Plan update.

In our opinion, the combination of the above make HDR zoning viable for this site with respect to meeting a land use demand for the Township.

# 7. Where a rezoning is reasonable given the above criteria, a determination the requested zoning district is more appropriate than another district or amending the list of permitted or Special Land Uses within a district.

Per Table 7.02, the OSD currently allows upper floor residences with special land use approval.

Multiple-family residential could be added as an allowable land use to this table; however, this would open up all OSD property for this type of development, and a strictly residential development would not be consistent with the intent of the OSD (Section 7.01.01).

As such, rezoning the property to HDR would be more appropriate than amending the allowable uses in OSD (in our opinion).

## 8. The request has not previously been submitted within the past one (1) year, unless conditions have changed or new information has been provided.

Our office has not reviewed any rezoning requests for the subject site within the past year.

Should you have any questions concerning this matter, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Respectfully, SAFEBUILT STUDIO

Brian V. Borden, AICP Planning Manager



September 16, 2020

Ms. Kelly Van Marter Genoa Township 2911 Dorr Road Brighton, MI 48116

#### Re: Irish Rezoning Plan Review No. 1

Dear Ms. Van Marter:

Tetra Tech conducted a review of the proposed rezoning plan last dated August 31, 2020. The rezoning plan and impact assessment were prepared by Boss Engineering on behalf of the property owner, Kevin Irish. The subject vacant site is 4.34 acres and is located on the north side of East Grand River Avenue, just east of Tahoe Boulevard. The petitioner is proposing to rezone the site to high density residential (HDR), from its current zoning of office service district (OSD). The proposed use of the site is an apartment community.

After reviewing the proposed rezoning, we offer the following:

#### **GENERAL NOTES**

- 1. The HDR zoning requires no more than 8 units per acre. The Petitioner is proposing 32 units on the 4.34-acre site which complies with this density requirement.
- 2. The parcel has access to the municipal water and sanitary sewer utilities. The utilities have capacity for the proposed development density of HDR.
- 3. If the rezoning is approved, the proposed apartment community will require its own site plan for review and site plan approval. At that time the proposed facilities for management of the stormwater and traffic will be reviewed.

The petitioner has presented a plan indicating how the proposed zoning would be interpreted on the parcel. From an engineering viewpoint we have no objections to the parcel being rezoned to HDR. Once more detailed site plans are submitted, we may have additional comments regarding the layout, road, drainage and utility plans.

Sincerely,

Gary J. Markstrom, P.E. Vice President

Iby Schordt

Shelby Scherdt Project Engineer

**BRIGHTON AREA FIRE AUTHORITY** 



615 W. Grand River Ave. Brighton, MI 48116 0: 810-229-6640 f: 810-229-1619

September 11, 2020

Kelly VanMarter Genoa Township 2911 Dorr Road Brighton, MI 48116

Applicant: Kevin Irish 4205 Faussett Dr. Howell, MI 48843

RE: Rezoning of 4.34-Acre Grand River Parcel

Dear Kelly:

The Brighton Area Fire Department has reviewed the above mentioned site plan. The plans were received for review on September 3, 2020 and the drawings are dated August 31, 2020. The project is based on a vacant 4.32-acre parcel proposed to undergo a rezoning from OSD (Office Service District) to HDR (High Density Residential). The project is proposed to include four two-story 8-unit buildings totaling 32-apartments. The plan review is based on the requirements of the International Fire Code (IFC) 2018 edition.

 The water main location is not indicated on the submittal. Provide the location of the water main and the closest hydrant(s) to the site. A hydrant shall be located within 100' of the fire department connection for each building. Three hydrants shall be provided on site: one located on the landscape island between the first two buildings, at the northeast corner of the northern parking lot, and at the southwest corner of the east parking lot. Location of existing hydrants on Grand River could affect these locations.

#### IFC 912.2 IFC 507.5.1

2. The buildings shall be provided with an automatic sprinkler system in accordance with NFPA 13, Standard for the Installation of Automatic Sprinkler Systems. The buildings are required to be provided with sprinklers by code, however, due to the dead end length and lack of secondary access an increased level of protection (sprinklers) will be required to protect non-sprinklered portions of the buildings

IFC 903

- A. The FDC shall be located on the front of the buildings.
- B. The location, size, gate valve, and connection of the fire protection lead shall be indicated on the utility plan.
- 3. The buildings shall include the address numbers, a <u>minimum of 6</u>" high of contrasting colors and be clearly visible from the street. Unit numbers shall be a <u>minimum of 4</u>" as well as exterior water or utility closets. The location and size shall be approved prior to installation.

IFC 505.1



September 11, 2020 Page 2 Kevin Irish Rezoning 4205 Faussett Dr. Site Plan Review

4. The access road into and through the site shall be a minimum of 26-feet wide, this includes through the parking areas as they are included in building access. With a width of 26-feet wide, the non-parking lined-building side of the roads shall be marked as a fire lane. Include the location of the proposed fire lane signage every 50-feet along the drives and include a detail of the fire lane sign in the submittal. Access roads to site shall be provided and maintained during construction. Access roads shall be constructed to be capable of supporting the imposed load of fire apparatus weighing at least 84,000 pounds.

IFC D 103.6 IFC D 103.1 IFC D 102.1 IFC D 103.3 IFC 505.3

5. Access around the site shall provide emergency vehicles with a minimum turning radius of 50-feet outside and 30-feet inside. Provide an emergency vehicle circulation plan utilizing BAFA aerial apparatus template.

#### IFC 503.2.4

6. A minimum vertical clearance of 13½ feet shall be maintained throughout the site, including large growth trees and plantings.

#### IFC 503.2.1

7. The hammerhead turnaround is roughly 200-feet from the dead end of the east parking area. The hammerhead shall be placed at the end of the dead-end or relocated so as not to create a dead-end exceeding 150-feet. Recommend revising the orientation of the parking and hammerhead to accomplish this.

#### IFC 503.2.5

8. The building setbacks need to be evaluated and revised to ensure emergency vehicle access to within 150-feet of all parts of each structure. Additionally, building heights need to be provided in feet to determine access setback requirements for aerial apparatus.

#### IFC 503.1.1

9. The location of a Knox Box shall be indicated adjacent to the fire sprinkler access door of each structure.

#### IFC 506.1

10. Future project submittals shall include the address and proposed street name of the project in the title block.

#### IFC 105.4.2

11. Provide names, addresses, phone numbers, emails of owner or owner's agent, contractor, architect, on-site project supervisor.

Additional comments will be given during the building plan review process (specific to the building plans and occupancy). The applicant is reminded that the fire authority must review the fire protection systems submittals (sprinkler & alarm) prior to permit issuance by the Building Department and that the authority will also review the building plans for life safety requirements in conjunction with the Building Department. If you have any questions about the comments on

#### **BRIGHTON AREA FIRE AUTHORITY**



September 11, 2020 Page 3 Kevin Irish Rezoning 4205 Faussett Dr. Site Plan Review

this plan review please contact me at 810-229-6640.

Cordially,

4

Rick Boisvert, CFPS Fire Marshal

cc:Amy Ruthig <u>amy@genoa.org</u>

**RECEIVED 9-1-2020** 



3121 E. Grand River Howell, MI 48843 517.546.4836 fax 517.548.1670 www.bosseng.com

## IMPACT ASSESSMENT For Rezoning of Parcel # 4711-06-200-101 GENOA TOWNSHIP LIVINGSTON COUNTY, MICHIGAN

Prepared for:

Owner & Applicant: Kevin Irish 4205 Faussett Rd. Howell, Michigan 48855

Prepared by:

Brent LaVanway, P.E. Boss Engineering 3121 E Grand River Howell, Michigan 48843

August 31, 2020

#### **DISCUSSION ITEMS**

A. Name(s) and address(es) of person(s) responsible for preparation of the Impact Assessment and a brief statement of their qualifications.

<u>Prepared by:</u> Brent LaVanway, P.E. Boss Engineering 3121 E Grand River Howell, Michigan 48843

<u>Prepared for:</u> Applicant & Owner – Kevin Irish 4205 Faussett Rd. Howell, MI 48855

B. Map(s) and written description/analysis of the project site, including all existing structures, manmade facilities, and natural features. The analysis shall also include information for areas within ten (10) feet of the property. An aerial photograph or drawing may be used to delineate these areas.

The subject property is located in the East  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the NE  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 6, Genoa Township, Livingston County, MI.

Tax ID 4711-06-200-101

The subject site is located on Grand River Avenue between Char-Ann Drive and Tahoe Blvd. The subject site is bordered:

- North by Low Density Residential
- South by E. Grand River and vacant land zoned Office Service District (OSD)
- West by Single Family Residential use zoned General Commercial District (GCD)
- East by vacant land zoned OSD

Current zoning of the subject site is OSD. 16" Water Main runs north westerly along the Grand River Right-of-Way. A 30' wide sanitary sewer easement is located 15' off the subject site north property line and accessible by manhole.

Proposed zoning is HDR (High Density Residential, 8 units per acre).

The acreage of the total subject site is 4.34 acres and is vacant. Proposed use is for an apartment community.

C. Impact on natural features: A written description of the environmental characteristics of the site prior to development and following development, i.e., topography, soils, wildlife, woodlands, mature trees of eight-inch caliper (8) or greater, wetlands, drainage, lakes, streams, creeks or ponds. Documentation by a qualified wetland specialist shall be required

wherever the Township determines that there is a potential regulated wetland. Reduced copies of the Existing Conditions Map(s) or aerial photographs may accompany written material.

Environmental characteristics of the site prior to development:

- Grades on the site move from higher at the northwest corner (at elevation 973) to the northeast corner of the site (at elevation 956) which is a slope of 18%. A 50% slope from the northwest corner transitions to a generally flat open meadow (1.66% slope) and detention basin in the southeast corner of the site.
- Soils follow a similar transition as the grades on the site from northwest to southeast: MoB Wawasee loam slopes of 2 to 6 percent, MoD Miami loam slopes of 12 to 18 percent, MoA Wawasee loam slopes of 0 to 2 percent. Carlisle muck (Cc) slopes of 0 to 2 percent is located along the lower portion of the site at E. Grand River.
- A woodland is located along length of the northern property line approximately 220' wide. Mature trees in this area include White Oak, Cottonwood, Black Walnut, Sugar Maple, Silver Maple, American Elm, Ash spp., Shagbark Hickory, Scotch Pine and Red Pine.
- No wetlands, lakes, streams, creeks or ponds are located on site.
- See Natural Features drawing sheet 2 in submittal package.

#### D. Impact on storm-water management: Description of measures to control soil erosion and sedimentation during grading and construction operations and until a permanent ground cover is established.

No construction is planned for this site during this property rezoning.

The proposed rezoning to HDR will allow a higher density and future development to this density is anticipated. The future development of this site will require a complete design and approval of a Soil Erosion Plan including a Storm Water Management Plan by the Livingston County Drain Commissioner.

E. Impact on surrounding land use: Description of the types of proposed uses and other manmade facilities, including any project phasing, and an indication of how the proposed use conforms or conflicts with existing and potential development patterns. A description shall be provided of any increases of light, noise or air pollution which could negatively impact adjacent properties.

The proposed use for this site is High Density Residential apartments. The development of this site will require a private drive from Grand River Avenue to a turnaround currently designed as a 120' hammerhead. There are a mix of surrounding land uses including Office Service, Single Family Residential and Low Density Residential. The surround zoning districts do not change in the Future Land Use Map. Future development of this site will have little, if any, increases of light, noise or air pollution to the surrounding properties.

F. Impact on public facilities and services: Describe the number of expected residents, employees, visitors, or patrons, and the anticipated impact on public schools, police protection and fire protection. Letters from the appropriate agencies may be provided, as appropriate.

The preliminary plan for this development is for 32 units with anticipated 2-3 people per unit. This would result in 64-96 people, some of which would be school age children added to the Howell School District. Normal police and fire protection services should remain unchanged.

G. Impact on public utilities: Describe the method to be used to service the development with water and sanitary sewer facilities, the method to be used to control drainage on the site and from the site, including runoff control during periods of construction. For sites serviced with sanitary sewer, calculations for pre- and post-development flows shall be provided in comparison with sewer line capacity. Expected sewage rates shall be provided in equivalents to a single family home. Where septic systems are proposed, documentation or permits from the Livingston County Health Department shall be provided.

Slight increase in demand on water main and sanitary sewer is expected. An existing 16" water main runs along the Grand River ROW on the south edge of the property and an existing 8" sanitary line runs along the length of the north property line.

H. Storage and handling of any hazardous materials: A description of any hazardous substances expected to be used, stored or disposed of on the site. The information shall describe the type of materials, location within the site and method of containment. Documentation of compliance with federal and state requirements, and a Pollution Incident Prevention Plan (PIPP) shall be submitted, as appropriate.

No hazardous materials on site are anticipated.

 Impact on traffic and pedestrians: A description of the traffic volumes to be generated based on national reference documents, such as the most recent edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation Manual, other published studies or actual counts of similar uses in Michigan. Detailed traffic impact study shall be submitted for any site over ten (10) acres in size which would be expected to generate one-hundred (100) directional vehicle trips (i.e., 100 inbound or 100 outbound trips) during the peak hour of traffic of the generator or on the adjacent streets.

According to the Southeast Michigan Council of Governments (SEMCOG) website, the Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) for eastbound Grand River (BL-96) to Dorr is 10,150 and westbound Grand River (BL-96) to Dorr is 9,250 (2019). Using a 3% annual increase, the eastbound count is 10,454.50 and westbound count is 9,527.50 for 2020.

According to the Institute of Transportation Engineers 10<sup>th</sup> Edition there can be anticipated 7.32 trips per day for multi-family housing (low-rise). One trip is defined as a one-way traffic movement. The High-Density Residential Zoning will result in 234 total trips per day for the 32-unit development.

Daily trips generated per dwelling unit during the weekday peak hour between 7 and 9 a.m. is 0.46. Thus 32 units would generate 14.72 total daily trips in the a.m. peak hours. Daily trips generated per dwelling unit during the weekday peak hour between 4 and 6 p.m. is 0.56. Thus 32 units would generate 17.92 total daily trips in the p.m. peak hours. These trips would increase the AADT Grand River total daily eastbound/westbound trips by 0.16% (from 19,982 to 20,024.64).

Generally, we anticipate under the current Office Service District that traffic will have higher peak hour trips generated due to the open/close hours of business for employees.

## J. Special Provisions: General description of any deed restrictions, protective covenants, master deed, or association bylaws.

A 66' wide easement for ingress and egress exists on a portion of the east property line and a 30' wide sanitary sewer easement is located along the length of the north property line.

#### K. A list of all source material.

- Genoa Township Zoning Ordinance
- "Soil Survey of Livingston County Michigan" Soil Conservation Services, USDA
- Livingston County Road Commission/SEMCOG Traffic counts

# Rezoning Plans FOR 4.34 ACRE GRAND RIVER AVENUE PARCEL PART OF THE EAST 1/2 OF THE NE QUARTER, SECTION 6 GENOA TOWNSHIP, LIVINGSTON COUNTY, MICHIGAN

## PROPERTY DESCRIPTION:

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION AS SUPPLIED: LIVINGSTON COUNTY PROPERTY SEARCH Tax Description 4711-06-200-101 SEC 6 T2N R5E COMM E 1/4 COR SEC TH N01\*17'20"E 674.10 FT TH N61\*41'16"W 330 FT FOR POB TH CONT N61\*40'16"W 398.16 FT TH N21\*00'11"E 424.64 FT TH N62\*52'38"W 100.58 FT TH N20\*45'29"E 50.12 FT TH S62\*41'43"E 483.35 FT TH S19\*15'30"W 483.42 FT TO POB CORR LEGAL 10/01 CONT. 4.37 AC M/L SPLIT FR 083 9/99

## RECEIVED 9-1-2020

## INDEMNIFICATION STATEMENT

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL HOLD HARMLESS THE DESIGN PROFESSIONAL, MUNICIPALITY, COUNTY, STATE AND ALL OF ITS SUB CONSULTANTS, PUBLIC AND PRIVATE UTILITY COMPANIES, AND LANDOWNERS FOR DAMAGES TO INDIVIDUALS AND PROPERTY, REAL OR OTHERWISE, DUE TO THE OPERATIONS OF THE CONTRACTOR AND/OR THEIR SUBCONTRACTORS.



OVERALL SITE MAP



LOCATION MAP NO SCALE

	SHEET INDEX
SHEET NO.	DESCRIPTION
1 2 3	COVER SHEET NATURAL FEATURES PLAN SITE LAYOUT

## PREPARED FOR:

KEVIN IRISH 4205 FAUSSETT RD. HOWELL, MI 48855 517-404-1252

PREPARED BY:



Engineers Surveyors Planners Landscape Architects 3121 E. GRAND RIVER AVE. HOWELL, MI. 48843 517.546.4836 FAX 517.548.1670

NO BY CK REVISION







PARCEL: #4711-06-200-101 GENOA TOWNSHIP LOT SIZE: 4.32 ACRES ZONING: OSD OFFICE SERVICE DISTRICT EXISTING USE: VACANT PROPOSED USE:

SOIL

CARLISLE MUCK (Cc), 0 TO 2 PERCENT SLOPES WAWASEE LOAM (MoA), 0 TO 2 PERCENT SLOPES WAWASEE LOA, (MoB), 2 TO 6 PERCENT SLOPES MIAMI LOAM (MoD), 12 TO 18 PERCENT SLOPES

### NATURAL FEATURES NARRATIVE:

SEVERAL NATURAL FEATURES WERE IDENTIFIED DURING AN ON-SITE VISIT TO THE PROPERTY ON AUGUST 25, 2020 THAT INCLUDE A DETENTION BASIN AND A WOODED AREA.

WOODLAND AREA PREDOMINANT SPECIES: COTTONWOOD, BLACK WALNUT, PIN OAK, WHITE OAK, SUGAR MAPLE, SILVER MAPLE, AMERICAN ELM, SHAGBARK HICKORY, COMMON BUCKTHORN, AUTUMN OLIVE, ASH, SCOTS PINE AND RED PINE

DETENTION BASIN PREDOMINANT SPECIES: CATTAILS, TREE OF HEAVEN, GOLDENROD, REED GRASS

OPEN MEADOW PREDOMINANT SPECIES: STAGHORN SUMAC, PEAR SPP., FIELD JUNIPER, WILD TEASEL, GOLDENROD, QUEEN ANNE'S LACE, MILKWEED, VIRGINIA CREEPER, AND RIVERBANK GRAPE

THE LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AS SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS ARE ONLY APPROXIMATE. NO GUARANTEE IS EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED AS TO THE COMPLETENESS OR ACCURACY THEREOF. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BF EYCLUISIVETY RESEMONIAL	LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF EXISTING UTILITIES AND PROPOSED UTILITY CROSSINGS IN THE FIELD PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE ENGINEER IF ANY CONFLICTS ARE APPARENT OR IF THE LOCATION OR DEPTH DIFFERS SIGNIFICANTLY FROM THE PLANE	BEFORE YOU DIG	CALL MISS DIG 1-800-482-7171
	gineers Surveyors Planners Landscape Architects	3121 E. GRAND RIVER AVE.	HOWELL, MI. 48843 517.546.4836 FAX 517.548.1670
REZONING PLANS FOR 4.34 ACRE GRAND RIVER AVE. PARCEL		пОWELL, MI 48833 517-404-1252	Interview Natural Features Plan
			DATE
			- REVISION PER
DESIGN DRAWN CHECKI	ED BY: BY: ED BY: T"	J/	
JOB NO DATE: SHEET	): 20 8/3 NO. <b>7</b>	- <b>34</b> 1/20	0 BOSS





#### TO THE GENOA TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSION AND TOWNSHIP BOARD:

APPLICANT NAME & ADDRESS:	norization from Property Owner is needed
OWNER'S NAME & ADDRESS:	in Lawon grow I roperty o mier is necaca.
SITE ADDRESS:	PARCEL #(s):
APPLICANT PHONE: ()	OWNER PHONE: ()
OWNER EMAIL:	
LOCATION AND BRIEF DESCRIPTION	OF SITE:
BRIEF STATEMENT OF PROPOSED USF	E:
THE FOLLOWING BUILDINGS ARE PRO	OPOSED:
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT ALL INFO PART OF THIS APPLICATION IS TRU KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF.	RMATION AND DATA ATTACHED TO AND MADE IE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY
BY:	
ADDRESS:	

Contact Information - Review Letters and Correspondence shall be forwarded to the following:				
1.)	of	at		
Name	Business Affiliation	E-mail Address		

FEE EXCEEDANCE AGREEMENT				
As stated on the site plan review fee schedule, all site plans are allocated two (2) consultant reviews and one (1) Planning Commission meeting. If additional reviews or meetings are necessary, the applicant will be required to pay the actual incurred costs for the additional reviews. If applicable, additional review fee payment will be required concurrent with submittal to the Township Board. By signing below, applicant indicates agreement and full understanding of this policy.				
SIGNATURE:	DATE:			
PRINT NAME:	PHONE:			
ADDRESS:				



Planning Commission Genoa Township 2911 Dorr Road Brighton, Michigan 48116

Attention:	Kelly Van Marter, AICP
	Planning Director and Assistant Township Manager
Subject:	UPS Howell – Site Plan Review #2
Location:	1183 Fendt Drive – east side of Fendt Drive, north of Grand Oaks Drive
Zoning:	IND Industrial District

Dear Commissioners:

At the Township's request, we have reviewed the revised submittal from UPS Howell requesting site plan review/approval of a new parking lot at 1183 Fendt Drive (plans dated 9/23/20). The proposed parking lot is to be used in conjunction with the existing UPS facility on the west side of Fendt Drive.

#### A. Summary

- 1. We recommend the Commission require the applicant to provide and record an agreement stating that the two properties are tied together, and that a shared parking easement must be provided and recorded should either property be sold separately.
- 2. The applicant will need to obtain a variance from the ZBA to exceed the 300-foot spacing between the nearest parking space and public building entrance (Section 14.02.03).
- 3. The landscape plan is deficient in parking lot landscaping. The applicant requests that PC waive these requirements, per Section 12.02.13.
- 4. If signage if proposed in the future, a sign permit must be obtained from the Township prior to installation.

#### B. Proposal/Process

The project entails a new parking lot, including landscaping, lighting, and site engineering, for use in conjunction with the existing building/facility across the street.

It is our understanding that UPS has used the subject site as an unimproved parking lot for some time, and site improvements have been required by the Township.

Per Table 18.2, construction of a new parking lot requires site plan review/approval by the Planning Commission.

Procedurally, the Planning Commission has review and approval authority over the site plan, though the Township Board has the final approval authority over the Impact Assessment.

#### C. Site Plan Review

1. Use. In this instance, the proposed parking lot is to be used in conjunction with the facility across the street. Section 14.02.03 allows parking on lots under the same ownership, or where a shared parking easement is provided, and the nearest parking space is not more than 300 feet from the nearest public entrance.

Genoa Township Planning Commission **UPS Howell** Site Plan Review #2 Page 2



Aerial view of site and surroundings (looking east)

Since the lots are under common ownership, an easement is not required at this time. However, one will be necessary if either lot is sold separately in the future.

In order to ensure adherence to this requirement, we recommend the Commission require that the applicant provide an agreement in recordable format allowing accessory parking dedicated to the use of the property across the street. Furthermore, said agreement should state that a shared parking easement must be provided and recorded upon the sale of either parcel separately.

Additionally, the 300-foot standard of Section 14.02.03 is not met. Based on Sheet C-600, the distance is approximately 310 feet. The applicant will need to obtain a variance from the ZBA to exceed this standard.

Lastly, use of the parking lot for outdoor storage is prohibited. By definition, "outdoor storage" occurs when goods, materials, or vehicles are kept in the same place for more than 24 hours. A note has been added Sheet C-600 acknowledging this standard.

**2. Dimensional Requirements.** As shown in the table below, the project complies with the applicable dimensional requirements of the IND:

	Min. Lot Req.		Minimum Parking Setbacks			Max. Lot
			(feet)			Coverage
	Area	Width	Front	Side	Rear	
	(acres)	(feet)	Yard	Yard	Yard	
IND	1	150	20	10	10	85% impervious
Proposed	2.03	272.2	20	14 (N)	46	68.5%
_				12 (S)		

- **2. Vehicular Circulation.** Vehicular access to/from Fendt Drive will be provided via 2 new driveways. The parking lot will utilize a two-way circulation pattern with 24-foot wide drive aisles, and a 26-foot wide fire lane looping around the lot.
- **3. Pedestrian Circulation.** Sidewalks are not typically required in the IND District; however, given the nature of the request, the applicant proposes sidewalks and crosswalks connecting the proposed parking lot to the developed site across the street.

**4. Parking.** The proposed parking has been reviewed for compliance with the standards of Article 14, as follows:

	Required	Proposed	Comments
Parking Spaces Warehousing (greater of 1 space/1,500 SF gross floor area or 1.2 spaces/employee in peak shift; plus 1 per corporate vehicle)	220	47 at facility 184 new lot 231 total	In compliance - based on 183 employees noted in EIA
Barrier Free Spaces	8	8	In compliance
<b>Dimensions</b> Spaces (75 to 90-degree) Drive aisle width (two-way)	9' x 18' 24'	9' x 18' 24' and 26'	In compliance

**5.** Landscaping. The landscape plan has been reviewed for compliance with the standards of Section 12.02, as noted in the following table:

Standard	Required	Proposed	Notes
Front yard	20' width	20' width	In compliance
greenbelt	7 canopy trees	7 canopy trees	
Parking lot	16 canopy trees	0 canopy trees	Applicant requests that PC
	1,550 SF landscaped area	0 SF landscaped area	waive requirements

In accordance with Section 12.02.13, the Planning Commission may waive or modify landscaping requirements.

6. Exterior Lighting. The lighting plan includes 4 poles with 2 fixtures each. Details include the use of downward directed LED fixtures, per Ordinance standards. Additionally, the photometric plan demonstrates compliance with maximum lighting intensities (both on-site and along property lines).

A note has been added to Sheet E-004 indicating the use of 30-foot tall light poles, which also complied with Ordinance standards.

- 7. Signs. The revised submittal notes that no new signage is proposed as part of this project. If signage if proposed in the future, a sign permit must be obtained from the Township prior to installation.
- **8. Impact Assessment.** The submittal includes an Impact Assessment (dated September 2, 2020), which notes that the project is not anticipated to adversely impact natural features, public services/utilities, surrounding land uses, or traffic.

Should you have any questions concerning this matter, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Respectfully, **SAFEBUILT STUDIO** 

Brian V. Borden, AICP

Brian V. Borden, AICI Planning Manager



October 5, 2020

Ms. Kelly Van Marter Genoa Township 2911 Dorr Road Brighton, MI 48116

#### Re: UPS Parking Lot Site Plan Review No. 2

Dear Ms. Van Marter:

Tetra Tech conducted a second review of the proposed UPS Parking Lot site plan last dated September 23, 2020. The plans and impact assessment were submitted by SME. The site is on a 2-acre parcel located on the east side of Fendt Drive. The petitioner is proposing a 60,300 square foot asphalt parking lot to replace the existing 40,500 square foot gravel parking yard that is currently used as overflow parking for the UPS facility across the street. The Petitioner is proposing parking lot, storm sewer, and underground stormwater detention system.

After reviewing the site and impact assessment we offer the following:

#### DRAINAGE AND GRADING

- 1. The Petitioner is proposing a closed pipe type underground detention basin comprised of four 48-inch diameter pipes to provide 5,329 cubic feet of storage. A Stormwater Management System Maintenance Plan is included and details the cost and responsibility of maintaining the proposed storm system. The provided maintenance schedules include maintenance and inspection of the detention basin inlet, but not the outlet and emergency outlets. These components should be included in the schedules to make sure they remain clear of debris.
- 2. The proposed underground detention basin is designed to capture additional flow that will be generated from the parking lot being improved from gravel to asphalt, not to capture all onsite drainage. The Petitioner provided an email from Mitch Dempsey of the Livingston County Drain Commission permitting this basis of design.
- 3. The Petitioner reduced their outlet pipe size to 6 inches to create a restricted outflow. The 6-inch pipe will restrict outflow to 0.561 cfs, which meets the 0.2 cfs per acre maximum.
- 4. The Petitioner added an overflow outlet to the underground detention basin at catch basin 2. The emergency outlet is currently proposed as a 6-inch pipe. This appears to be undersized when reviewing the calculations for the pipes tributary to the detention system. The petitioner should size the outlet so that the combination of the outlet pipe (6 inch) and overflow pipe will accommodate the same amount of flow that is being calculated for the pipe between catch basins 3 and 2. This will limit the potential for the collection system to back up and overflow over the curb and thereby cause erosion of the parking lot embankment.

Ms. Kelly Van Marter Re: UPS Parking Lot Site Plan Review No. 2 October 5, 2020 Page 2

5. The plans include 10-foot-deep soil borings. The Genoa Township Engineering Standards require that soil borings shall be provided to a depth of 20 feet below the bottom of the proposed detention basin and the documented high level of the groundwater should be provided.

#### WATER MAIN

1. The Petitioner is proposing to relocate the existing fire hydrant at the end of Fendt Drive to accommodate one of the two proposed access drives to the parking lot. More detail needs to be provided on how this hydrant will be relocated. The relocation of the hydrant should be achieved by shutting off the existing hydrant isolation valve, removing the existing hydrant, installing any necessary fittings to get to the proposed location, and then installing a new isolation valve and the hydrant. The existing isolation valve can then be opened and buried with the operator removed. This method will not require the water main on Fendt Drive to be shut down. If the hydrant is relocated as it is currently shown, the water main will need to be shut down and the hydrant will need to reinstalled using MHOG's terminal hydrant detail (https://www.mhog.org/newdevelpement/designstandards).

We recommend the petitioner revise the site plan to address the above comments prior to approval. Please call or email if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Gary J. Markstrom, P.E. Vice President

alber Schordt

Shelby Scherdt Project Engineer

**BRIGHTON AREA FIRE AUTHORITY** 



615 W. Grand River Ave. Brighton, MI 48116 o: 810-229-6640 f: 810-229-1619

October 7, 2020

Kelly VanMarter Genoa Township 2911 Dorr Road Brighton, MI 48116

RE: UPS Employee Parking Lot 1183 Fendt Drive Genoa Twp., MI

Dear Kelly:

The Brighton Area Fire Department has reviewed the above mentioned site plan. The plans were received for review on September 30, 2020 and the drawings are dated September 2, 2020. The project is based on the reconfiguration of an existing 2.03-acre vacant parcel used as a gravel parking lot for employees of the nearby UPS distribution facility. There is no proposed structure in the application. The plan review is based on the requirements of the International Fire Code (IFC) 2018 edition.

#### All fire authorities requirements related to access have been substantially complied with.

If you have any questions about the comments on this plan review, please contact me at 810-229-6640.

Cordially,

Rick Boisvert, CFPS Fire Marshal

cc:Amy Ruthig <u>amy@genoa.org</u>



## **IMPACT ASSESMENT**

UNITED PARCEL SERVICE FACILITY GENOA TOWNSHIP, MICHIGAN

SME Project Number: 084617.00 September 2, 2020







The Kramer Building 43980 Plymouth Oaks Blvd. Plymouth, MI 48170-2584

T (734) 454-9900

www.sme-usa.com

September 2, 2020

Mr. Adam Marchwinski UPS Buildings & Systems Engineering 1400 E. Whitcomb Madison Heights, Michigan 48071

Via E-mail: amarchwinski@ups.com (PDF file)

Re: Impact Assessment UPS Genoa Township Facility 1212 Fendt Drive, Genoa Township, Michigan 48843 SME Project No. 084617.00

Dear Mr. Marchwinski:

We have completed our impact assessment for the proposed parking lot development at the UPS facility located in Genoa Township, Michigan. This letter summarizes the anticipated impacts for the project per Genoa Township site plan application submittal requirements.

Sincerely,

SME

Assessment Prepared by: Kyle J, Wilson, EIT Senior Staff Engineer Assessment Reviewed by: Hugo J. Ceron, PE Project Engineer

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0 CONTACT INFORMATION	1
2.0 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT SITE	1
3.0 IMPACT ON NATURAL FEATURES	1
4.0 IMPACT ON STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	1
5.0 IMPACT ON SURROUNDING LAND USED	1
6.0 IMPACT ON PUBLIC FACILITIES AND SERVICES	2
7.0 IMPACT ON PUBLIC UTILITIES	2
8.0 STORAGE AND HANDLING OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	2
9.0 IMPACT ON TRAFFIC AND PEDESTRIANS	2
10.0 SPECIAL PROVISIONS	3

#### **1.0 CONTACT INFORMATION**

Prepared by:

SME 43980 Plymouth Oaks Blvd. Plymouth, MI 48170

#### 2.0 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT SITE

The site is located at 1183 Fendt Drive near the northwest intersection of I-96 and South Latson Road in Genoa Township, Michigan. The site is located on Lot 7 of the Gen Tech Industrial Park on the east side of Fendt Drive and is zoned as Industrial. The parcel is approximately 2 acres in area. The site features an existing 4,500 square yard gravel parking lot that serves as overflow parking for employees at the UPS facility located on the west side of Fendt Drive. The project site is bound by industrial facilities to the North and South, Fendt drive to the West, and an existing drainage ditch and service drive between the existing Walmart and Lowe's to the East.

Proposed site improvements include an approximately 6,700 square yard asphalt pavement parking lot with concrete curb and gutter, site lighting, underground storm sewer pipe, and an underground storm water detention system. The parking lot will serve as parking UPS employees and will serve passenger vehicles. The proposed parking lot includes 186 parking stalls.

#### **3.0 IMPACT ON NATURAL FEATURES**

The existing site topography varies, with elevations generally ranging between 1005 and 1023. The site generally slopes from west to east, and from south to north, with the steepest slopes along the eastern third of the parcel toward an existing drainage ditch. The site also features an existing drainage ditch on the west side of the parcel along Fendt Drive. The site is generally free of trees and vegetation except for one deciduous tree on the parcel and grass in the existing greenbelt areas. The existing gravel lot has slopes up to approximately 3 percent. The site is located in FEMA floodplain Zone X, area of minimal flood hazard per FEMA flood insurance map 26093C0309D effective date September 17, 2008. The proposed development will have relatively low impact on natural features, with some of the existing greenbelt areas becoming paved parking lot, and other areas on site being re-graded.

#### 4.0 IMPACT ON STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

Soil erosion and sediment control measures will be implemented at the start of the project and remain in place until final ground cover is restored. Silt fence will be utilized the control sediment runoff at the disturbance limits, inlet protection filters will be install on new storm structures during construction, and gravel tracking pads will be used for site access. Disturbed greenbelt areas will be restored following construction with topsoil and grass seed. The SESC and Site Preparation Plan will be submitted to the Livingston County Drain Commissioner for review and a soil erosion permit will be obtained for the project.

#### **5.0 IMPACT ON SURROUNDING LAND USED**

The parcel is zoned as Industrial (IND) based on the Genoa Township Official Zoning Map with revision date February, 17, 2015 with the site use permitted in the Industrial district under warehousing establishments. The parcel is bordered by industrial zones to the North, West, and South and by a Non-Residential Planned Unit Development (NRPUD) zone to the east. The parcel conforms the dimensional and bulk requirements in Section 8.03 of the Genoa Township Zoning ordinance (as amended 12/31/06).

Prepared for:

UPS 1400 E. Whitcomb Madison Heights, Michigan 48071 The lot area is approximately 2.0 acres and the lot width is approximately 265 feet. The proposed development will consist of a new employee parking lot for passenger vehicles of UPS employees. The proposed lot conforms to the parking setback requirements of 20 feet for the front yard 10 feet for the side and rear yards. The parking lot will feature exterior lighting that comply with Section 12.03 of the Genoa Township Zoning Ordinance. The proposed parking lot development will not have significant impact on noise and air pollution as the lot will be used for employee parking.

#### **6.0 IMPACT ON PUBLIC FACILITIES AND SERVICES**

The UPS Howell facility typically employs approximately 183 employees during normal operations, generally between the hours of 4:00AM and 9:30PM. Work at the facility generally occurs in 3 shifts, with 53 pre-loading employees working between the hours of 4:00AM and 10:00PM, 91 delivery drivers working between the hours of 8:30AM and 6:30PM, and 39 re-load employees working between the hours of 5:00PM and 9:30 PM.

The facility experiences an approximate employee increase of 35% during peak season, generally from November through January. The hours of operation generally span between the hours of 12:00AM and 11:00PM during these periods.

In general, the proposed development will have little impact on public facilities and services, as the project is located on a private road that serves industrial facilities and is not open to the public.

#### 7.0 IMPACT ON PUBLIC UTILITIES

Gas, water, and sanitary sewer services are not included in the proposed parking lot development as no buildings are proposed for the site. Existing gas, water, and sanitary sewer lines are present along the private Fendt Drive right of way but will not need to be tapped for proposed development. The project will include relocation of an existing fire hydrant to accommodate the proposed northwest entrance driveway. The proposed hydrant location will be approximately 28' to the northwest of the existing location.

Catch basin structures and underground storm sewer pipes will be utilized to convey stormwater to existing drainage ditches. An underground detention system will be utilized to detain stormwater at the site. The detention system will be sized to accommodate the difference in pre-development and post-development runoff for a 100 year storm event per Livingston County's preliminary review of the proposed development. The stormwater will be treated using a mechanical forebay prior to outlet. The final stormwater outlet will be into existing the drainage ditch along the east side of the site. Plans and stormwater calculations will be submitted to Livingston County Drain Commissioner for review and a soil erosion permit will be obtained for the project.

#### **8.0 STORAGE AND HANDLING OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS**

The proposed parking lot will serve passenger vehicles as an employee parking for UPS. As such, hazardous materials will not be handled or stored at the site.

#### **9.0 IMPACT ON TRAFFIC AND PEDESTRIANS**

The UPS facility has approximately 183 employees during normal operations, and 248 employees during the months of November through January. The proposed parking lot will serve employee passenger vehicles only, as such no vehicle trips for delivery trucks or tractor trailers will be generated from the proposed development. The maximum vehicle trips per day from the proposed lot is estimated at 621 trips, and includes arrival and departure of all employees during peak season, as well as lunch departure and arrival for non-delivery driver staff.

The peak hour for vehicle trips generally occurs between the hours of 8:00AM and 9:00AM. Approximately 50 percent of the employees are delivery driver staff that begin work by approximately 8:30AM. The peak flow rate is estimated at approximately 94 trips per hour and makes up approximately 15 percent of the estimated maximum vehicle trips per day. Little traffic impact is anticipated from the proposed parking lot development, as the existing gravel lot is being utilized for the same purpose, and the proposed parking lot will not generate additional trips beyond the existing conditions.

#### **10.0 SPECIAL PROVISIONS**

Special provisions are not applicable to the proposed development.



Passionate People Building and Revitalizing our World


### **UNITED PARCEL SERVICE, INC.**

### STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM MAINTENANCE PLAN

### **1. RESPONSIBILITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF NEW INFRASTRUCTURE**

- a) During construction, it is the Contractor's responsibility to perform the maintenance of the new infrastructure.
- b) Following construction, it will be the responsibility of United Parcel Service Inc. (UPS) to perform the maintenance of the new infrastructure as described on Table 2.
- c) Following construction, routine maintenance of the new infrastructure must be completed within 14 days of receipt of written notification that action is required, unless other acceptable arrangements are made with the Genoa Township, Livingston County Drain Commissioner or successors. Emergency maintenance of the new infrastructure (i.e. when there is endangerment to public health, safety or welfare) shall be performed immediately upon receipt of written notice. Should UPS fail to act within these time frames, the Township of Genoa, Livingston County or successors may perform the needed maintenance and assess the costs against UPS.

### **2. SOURCE OF FINANCING**

UPS is required to pay for the maintenance activities relating to the new infrastructure as described on Table 2 on a continuing basis.

### **3. MAINTENANCE TASKS AND SCHEDULE**

- a) See the charts on the next three pages: The first describes maintenance tasks during construction to be performed by the Contractor, the second describes maintenance tasks by UPS with an approximate budget.
- b) An Additional inspection and maintenance guide for the water quality unit and underground detention system from the manufacturer is included.
- c) Immediately following construction, the Contractor will have the stormwater management system inspected by an engineer to verify grades of the filtration areas and make recommendations for any necessary sediment.

### Table 1

MAINTENACE TASKS AND SCHEDULE DURING CONSTRUCTION						
		COMPONENTS				
Tasks	Storm Sewer System	Catch Basin Inlet Castings	Ditches and Swales	Schedule		
Inspect for sediment accumulation	x		х	Weekly		
Removal of sediment accumulation	x		х	As needed* & prior to turnover		
Inspect for floatables and debris		х	х	Quarterly		
Cleaning of floatables and debris		Х	Х	Quarterly & at turnover		
Inspection for erosion			х	Weekly		
Re-establish permanent vegetation on eroded slopes			х	As needed & at turnover		
Mowing			х	0 to 2 times per year		
Inspect Stormwater system components during wet weather and compare to as- built plans (by professional engineer reporting to UPS)			х	Annually and at turnover		
Make adjustments or replacement as determined by annual wet weather inspection	x	х	х	As needed		

\*as needed means when sediment has accumulated to a maximum of one foot depth

### Table 2

PERMANENT MAINTENANCE TASKS AND SCHEDULE						
Tasks	Catch Basins, Inlets, Castings	Ditches and Swales	Schedule	Budget		
Inspect for sediment accumulation	Х	х	Annually	\$ 100.00		
Removal of sediment accumulation	Х	Х	Every 2 years as needed	\$ 500.00		
Inspect for floatables and debris	Х	Х	Annually	\$ 100.00		
Cleaning of floatables and debris	Х	х	Annually	\$ 150.00		
Inspection for erosion		х	Annually	\$ 100.00		
Re-establish permanent vegetation on eroded slopes		х	As needed	\$ 350.00		
Mowing		х	0 to 2 times per year	\$ 400.00		
Inspect Stormwater system components during wet weather and compare to as- built plans (by professional engineer reporting to UPS)	х	х	Annually	\$ 150.00		
Make adjustments or replacement as determined by annual wet weather inspection	х	х	As needed	\$ 400.00		
Keep records of replacements as determined by annual wet weather inspection	Х	Х	Annually	\$ 200.00		
Keep records of all costs for inspections, maintenance and repairs. Report to UPS	Х	Х	Annually	\$-		
Total Annual Budget \$ 2,450.00						

\*as needed means when sediment has accumulated to a maximum of one foot depth



# CDS Guide Operation, Design, Performance and Maintenance



### CDS®

Using patented continuous deflective separation technology, the CDS system screens, separates and traps debris, sediment, and oil and grease from stormwater runoff. The indirect screening capability of the system allows for 100% removal of floatables and neutrally buoyant material without blinding. Flow and screening controls physically separate captured solids, and minimize the re-suspension and release of previously trapped pollutants. Inline units can treat up to 6 cfs, and internally bypass flows in excess of 50 cfs (1416 L/s). Available precast or cast-in-place, offline units can treat flows from 1 to 300 cfs (28.3 to 8495 L/s). The pollutant removal capacity of the CDS system has been proven in lab and field testing.

### **Operation Overview**

Stormwater enters the diversion chamber where the diversion weir guides the flow into the unit's separation chamber and pollutants are removed from the flow. All flows up to the system's treatment design capacity enter the separation chamber and are treated.

Swirl concentration and screen deflection force floatables and solids to the center of the separation chamber where 100% of floatables and neutrally buoyant debris larger than the screen apertures are trapped.

Stormwater then moves through the separation screen, under the oil baffle and exits the system. The separation screen remains clog free due to continuous deflection.

During the flow events exceeding the treatment design capacity, the diversion weir bypasses excessive flows around the separation chamber, so captured pollutants are retained in the separation cylinder.



### **Design Basics**

There are three primary methods of sizing a CDS system. The Water Quality Flow Rate Method determines which model size provides the desired removal efficiency at a given flow rate for a defined particle size. The Rational Rainfall Method<sup>™</sup> or the and Probabilistic Method is used when a specific removal efficiency of the net annual sediment load is required.

Typically in the Unites States, CDS systems are designed to achieve an 80% annual solids load reduction based on lab generated performance curves for a gradation with an average particle size (d50) of 125 microns ( $\mu$ m). For some regulatory environments, CDS systems can also be designed to achieve an 80% annual solids load reduction based on an average particle size (d50) of 75 microns ( $\mu$ m) or 50 microns ( $\mu$ m).

### Water Quality Flow Rate Method

In some cases, regulations require that a specific treatment rate, often referred to as the water quality design flow (WQQ), be treated. This WQQ represents the peak flow rate from either an event with a specific recurrence interval, e.g. the six-month storm, or a water quality depth, e.g. 1/2-inch (13 mm) of rainfall.

The CDS is designed to treat all flows up to the WQQ. At influent rates higher than the WQQ, the diversion weir will direct most flow exceeding the WQQ around the separation chamber. This allows removal efficiency to remain relatively constant in the separation chamber and eliminates the risk of washout during bypass flows regardless of influent flow rates.

Treatment flow rates are defined as the rate at which the CDS will remove a specific gradation of sediment at a specific removal efficiency. Therefore the treatment flow rate is variable, based on the gradation and removal efficiency specified by the design engineer.

### Rational Rainfall Method™

Differences in local climate, topography and scale make every site hydraulically unique. It is important to take these factors into consideration when estimating the long-term performance of any stormwater treatment system. The Rational Rainfall Method combines site-specific information with laboratory generated performance data, and local historical precipitation records to estimate removal efficiencies as accurately as possible.

Short duration rain gauge records from across the United States and Canada were analyzed to determine the percent of the total annual rainfall that fell at a range of intensities. US stations' depths were totaled every 15 minutes, or hourly, and recorded in 0.01-inch increments. Depths were recorded hourly with 1-mm resolution at Canadian stations. One trend was consistent at all sites; the vast majority of precipitation fell at low intensities and high intensity storms contributed relatively little to the total annual depth.

These intensities, along with the total drainage area and runoff coefficient for each specific site, are translated into flow rates using the Rational Rainfall Method. Since most sites are relatively small and highly impervious, the Rational Rainfall Method is appropriate. Based on the runoff flow rates calculated for each intensity, operating rates within a proposed CDS system are determined. Performance efficiency curve determined from full scale laboratory tests on defined sediment PSDs is applied to calculate solids removal efficiency. The relative removal efficiency at each operating rate is added to produce a net annual pollutant removal efficiency estimate.

### **Probabilistic Rational Method**

The Probabilistic Rational Method is a sizing program Contech developed to estimate a net annual sediment load reduction for a particular CDS model based on site size, site runoff coefficient, regional rainfall intensity distribution, and anticipated pollutant characteristics.

The Probabilistic Method is an extension of the Rational Method used to estimate peak discharge rates generated by storm events of varying statistical return frequencies (e.g. 2-year storm event). Under the Rational Method, an adjustment factor is used to adjust the runoff coefficient estimated for the 10-year event, correlating a known hydrologic parameter with the target storm event. The rainfall intensities vary depending on the return frequency of the storm event under consideration. In general, these two frequency dependent parameters (rainfall intensity and runoff coefficient) increase as the return frequency increases while the drainage area remains constant.

These intensities, along with the total drainage area and runoff coefficient for each specific site, are translated into flow rates using the Rational Method. Since most sites are relatively small and highly impervious, the Rational Method is appropriate. Based on the runoff flow rates calculated for each intensity, operating rates within a proposed CDS are determined. Performance efficiency curve on defined sediment PSDs is applied to calculate solids removal efficiency. The relative removal efficiency at each operating rate is added to produce a net annual pollutant removal efficiency estimate.

### **Treatment Flow Rate**

The inlet throat area is sized to ensure that the WQQ passes through the separation chamber at a water surface elevation equal to the crest of the diversion weir. The diversion weir bypasses excessive flows around the separation chamber, thus preventing re-suspension or re-entrainment of previously captured particles.

### **Hydraulic Capacity**

The hydraulic capacity of a CDS system is determined by the length and height of the diversion weir and by the maximum allowable head in the system. Typical configurations allow hydraulic capacities of up to ten times the treatment flow rate. The crest of the diversion weir may be lowered and the inlet throat may be widened to increase the capacity of the system at a given water surface elevation. The unit is designed to meet project specific hydraulic requirements.

### Performance

### Full-Scale Laboratory Test Results

A full-scale CDS system (Model CDS2020-5B) was tested at the facility of University of Florida, Gainesville, FL. This CDS unit was evaluated under controlled laboratory conditions of influent flow rate and addition of sediment.

Two different gradations of silica sand material (UF Sediment & OK-110) were used in the CDS performance evaluation. The particle size distributions (PSDs) of the test materials were analyzed using standard method "Gradation ASTM D-422 "Standard Test Method for Particle-Size Analysis of Soils" by a certified laboratory.

UF Sediment is a mixture of three different products produced by the U.S. Silica Company: "Sil-Co-Sil 106", "#1 DRY" and "20/40 Oil Frac". Particle size distribution analysis shows that the UF Sediment has a very fine gradation (d50 = 20 to 30  $\mu$ m) covering a wide size range (Coefficient of Uniformity, C averaged at 10.6). In comparison with the hypothetical TSS gradation specified in the NJDEP (New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection) and NJCAT (New Jersey Corporation for Advanced Technology) protocol for lab testing, the UF Sediment covers a similar range of particle size but with a finer d50 (d50 for NJDEP is approximately 50  $\mu$ m) (NJDEP, 2003).

The OK-110 silica sand is a commercial product of U.S. Silica Sand. The particle size distribution analysis of this material, also included in Figure 1, shows that 99.9% of the OK-110 sand is finer than 250 microns, with a mean particle size (d50) of 106 microns. The PSDs for the test material are shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Particle size distributions

Tests were conducted to quantify the performance of a specific CDS unit (1.1 cfs (31.3-L/s) design capacity) at various flow rates, ranging from 1% up to 125% of the treatment design capacity of the unit, using the 2400 micron screen. All tests were conducted with controlled influent concentrations of approximately 200 mg/L. Effluent samples were taken at equal time intervals across the entire duration of each test run. These samples were then processed with a Dekaport Cone sample splitter to obtain representative sub-samples for Suspended Sediment Concentration (SSC) testing using ASTM D3977-97 "Standard Test Methods for Determining Sediment Concentration in Water Samples", and particle size distribution analysis.

### **Results and Modeling**

Based on the data from the University of Florida, a performance model was developed for the CDS system. A regression analysis was used to develop a fitting curve representative of the scattered data points at various design flow rates. This model, which demonstrated good agreement with the laboratory data, can then be used to predict CDS system performance with respect

3

to SSC removal for any particle size gradation, assuming the particles are inorganic sandy-silt. Figure 2 shows CDS predictive performance for two typical particle size gradations (NJCAT gradation and OK-110 sand) as a function of operating rate.



Figure 2. CDS stormwater treatment predictive performance for various particle gradations as a function of operating rate.

Many regulatory jurisdictions set a performance standard for hydrodynamic devices by stating that the devices shall be capable of achieving an 80% removal efficiency for particles having a mean particle size (d50) of 125 microns (e.g. Washington State Department of Ecology — WASDOE - 2008). The model can be used to calculate the expected performance of such a PSD (shown in Figure 3). The model indicates (Figure 4) that the CDS system with 2400 micron screen achieves approximately 80% removal at the design (100%) flow rate, for this particle size distribution (d50 = 125  $\mu$ m).



Figure 3. WASDOE PSD





Figure 4. Modeled performance for WASDOE PSD.

### Maintenance

The CDS system should be inspected at regular intervals and maintained when necessary to ensure optimum performance. The rate at which the system collects pollutants will depend more heavily on site activities than the size of the unit. For example, unstable soils or heavy winter sanding will cause the grit chamber to fill more quickly but regular sweeping of paved surfaces will slow accumulation.

### Inspection

Inspection is the key to effective maintenance and is easily performed. Pollutant transport and deposition may vary from year to year and regular inspections will help ensure that the system is cleaned out at the appropriate time. At a minimum, inspections should be performed twice per year (e.g. spring and fall) however more frequent inspections may be necessary in climates where winter sanding operations may lead to rapid accumulations, or in equipment washdown areas. Installations should also be inspected more frequently where excessive amounts of trash are expected.

The visual inspection should ascertain that the system components are in working order and that there are no blockages or obstructions in the inlet and separation screen. The inspection should also quantify the accumulation of hydrocarbons, trash, and sediment in the system. Measuring pollutant accumulation can be done with a calibrated dipstick, tape measure or other measuring instrument. If absorbent material is used for enhanced removal of hydrocarbons, the level of discoloration of the sorbent material should also be identified



during inspection. It is useful and often required as part of an operating permit to keep a record of each inspection. A simple form for doing so is provided.

Access to the CDS unit is typically achieved through two manhole access covers. One opening allows for inspection and cleanout of the separation chamber (cylinder and screen) and isolated sump. The other allows for inspection and cleanout of sediment captured and retained outside the screen. For deep units, a single manhole access point would allows both sump cleanout and access outside the screen.

The CDS system should be cleaned when the level of sediment has reached 75% of capacity in the isolated sump or when an appreciable level of hydrocarbons and trash has accumulated. If absorbent material is used, it should be replaced when significant discoloration has occurred. Performance will not be impacted until 100% of the sump capacity is exceeded however it is recommended that the system be cleaned prior to that for easier removal of sediment. The level of sediment is easily determined by measuring from finished grade down to the top of the sediment pile. To avoid underestimating the level of sediment in the chamber, the measuring device must be lowered to the top of the sediment pile carefully. Particles at the top of the pile typically offer less resistance to the end of the rod than consolidated particles toward the bottom of the pile. Once this measurement is recorded, it should be compared to the as-built drawing for the unit to determine weather the height of the sediment pile off the bottom of the sump floor exceeds 75% of the total height of isolated sump.

### Cleaning

Cleaning of a CDS systems should be done during dry weather conditions when no flow is entering the system. The use of a vacuum truck is generally the most effective and convenient method of removing pollutants from the system. Simply remove the manhole covers and insert the vacuum hose into the sump. The system should be completely drained down and the sump fully evacuated of sediment. The area outside the screen should also be cleaned out if pollutant build-up exists in this area.

In installations where the risk of petroleum spills is small, liquid contaminants may not accumulate as quickly as sediment. However, the system should be cleaned out immediately in the event of an oil or gasoline spill. Motor oil and other hydrocarbons that accumulate on a more routine basis should be removed when an appreciable layer has been captured. To remove these pollutants, it may be preferable to use absorbent pads since they are usually less expensive to dispose than the oil/water emulsion that may be created by vacuuming the oily layer. Trash and debris can be netted out to separate it from the other pollutants. The screen should be cleaned to ensure it is free of trash and debris.

Manhole covers should be securely seated following cleaning activities to prevent leakage of runoff into the system from above and also to ensure that proper safety precautions have been followed. Confined space entry procedures need to be followed if physical access is required. Disposal of all material removed from the CDS system should be done in accordance with local regulations. In many jurisdictions, disposal of the sediments may be handled in the same manner as the disposal of sediments removed from catch basins or deep sump manholes. Check your local regulations for specific requirements on disposal.



CDS Model	Diameter		Distance from to Top of Se	Water Surface ediment Pile	Sediment Storage Capacity	
	ft	m	ft	m	У³	m³
CDS1515	3	0.9	3.0	0.9	0.5	0.4
CDS2015	4	1.2	3.0	0.9	0.9	0.7
CDS2015	5	1.5	3.0	0.9	1.3	1.0
CDS2020	5	1.5	3.5	1.1	1.3	1.0
CDS2025	5	1.5	4.0	1.2	1.3	1.0
CDS3020	6	1.8	4.0	1.2	2.1	1.6
CDS3025	6	1.8	4.0	1.2	2.1	1.6
CDS3030	6	1.8	4.6	1.4	2.1	1.6
CDS3035	6	1.8	5.0	1.5	2.1	1.6
CDS4030	8	2.4	4.6	1.4	5.6	4.3
CDS4040	8	2.4	5.7	1.7	5.6	4.3
CDS4045	8	2.4	6.2	1.9	5.6	4.3
CDS5640	10	3.0	6.3	1.9	8.7	6.7
CDS5653	10	3.0	7.7	2.3	8.7	6.7
CDS5668	10	3.0	9.3	2.8	8.7	6.7
CDS5678	10	3.0	10.3	3.1	8.7	6.7

Table 1: CDS Maintenance Indicators and Sediment Storage Capacities

Note: To avoid underestimating the volume of sediment in the chamber, carefully lower the measuring device to the top of the sediment pile. Finer silty particles at the top of the pile may be more difficult to feel with a measuring stick. These finer particles typically offer less resistance to the end of the rod than larger particles toward the bottom of the pile.



# **CDS Inspection & Maintenance Log**

DS Mode	l:		Location:					
Date	Water depth to sediment <sup>1</sup>	Floatable Layer Thickness <sup>2</sup>	Describe Maintenance Performed	Maintenance Personnel	Comments			

1. The water depth to sediment is determined by taking two measurements with a stadia rod: one measurement from the manhole opening to the top of the sediment pile and the other from the manhole opening to the water surface. If the difference between these measurements is less than the values listed in table 1 the system should be cleaned out. Note: to avoid underestimating the volume of sediment in the chamber, the measuring device must be carefully lowered to the top of the sediment pile.

2. For optimum performance, the system should be cleaned out when the floating hydrocarbon layer accumulates to an appreciable thickness. In the event of an oil spill, the system should be cleaned immediately. 46

**SUPPORT** 

- Drawings and specifications are available at www.ContechES.com.
- Site-specific design support is available from our engineers.



### ©2017 Contech Engineered Solutions LLC, a QUIKRETE Company

Contech Engineered Solutions provides site solutions for the civil engineering industry. Contech's portfolio includes bridges, drainage, sanitary sewer, earth stabilization and stormwater treatment products. For information on other Contech division offerings, visit www.ContechES.com or call 800.338.1122

NOTHING IN THIS CATALOG SHOULD BE CONSTRUED AS A WARRANTY. APPLICATIONS SUGGESTED HEREIN ARE DESCRIBED ONLY TO HELP READERS MAKE THEIR OWN EVALUATIONS AND DECISIONS, AND ARE NEITHER GUARANTEES NOR WARRANTIES OF SUITABILITY FOR ANY APPLICATION. CONTECH MAKES NO WARRANTY WHATSOEVER, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, RELATED TO THE APPLICATIONS, MATERIALS, COATINGS, OR PRODUCTS DISCUSSED HEREIN. ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARE DISCLAIMED BY CONTECH. SEE CONTECH'S CONDITIONS OF SALE (AVAILABLE AT WWW.CONTECHES.COM/COS) FOR MORE INFORMATION.

The product(s) described may be protected by one or more of the following US patents: 5,322,629; 5,624,576; 5,707,527; 5,759,415; 5,788,848; 5,985,157; 6,027,639; 6,350,374; 6,406,218; 6,641,720; 6,511,595; 6,649,048; 6,991,114; 6,998,038; 7,186,058; 7,296,692; 7,297,266; related foreign patents or other patents pending.



# Contech<sup>®</sup> CMP Detention Inspection and Maintenance Guide

Underground stormwater detention and infiltration systems must be inspected and maintained at regular intervals for purposes of performance and longevity.

### Inspection

Inspection is the key to effective maintenance of CMP detention systems and is easily performed. Contech recommends ongoing, annual inspections. Sites with high trash load or small outlet control orifices may need more frequent inspections. The rate at which the system collects pollutants will depend more onsite specific activities rather than the size or configuration of the system.

Inspections should be performed more often in equipment washdown areas, in climates where sanding and/or salting operations take place, and in other various instances in which one would expect higher accumulations of sediment or abrasive/ corrosive conditions. A record of each inspection is to be maintained for the life of the system.

### Maintenance

CMP detention systems should be cleaned when an inspection reveals accumulated sediment or trash is clogging the discharge orifice. Accumulated sediment and trash can typically be evacuated through the manhole over the outlet orifice. If maintenance is not performed as recommended, sediment and trash may accumulate in front of the outlet orifice. Manhole covers should be securely seated following cleaning activities. Contech suggests that all systems be designed with an access/inspection manhole situated at or near the inlet and the outlet orifice. Should it be necessary to get inside the system to perform maintenance activities, all appropriate precautions regarding confined space entry and OSHA regulations should be followed.

Annual inspections are best practice for all underground systems. During this inspection if evidence of salting/de-icing agents is observed within the system, it is best practice for the system to be rinsed, including above the spring line soon after the spring thaw as part of the maintenance program for the system.

Maintaining an underground detention or infiltration system is easiest when there is no flow entering the system. For this reason, it is a good idea to schedule the cleanout during dry weather.

The foregoing inspection and maintenance efforts help ensure underground pipe systems used for stormwater storage continue to function as intended by identifying recommended regular inspection and maintenance practices. Inspection and maintenance related to the structural integrity of the pipe or the soundness of pipe joint connections is beyond the scope of this guide.









© 2019 CONTECH ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS LLC, A QUIKRETE COMPANY ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. PRINTED IN USA.

# UPS Howell Employee Parking Lot Improvements 1183 Fendt Drive Howell, Michigan 48843

# **LEGAL DESCRIPTION**

LAND SITUATED IN THE TOWNSHIP OF GENOA, COUNTY OF LIVINGSTON, MICHIGAN, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS:

UNIT 7, OF GEN TECH INDUSTRIAL PARK, A MICHIGAN CONDOMINIUM, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO ACT 59 OF PUBLIC ACTS 1978, BEING LIVINGSTON COUNTY CONDOMINIUM NO. 68, A PORTION OF THE UNITS RECORDED IN THE MASTER DEED WHICH WAS RECORDED IN LIVINGSTON COUNTY REGISTER OF DEEDS OFFICE AT LIBER 1897, PAGES 42 THROUGH 101, TOGETHER WITH RIGHTS IN GENERAL COMMON ELEMENTS AND LIMITED COMMON ELEMENTS, AS SET FORTH IN THE ABOVE DESCRIBED MASTER DEED AND ALL AMENDMENTS THERETO; AND AS DESCRIBED IN ACT 59 OF THE PUBLIC ACTS OF 1978, AS AMENDED.



NOT TO SCALE

CONSTRUCTION SITE SAFETY IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR. NEITHER THE OWNER NOR THE ENGINEER SHALL BE EXPECTED TO ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY FOR SAFETY OF THE WORK, OF PERSONS ENGAGED IN THE WORK, OF NEARBY STRUCTURES, NOR OF OTHER PERSONS.

# **OWNER**

UNITED PARCEL SERVICE 1212 FENDT DRIVE HOWELL, MI 48843

CONTACT: MR. ADAM MARCHWINSKI PH: 248-266-0950

# LANDSCAPE

SME 43980 PLYMOUTH OAKS BLVD. PLYMOUTH, MI 48170

CONTACT: MR. LLOYD DUBISKY, RLA PH: 734.454.9900 www.sme-usa.com

# **ENGINEER AND APPLICANT**

SME 43980 PLYMOUTH OAKS BLVD. PLYMOUTH, MI 48170

CONTACT: MR. JASON SCHWARTZENBERGER, PE PH: 734.454.9900 www.sme-usa.com

SME PROJECT NO. 083760.00

# ELECTRICAL

PETER BASSO ASSOCIATES, INC. 5145 LIVERNOIS, SUITE 100 TROY, MI 48098

CONTACT: MR. SCOTT GIBBS, PE PH: 248.879.5666

# SURVEY

NOWAK & FRAUS ENGINEERS 46777 WOODWARD AVE. PONTIAC, MI 48342

# **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

PROJECT INCLUDES THE REPLACEMENT OF EXISTING GRAVEL PARKING LOT WITH AN ASPHALT PAVEMENT SECTION WITH CONCRETE CURB AND GUTTER, STORM UNDERGROUND INFRASTRUCTURE, SITE LIGHTING AND OTHER IMPROVEMENTS.

PERMITS REQUIRED FOR PROJECT						
PERMIT CITY/AGENCY APPROVAL						
SESC	LIVINGSTON					

NOT TO SCALE



NOT TO SCALE

UTILITY COMPANIESTELEPHONE AT&TAT&T550 S. MAPLE ROAD ANN ARBOR, MI 48103 PH: 734.996.5341	www.sme-usa.com		
CABLEWATERCOMCAST CABLEM.H.O.G. SEWER AND WATER UTILITYSIGMA TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.4288 NORTON RD27096 OAKMEAN DRIVEHOWELL, MI 48843PERRYSBURG, OH 43551PH: 810.227.5225PH: 419.874.9262 EXT. 6012HOWELL	Orientation Scale		
ELECTRICAL DETROIT EDISON NORTHWEST PLANNING AND DESIGN - FARMINGTON HILLS 37849 INTERCHANGE DR. FARMINGTON HILLS, MI 48335 PH: 248.427.2200			
GAS CONSUMERS ENERGY COMPANY G&GAM TRANSMISSION PIPELINE ENGINEERING PH: 989.574.7538	EMPLOYEE PARKING LOT IMPROVOMENTS		
ST OF DRAWINGS	Project Location 1183 FENDT DRIVE HOWELL, MI 48843		
EET No.SHEET TITLEC-100COVER SHEETC-200GENERAL PROJECT NOTESOF 3ALTA/NSPS/ LAND TITLE/ TOPOGRAPHIC/ TREE SURVEY			
<ul> <li>ALTA/NSPS/ LAND TITLE/ TOPOGRAPHIC/ TREE SURVEY</li> <li>ALTA/NSPS/ LAND TITLE/ TOPOGRAPHIC/ TREE SURVEY</li> <li>TREE LIST</li> <li>EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS</li> <li>EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS</li> <li>SESC AND SITE PREPARATION PLAN</li> <li>SESC AND SITE PREPARATION PLAN</li> <li>SITE LAYOUT PLAN</li> <li>OVERALL STRIPING PLAN</li> <li>VEHICLE TRACKING SIMULATION</li> </ul>	Sheet Name COVER SHEET		
<ul> <li>PAVING AND GRADING PLAN</li> <li>UTILITY PLAN AND DRAINAGE AREA MAP</li> <li>STORM SEWER PROFILES</li> <li>MECHANICAL FOREBAY AND DETENTION CALCULATIONS</li> <li>UNDERGROUND DETENTION DETAILS</li> <li>DIDETAILS</li> <li>DETAILS</li> <li>GENOA TOWNSHIP STANDARD DETAILS</li> <li>BORING LOGS</li> <li>ELECTRICAL STANDARD SCHEDULES</li> </ul>	Engineer's Seal		
ELECTRICAL STANDARD SCHEDOLLS ELECTRICAL DEMOLITION SITE PLAN ELECTRICAL NEW WORK SITE PLAN ELECTRICAL DETAILS AND DIAGRAMS LANDSCAPE PLAN	Revisions       REV     ISSUED FOR     DATE     BY       01     TOWNSHIP COMMENTS     09/23/2020     JAS		
AREA:       2.00 AC         ZONING:       IND, INDUSTRIAL         IMUM LOT AREA:       1 ACRE	Know what's below. Callbefore you dig.		
IMUM LOT WIDTH:     150 FI       (IMUM LOT COVERAGE:     40% BLDG/ 85% IMPERVIOUS SURFACE	Date 09/02/2020		
INTOIN SET DACKS. NT YARD: 85 FEET IF PARKING IN THE FRONT YARD 50 FEET IF NO PARKING IN THE FRONT YARD	SME Project No.		
YARD: 25 FEET, 50 FEET IF ADJACENT TO RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT	084617.00 Project Manager:		
K YARD:     40 FEET, 80 FEET IF ADJACENT TO RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT       KING LOT:     20 FEET, 10 FEET SIDE AND REAR	J. SCHWARTZENBERGER		
UIRED PARKING: AREA, OR 1.2 SPACES PER 1,000 SFT GROSS FLOOR AREA, OR 1.2 SPACES PER EMPLOYEE AT PEAK SHIFT, WHICHEVER IS GREATER: PLUS 1 SPACE	H. CERON CADD:		
FOR EACH CORPORATE VEHICLE.         STREET LOADING:       0 (UP TO 1,400 SFT GFA)	H. CERON Checked By:		
DSCAPE: 20' WIDE FRONT GREENBELT INCLUDING ONE (1) CANOPY TREE, ROUNDED UPWARD, FOR EVERY FORTY (40) LINEAR FEET OF FRONTAGE.	B. HART Reviewed By:		
J	J. SCHWARTZENBERGER Sheet No.		
	C-100		
<b>ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL</b>	DRAWING NOTE: SCALE DEPICTED IS MEANT FOR 24" X 36" AND WILL SCALE INCORRECTLY IF PRINTED ON ANY OTHER SIZE MEDIA NO REPRODUCTION SHALL BE MADE WITHOUT THE PRIOR CONSENT OF SME © 2020		

# **GENERAL NOTES**

- MATERIAL AND CONSTRUCTION METHODS SHALL FOLLOW THE PRACTICE DEFINED BY THE 2012 EDITION OF THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION "STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION" AND APPLICABLE SPECIAL PROVISIONS UNLESS OTHERWISE MODIFIED HEREWITHIN OR IN THE PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS.
- THE LOCATION OF ALL PUBLIC UTILITIES SHOWN ON THESE PLANS IS TAKEN FROM AVAILABLE DATA. OWNER WILL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY OMISSION OR VARIATIONS FROM THE LOCATIONS SHOWN. PURSUANT TO ACT 174 OF THE PA OF 2013 AS A CONDITION OF THIS CONTRACT NOTICE SHALL BE GIVEN TO MISS DIG PRIOR TO UNDERGROUND WORK TO BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS CONTRACT. CALL 811.
- FOR PRIVATE UTILITIES, CONTRACTOR SHALL RETAIN THE SERVICES OF A PRIVATE UTILITY LOCATOR TO LOCATE ALL PRIVATE UTILITIES OWNED BY OWNER.
- 4. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE A MINIMUM OF 72 HOURS PRIOR TO BEGINNING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES OR DISRUPTION OF ANY UTILITY.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL LOCATE ALL ACTIVE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES PRIOR TO STARTING WORK AND SHALL CONDUCT HIS OPERATIONS IN SUCH A MANNER AS TO ENSURE THAT THOSE UTILITIES NOT REQUIRING RELOCATION WILL NOT BE DISTURBED. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE TO REPAIR UTILITIES DAMAGED DURING CONSTRUCTION. SPRINKLER SYSTEMS SHALL BE REPAIRED BY CONTRACTOR AT NO COST TO OWNER.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONDUCT HIS OPERATIONS IN SUCH A MANNER TO COMPLY WITH ALL FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES FOR NOISE LEVELS, VIBRATIONS, OR ANY OTHER RESTRICTIONS WHILE REMOVING PAVEMENT OR FOR ANY OTHER CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS WITHIN THIS CONTRACT TO BE INCLUDED IN THE RESPECTIVE ITEM OF WORK.
- CONTRACTOR AGREES TO ASSUME SOLE AND COMPLETE RESPONSIBILITY FOR JOB SITE CONDITIONS DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION OF THIS PROJECT. INCLUDING SAFETY OF ALL PERSONS AND PROTECTION OF PROPERTY.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DESIGN, CONSTRUCT, AND MAINTAIN ALL SAFETY DEVICES, AND SHALL BE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR CONFORMING TO ALL LOCAL. STATE, AND FEDERAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS, LAWS. AND REGULATIONS. ALL TRAFFIC CONTROL ACTIVITIES SHALL BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATEST VERSION OF THE MICHIGAN MANUAL OF UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES AND SHALL SUBMIT ALL REQUESTS TO OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE AT LEAST SEVENTY-TWO (72) HOURS PRIOR TO THE ANTICIPATED NEED TO CLOSE AREAS.
- CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ANY NECESSARY PERMITS AND CONFORMING TO ALL APPLICABLE PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.
- 10. CONTRACTOR SHALL SUBMIT ALL MATERIAL SUBMITTALS REQUIRED BY THE PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS INCLUDING ASPHALT CONCRETE AND PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE MIX DESIGNS TO SME A MINIMUM OF 14 DAYS PRIOR TO BEGINNING FIELD WORK.
- 11. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR LAYOUT AND FIELD VERIFICATION. ADJUST CATCHBASINS AS REQUIRED. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR PROVIDING POSITIVE DRAINAGE. (MIN. 1.5%). DRAINAGE ISSUES SHALL BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF SME PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF ANY PAVEMENT STRUCTURE LAYERS. AREAS OF PONDING WATER SHALL BE REPAIRED BY FULL DEPTH PATCHING AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO OWNER. CONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM WALKTHROUGH WITH OWNER AND SME BEFORE PLACEMENT OF ASPHALT CONCRETE LEVELING COURSE TO REVIEW PROPOSED GRADES.
- 12. OBJECTS DESIGNATED TO REMAIN INCLUDING SIDEWALKS, PAVEMENT, CURB, LIGHT POLES, TRAFFIC SIGNS, LANDSCAPE AREAS, ETC. SHALL BE PROTECTED. IF DAMAGED BY THE CONTRACTOR, IT SHALL BE REPAIRED TO OWNER SATISFACTION AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE OWNER.
- 13. UPON COMPLETION OF EACH DAY OF WORK, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR LEAVING THE WORK AREA FREE OF HAZARDS AND SHALL PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY TEMPORARY SIGNS, WARNING DEVICES, AND BARRICADES.
- 14. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL HAVE AN APPROVED SET OF FINAL PLANS MARKED ``FOR CONSTRUCTION" ON THE JOB SITE AT ALL TIMES. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL KEEP ACCURATE AND LEGIBLE RECORDS OF ALL CHANGES OF WORK THAT OCCUR DURING CONSTRUCTION AND INFORMATION ON ``AS-BUILT" CONDITIONS. DOCUMENTATION OF CHANGES AND AS-BUILT INFORMATION SHALL BE RECORDED ON AN APPROVED SET OF FINAL PROJECT PLANS AND DELIVERED TO SME AFTER COMPLETION OF WORK.
- 15. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL TAKE THE NECESSARY STEPS TO PROTECT THE PROJECT AND ADJACENT PROPERTY FROM ANY EROSION AND SILTING THAT RESULT FROM THE CONSTRUCTION BY APPROPRIATE MEANS UNTIL SUCH TIME THE PROJECT IS COMPLETED AND ACCEPTED FOR MAINTENANCE BY OWNER.

# EARTHWORK NOTES

- 1. ALL NATURAL SOIL LEFT IN PLACE, IN CUT SECTIONS, SHALL BE COMPACTED TO NOT LESS THAN 95 PERCENT OF MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY OF THE MATERIAL AS DETERMINED BY THE MODIFIED PROCTOR TO A MINIMUM DEPTH OF 12 INCHES.
- 2. THE LIMIT OF EARTH DISTURBANCE SHALL BE THE SLOPE STAKE LINE UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER.
- 3. ALL SLOPES SHALL BE CLASS A SLOPES.
- 4. AREAS DISTURBED BY THE CONTRACTOR OR SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESTORED AS SPECIFIED IN THE SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL PLAN, RESTORATION PLAN OR DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER. NO ADDITIONAL PAYMENT OR COMPENSATION WILL BE ALLOWED FOR AREAS DISTURBED OUTSIDE THE SLOPE STAKE LINE.
- 5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY DAMAGE TO THE PROPERTY BEYOND THE GRADING LIMITS, INCLUDING EXISTING FENCING, LAWN, TREES, SHRUBBERY, AND SIDEWALKS.

# **EARTH EXCAVATION NOTES**

- 1. IT SHALL BE THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO PROVIDE TEMPORARY STORAGE AREAS FOR EXCAVATED MATERIAL WHICH MAY BE USED AS EMBANKMENT MATERIAL IN OTHER AREAS IF IT IS SUITABLE MATERIAL AS DETERMINED BY THE ENGINEER, ANY EXTRA HANDLING OF EXCAVATED MATERIAL IS CONSIDERED INCLUDED IN THESE PAY ITEMS.
- 2. EXCAVATION DROP-OFFS GREATER THAN 8 INCHES SHALL BE PROTECTED BY 4 FT TALL ORANGE PLASTIC SAFETY FENCE (SNOW FENCE) SECURELY ATTACHED TO GROUND DRIVEN STAKES WHEN LEFT OVERNIGHT. SAFETY FENCING SHALL BE MAINTAINED UNTIL AREA IS WITHIN 8 INCHES OF ADJACENT GRADE. PAYMENT FOR THIS WORK IS INCLUDED IN TRAFFIC CONTROL LUMP SUM UNIT PRICE.

# SOIL BORING NOTES

1. THE SOIL BORINGS LOGS DEPICT POINT LOCATIONS AND DO NOT INFER THAT THE SURFACE CONDITIONS ARE THE SAME IN OTHER AREAS. BORING LOCATIONS ARE SHOWN ON THE PLANS, SOIL BORING LOGS ARE INCLUDED IN THE SPECIFICATIONS.

## SITE GRADING NOTES

- RESPONSIBLE FOR PROVIDING POSITIVE DRAINAGE WITH MIN. SLOPE OF 1.5%. LONGITUDINAL SLOPE ALONG GUTTER LINES SHALL BE 0.5% MIN.
- PROPOSED SITE GRADES WITH THE ENGINEER TO IDENTIFY AND RECTIFY ANY COMPLICATIONS.
- 3. PROPOSED SIDEWALKS SHALL HAVE MIN. 1.0% AND MAX. 2.0% CROSS SLOPE.
- BOXES.
- MATCH ELEVATIONS UNLESS INDICATED OTHERWISE.
- 6. ADJUST GUTTER PAN FROM SPILL IN TO SPILL OUT AS REQUIRED TO ACHIEVE POSITIVE DRAINAGE.
- 7. ADJUST ELEVATION OF CURB REPAIRS AS REQUIRED TO ACHIEVE POSITIVE DRAINAGE.

# **GENERAL PAVING NOTES**

- THE PLANS.
- OTHER FIXED OBJECTS.
- PATTERN PRIOR TO REMOVAL OF ANY PAVEMENT.
- REPRESENTATIVE AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE OWNER.
- DIRECTED BY THE OWNER, INCLUDING THE NECESSARY FINE GRADING TO ENSURE THAT MINIMUM SPECIFIED PAVEMENT THICKNESS IS ACHIEVED.

# **TRAFFIC CONTROL NOTES**

- PRIOR TO THE ANTICIPATED NEED TO CLOSE ANY PAVED AREA.
- MANUAL OF UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES.'

# **DEMOLITION NOTES**

- REMOVE ONLY THE STRUCTURES AND PAVEMENTS WITHIN THE LIMITS OF WORK AS SHALL BE PROTECTED AS REQUIRED.
- AND DISPOSAL COSTS.

# **CURB REPAIR NOTES**

- BY OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.
- DESIGNATED TO REMAIN.
- 4. MATCH EXISTING CURB GEOMETRY.
- CEMENT MORTAR PASTE TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE OWNER.

# **CLEANUP AND RESTORATION NOTES**

- SITE.
- UNUSED MATERIALS, AND RUBBISH AND ANY DISTURBED AREAS RESTORED TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE OWNER.
- PER THE PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS.

PROPOSED ELEVATIONS ARE SHOWN WHERE SIGNIFICANT GRADE CHANGES ARE ANTICIPATED. IF PROPOSED GRADES ARE NOT SHOWN THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE

PRIOR TO INSTALLING ANY PAVEMENT LAYERS, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REVIEW THE

4. CONTRACTOR SHALL ADJUST ALL UTILITY RIMS LOCATED WITHIN THE WORK LIMITS AS NECESSARY TO BE FLUSH WITH THE PROPOSED FINISHED SURFACE. THIS INCLUDES ALL STORM MANHOLES, CATCH BASINS, CLEANOUTS, SANITARY MANHOLES AND CLEANOUTS, WATER MAIN MANHOLES, GATE VALVES, AND BOXES OR ANY OTHER UTILITY RIMS OR

5. WHERE PROPOSED PAVEMENT ABUTS EXISTING PAVEMENT DESIGNATED TO REMAIN,

8. TAPER CURB HEIGHT TO 0" IN 10' WHEN ABUTTING TO EX. PAVEMENT WITHOUT CURB.

1. NEW PAVEMENT SHALL BE OF THE TYPE, THICKNESS AND CROSS-SECTION INDICATED ON

2. MATCH EXISTING ELEVATIONS WHERE NEW PAVEMENT ABUTS EXISTING PAVEMENTS OR

CONTRACTOR SHALL MATCH EXISTING STRIPING PATTERNS UNLESS OTHERWISE REQUIRED BY THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE, CONTRACTOR SHALL DOCUMENT EXISTING STRIPING

4. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR LAYOUT TO PROVIDE POSITIVE SITE DRAINAGE. WATER PONDING AND BIRD BATHS SHALL BE CORRECTED AS DIRECTED BY THE OWNER'S

NEW AGGREGATE BASE REQUIRED SHALL BE MDOT 21AA CRUSHED LIMESTONE MATERIAL. SUBGRADE SOIL FOUND TO BE UNSUITABLE SHALL BE RECONDITIONED OR REPLACED AS

1. OBTAIN WRITTEN PERMISSION FROM OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE WHEN ROADS, SIDEWALKS AND PARKING LOTS NEED TO BE CLOSED PRIOR TO CLOSING ANY PAVED AREA. 2. SUBMIT ALL REQUESTS TO OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE AT LEAST SEVENTY-TWO (72) HOURS

PROVIDE ALTERNATE TRAFFIC ROUTES AROUND CLOSED OR OBSTRUCTED TRAFFIC ROUTES AS NECESSARY OR REQUIRED BY OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR FURNISHING, PLACING AND MAINTAINING ALL TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES ACCORDING TO THE LATEST EDITION OF THE "MICHIGAN

1. SAWCUT FULL DEPTH THE PAVEMENT, SIDEWALK AND CURB DESIGNATED TO BE REMOVED AT THE LIMITS OF WORK SHOWN ON THE PLANS OR MARKED IN THE FIELD.

DETAILED ON THE PLANS AND CROSS SECTIONS. ALL OTHER STRUCTURES AND PAVEMENT

3. ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES WITHIN THE AREA OF WORK SHALL REMAIN AND BE PROTECTED DURING CONSTRUCTION, UNLESS, OTHERWISE DESIGNATED TO BE REMOVED. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY EXACT LOCATION AND DEPTHS OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF DEMOLITION OPERATIONS.

CONTRACTOR SHALL DISPOSE OF ALL CONCRETE , ASPHALT, AGGREGATE BASE AND SUBGRADE SPOILS AT LEGAL DISPOSAL SITE. CONTRACTOR SHALL PAY FOR ALL TRUCKING

1. SAWCUT THE CURB AREAS DESIGNATED FOR REPAIR AT THE LIMITS MARKED IN THE FIELD

2. REMOVE EXISTING CONCRETE WITHOUT CAUSING DAMAGE OR SPALLING TO ADJACENT SIDEWALK OR CURBS WHICH ARE DESIGNATED TO REMAIN. DAMAGED AREAS SHALL BE REMOVED AND REPLACED TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE OWNER AT NO ADDITIONAL COST. DRILL AND EPOXY GROUT TWO (2) #5 (18 INCH LONG EPOXY COATED) DEFORMED BARS A MINIMUM OF 6 INCHES INTO EXISTING CONCRETE CURBS (TWO FACES) WHICH ARE

5. PLACE AND CONSOLIDATE CONCRETE IN THE REPAIR AREA TO MATCH THE ELEVATION OF ADJACENT CURB AND SIDEWALK AREAS TO MAINTAIN POSITIVE DRAINAGE. FINISH CURBS TO MATCH FINISH OF ADJACENT AREAS WHICH ARE DESIGNATED TO REMAIN. VOID/HOLES (HONEYCOMB AREAS) ON THE CURB BACK/FACE SHALL BE REPAIRED WITH

1. CLEAN AND RESTORE ALL DISTURBED AREAS WITH 4 INCHES OF TOPSOIL, HYDROSEED AND HIGH VELOCITY MULCH BLANKETS. DISPOSE OF DEBRIS OFFSITE AT APPROVED DISPOSAL

2. UPON COMPLETION OF WORK, THE ENTIRE SITE SHALL BE CLEARED OF EQUIPMENT,

FINAL PAYMENT WILL NOT BE MADE UNTIL THE SITE IS CLEARED, RESTORED AND CLEANED

# ABBREVIATIONS USED IN DRAWINGS

THE FOLLOWING ABBREVIATIONS ARE USED ON THESE PLANS:

ADA

AGG ALT

APPROX.

ARCH. ASTM

AVG

BDY

BIT

RFF BLDG

ΒM

BOT

BRG BSMT

CAP

CB CENTL.

CF

CL

CLS

CMP

CMU

COEF COL

CO

CONC.

CONN.

COV.

CRB

CY

DIA.

DIM.

DEG.

DEMO.

DEPT.

DMH

ELEV.

ΕM

ENG. ENT.

EQUIP.

ES EXCAV.

EX.

FD

FDC

FDR

FDN

FURN.

FTG

ELEV.

GAL. GEN.

GU

GVL

HD HDPE

ΗP

HGL

HMA

HYD INT

MAX

MF MDOT

MH

MIN

NFV

NTS

OC

OD

PCC

PVC

PR

RCP

SAN

SBC

SESC

STM

TFR

TYP ΤW VB

VCP

VERT

VOL

BOW WM

TOW

WTR WWF

W

VIF VLT

SF

RY

HORIZ.

GVA

EQUIP.

EXPN

DS

CULV.

CHAN.

ΔΤ (ΒΔΤΕ ΟΕ)
AND
INCH
NUMBER
ASPHALT CONCRETE
AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (BARRIER FREE ACCESS)
AGGREGATE BASE
APPROXIMATE/APPROXIMATELY
ARCHITECT
AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR TESTING AND MATERIALS
AVERAGE
BOUNDARY
BITUMINOUS
BARRIER FREE
BANK FULL FLOOD
BENCHMARK
BOTTOM
BEARING
CORE
CAPACITY
CATCH BASIN
CENTERLINE
CHANNEI
CONTROL JOINT
CRUSHED LIMESTONE
CONCRETE MASONRY UNIT
COEFFICIENT
COLUMN
CLEAN OUT CONCRETE
CONNECTION
COVER
CULVERT
CURB CUBIC YARD
DIAMETER
DUCTILE IRON
DIMENSION
DEGREE
DEPARTMENT
DROP MANHOLE
DOWNSPOUT
EAST
EACH END
EDGE OF GRAVEL
ELEVATION EDGE OF METAL
ENGINEER
ENTRANCE
EQUIPMENT END SECTION
EXCAVATE
EXISTING
EXPANSION
FIRE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION
FOUNDATION
FULL DEPTH RECLAMATION
FINISH FLOOR
FURNISH
FLOOD PLAIN
FEET/FOOT
EQUIPMENT
GALLON
GENERAL
GUTTER GATE VALVE
GRAVEL
HEAVY DUTY
HIGH DENSITY POLYTHYLENE
HIGH POINT HYDRALILIC GRADE LINE
HOT MIX ASPHALT
HORIZONTAL
HYDRANT
LINEAR FEET
LOW POINT
MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
MANHOLE
NOT IN CONTRACT
NUMBER
NOT FIELD VERIFIED
NOT TO SCALE ON CENTER
OUTSIDE DIAMETER
PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE
POLYVINYL CHLORIDE
REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE
REAR YARD
SANITARY SEWER STABILIZED BASE COURSE
SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL
SQUARE FEET
SUBGKADE STORM SEWER
SOUARE YARD
TOP OF HMA PAVEMENT ELEVATION
i Eivipukaky eakth ketentiün system Test Pit
TYPICAL
TOP OF SIDEWALK
VITRIFIED CLAY PIPE VERTICAL
VERIFY IN FIELD
VAULT
VOLUME WEST
BOTTOM OF WALL
WATER MAIN
TOP OF WALL
WATER WEIDED WIRE FARRIC

ENGINEER CITY COUNTY OWNER

# **DEFINITIONS USED IN DRAWINGS**

THE FOLLOWING DEFINITIONS ARE USED ON THESE PLANS

SME GENOA TOWNSHIP LIVINGSTON UPS

		SR www.sme-usa.c	som	E
Ori	entation	Scale		
Prc U EI IN	<sup>iject</sup> PS HOV MPLOYI MPROV(	VELL EE PARKIN OMENTS	IG LOT	
Pro 11 H	iject Location	IDT DRIVE , MI 48843	3	
G	eet Name ENERAI	- PROJEC	ΓΝΟΤΕ	ES
Eng	gineer's Seal	HUGO J. HUGO J. ENGINEER ANTOR FOFESS IONA		
Rev	visions	DR	DATE	BY
01	TOWNSHIF	P COMMENTS	09/23/2020	JAS
Dat	te	00/00/000		
SM	E Project No.	09/02/2020	J	
Pro	oject Manage J. S	r: CHWARTZENB	ERGER	
De	signer:	H. CERON		
CA	DD:	H. CERON		
Ch	ecked By:	B. HART		
Rev	viewed By: J. S	SCHWARTZENI	BERGER	
Sh	eet No.	C-200	)	
	DRAW	ING NOTE: SCALE DEPICTED IS MEA L SCALE INCORRECTLY IF PRINTED	NT FOR 24" X 36" AN ON ANY OTHER SIZE	D

# **ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL**





### LEGAL DESCRIPTION -PER TITLE COMMITMENT

LAND SITUATED IN THE TOWNSHIP OF GENOA, COUNTY OF LIVINGSTON, MICHIGAN, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS:

UNITS 2, 3 AND 4, OF GEN TECH INDUSTRIAL PARK, A CONDOMINIUM ACCORDING TO THE MASTER DEED THEREOF RECORDED IN LIBER 1897, PAGE 42, LIVINGSTON COUNTY RECORDS, AND DESIGNATED AS LIVINGSTON COUNTY CONDOMINIUM SUBDIVISION PLAN NO. 68, AND ANY AMENDMENTS THERETO, OGETHER WITH AN UNDIVIDED INTEREST IN THE COMMON ELEMENTS OF SAID CONDOMINIUM AS SET FORTH IN SAID MASTER DEED AND AS DESCRIBED IN ACT 59 OF THE PUBLIC ACTS OF MICHIGAN OF 1978, AS AMENDED.

COMMONLY KNOWN AS: 1212 FENDT DR. TAX PARCEL ID: 11-08-201-012

### TITLE REPORT NOTES

RIGHTS OR CLAIMS OF PARTIES IN POSSESSION NOT SHOWN BY THE PUBLIC RECORDS.

3. EASEMENTS, OR CLAIMS OF EASEMENTS, NOT SHOWN BY THE PUBLIC RECORDS.

8. RIGHTS OF THE PUBLIC AND ANY GOVERNMENTAL UNIT IN ANY PART OF THE LAND TAKEN, DEEDED OR USED FOR ROAD, STREET OR HIGHWAY PURPOSES. 9. MINERALS OF WHATSOEVER KIND, SUBSURFACE AND SURFACE SUBSTANCES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO COAL, LIGNITE, OIL, GAS, URANIUM, CLAY, ROCK, SAND AND GRAVEL IN, ON, UNDER AND THAT MAY BE PRODUCED FROM THE LAND, TOGETHER WITH

ALL RIGHTS, PRIVILEGES, AND IMMUNITIES RELATING THERETO, WHETHER OR NOT APPEARING IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS OR LISTED IN SCHEDULE B. THE COMPANY MAKES NO REPRESENTATION AS TO THE PRESENT OWNERSHIP OF ANY SUCH INTERESTS. THERE MAY BE LEASES, GRANTS, EXCEPTIONS OR RESERVATIONS OF INTERESTS THAT ARE NOT LISTED.

10. RIGHTS OF TENANTS AND/OR PARTIES IN POSSESSION UNDER ANY UNRECORDED LEASES, AND THE RIGHT OF ANY PARTY CLAIMING BY AND THROUGH SAID TENANT(S).

11. RIGHTS OF OTHERS OVER THAT PORTION OF THE LAND USED AS INGRESS AND EGRESS TO OTHER LANDS.

RIGHTS OF THE CO-OWNERS OF GEN TECH INDUSTRIAL PARK, A MICHIGAN CONDOMINIUM IN THE GENERAL COMMON ELEMENTS AND LIMITED COMMON ELEMENTS AS SET FORTH IN THE MASTER DEED RECORDED IN LIBER 1897, PAGES 42 THROUGH 101, INCLUSIVE, LIVINGSTON COUNTY RECORDS, AND AS AMENDED AND AS DESCRIBED IN ACT 59 OF THE PUBLIC ACTS OF 1978 AS AMENDED, AND ALL THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS, PROVISIONS, AGREEMENTS, OBLIGATIONS, REGULATIONS, RESTRICTIONS, EASEMENTS AND OTHER MATTERS SET FORTH IN THE ABOVE DESCRIBED MASTER DEED, AMENDMENT(S) AND STATUTE. NOTE: THE MASTER DEED PROVIDES, AMONG OTHER THINGS FOR ASSESSMENTS TO BE MADE AGAINST EACH UNIT/APARTMENT, AND FOR EACH SUCH UNPAID DELINQUENT ASSESSMENTS TO CONSTITUTE A LIEN. [SAID EASEMENTS AS SHOWN ON EXHIBIT B TO THE MASTER DEED ARE PLOTTED HEREON].

12. DETROIT EDISON UNDERGROUND EASEMENT (RIGHT OF WAY) RECORDED IN LIBER 2742, PAGE 421. [SAID EASEMENT IS PLOTTED HEREON

13. LEASE INTEREST OF MATTRESS WORLD, INC., AND THE TERMS, COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND PROVISIONS CONTAINED IN THE LEASE, AND ALL AMENDMENTS THERETO AS DISCLOSED BY THE DOCUMENT RECORDED IN INSTRUMENT: 2008R-029674. [NO PLOTTABLE EASEMENTS/RESTRICTIONS. NOT PLOTTED HEREON] ALL EXCEPTIONS SHOWN OR NOTED ON THIS SURVEY WERE OBTAINED FROM TITLE COMMITMENT NO. 20000110074, WITH AN EFFECTIVE DATE OF 01-10-2020, ISSUED BY STEWART TITLE GUARANTY COMPANY.

### SURVEY DATA

SITE AREA: UNIT 7 = 87,149 SQUARE FEET OR 2.00 ACRES UNITS 2, 3 AND 4 = 261,554 SQUARE FEET OR 6.00 ACRES

ZONED: IND, INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT

BUILDING SETBACKS: FRONT = 85' IF PARKING IN THE FRONT YARD

50' IF NO PARKING IN THE FRONT YARD SIDES = 25'; 50' IF ADJACENT TO RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT REAR = 40'; 80' IF ADJACENT TO RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT

MAX. BUILDING HEIGHT PERMITTED: 2 STORIES / 30' THE ABOVE SETBACK & HEIGHT REQUIREMENTS WERE OBTAINED FROM THE TOWNSHIP OF GENOA ZONING ORDINANCE.

PARKING SPACES: UNIT 7 = NO STRIPED PARKING SPACES UNITS 2, 3 AND 4 = 46 REGULAR SPACES, 3 BARRIER FREE

SPACES AND 24 TRAILER TRUCK SPACES

A SURVEYOR CANNOT MAKE A CERTIFICATION ON THE BASIS OF AN INTERPRETATION OR OPINION OF ANOTHER PARTY. A ZONING ENDORSEMENT LETTER SHOULD BE OBTAINED FROM THE TOWNSHIP OF GENOA TO INSURE CONFORMITY AS WELL AS MAKE A FINAL DETERMINATION OF THE REQUIRED BUILDING SETBACK REQUIREMENTS.

LEGEND	
MANHOLE(MH)	
	EXISTING SANITARY SEWER
	EXISTING SAN. CLEAN OUT
	EXISTING WATER MAIN
	EXISTING STORM SEWER
→ CBB	EX. BEEHIVE CATCH BASIN
	EX. UNDERGROUND (UG.) CABLE
	OVERHEAD (OH.) LINES
UP ČO T MILL	LIGHT POLE
· · ·	EXISTING GAS MAIN
ASPH.	ASPHALT
CONC.	CONCRETE
F.I. / S.I.	FOUND IRON / SET IRON
RET. WALL	RETAINING WALL
R.O.W.	RIGHT-OF-WAY
(TYP)	TYPICAL
(R)	RECORD
(M)	MEASURED
C/L	CENTERLINE
P/L	PROPERTY LINE
GM	GAS METER
ЕМ	ELECTRIC METER
LS	LANDSCAPE
DS	DOWNSPOUT
MB	MAIL BOX
CR	CABLE RISER
ER	ELECTRIC RISER
PR	PHONE RISER
IB	IRRIGATION BOX
GP	GUARD POST
FDC	FIRE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION
× 889	IREE TAG NUMBER



# NOWAK & FRAUS ENGINEERS

**CIVIL ENGINEERS** LAND SURVEYORS LAND PLANNERS

NOWAK & FRAUS ENGINEERS 46777 WOODWARD AVE. PONTIAC, MI 48342-5032 TEL. (248) 332-7931 FAX. (248) 332-8257 WWW.NOWAKFRAUS.COM

SEAL

PROJECT UPS Facility -1212 & 1183 Fendt Drive Howell, MI 48843

### CLIENT

Sidock Group, Inc. 45650 Grand River Ave. Novi, MI 48374

Contact: Casey Leach, PE Phone: 248.349.4500 Email:

cleach@sidockgroup.com

### PROJECT LOCATION

Part of the NE 1/4 of Section 8 T.2N., R.5E., Genoa Township, Livingston County, Michigan

SHEET

ALTA/NSPS Land Title / Topographic / Tree Survey



DATE ISSUED/REVISED 03-27-20 PRELIMINARY ALTA SURVEY ISSUED 03-30-20 ALTA & TOPO SURVEY ISSUED

DRAWN BY:			
M. Carnaghi			
<b>DESIGNED BY:</b>			
APPROVED BY:			
K. Navaroli			
DATE:			
March 27, 2020			
SCALE: 1" = 30'			
30 15 0	15	30	45
NFE JOB NO.	S	HEET NO	Э.
L641		2 of 3	1

L641 Job Number:

Job Location: 1212 Fendt Drive, Howell Michigan Date: Sunday, March 29, 2020 Performed By Alexander Kriebel Forestry Registration No.

<u>Condition Description Notes:</u> "Good" - no observed structural defects\*

"Fair" - minor structural defects, marginal form, some insect activity noted\*

"Poor" - major structural defects, poor form, insect infested\*

\*Structural defects may include decayed wood, cracks, root problems, weak branch unions cankers, poor tree architecture, dead/failed branches due to various causes.

ee #	Botanical Name	Common Name	Dia.	Type	Other Dia.	Condition	Comments
601	Pyrus calleryana	<b>Callery Pear</b>	13			Fair	Competing Leads, Inosculation
<b>602</b>	Malus spp.	Crabapple	8			Fair	Competing Leads, Suckers At Base
603 104	Malus spp.	Crabapple	9			Fair Eair	Competing Leads
04 305	Pyrus calleryana Pyrus calleryana	Callery Pear Callery Pear	12			Fair Fair	Competing Leads, inosculation
606	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	5			Good	Comparing Leads, mosculation
<b>07</b>	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	7			Fair	Bent Lead
<b>608</b>	Pyrus calleryana	<b>Callery Pear</b>	8			Fair	Suckers At Base, Canopy Die-Back, Competing Leads
09	Picea pungens	Colorado Blue Spruce	9			Good	
510 • 1 1	Too Small Rei	nove From List	o			Card	
11 12	A cer rubrum	Colorado Blue Spruce Red Manle	8 8			Good Poor	Girdling Roots Poor Growth Structure Lacks Unner Branching
13	Picea pungens	Colorado Blue Spruce	7			Good	Grunng Roots, 1001 Growin Structure, Lacks Opper Branching
514	Pyrus calleryana	Callery Pear	8			Fair	Broken Limbs Due To Vehicle Traffic, Competing Leads
515	Pinus nigra	Austrian Pine	12			Fair	Insect Activity, Canopy Die-Back, Competing Leads
16	Pinus nigra	Austrian Pine	10			Fair	Canopy Die-Back, Bent Lead
517	Pinus nigra	Austrian Pine	11			Fair	Insect Activity, Canopy Die-Back, Bent Lead
518 10	Pinus nigra	Austrian Pine	12	<b>—</b> •	0	Fair	Insect Activity, Canopy Die-Back, Minor Lean
519 20	Pinus nigra	Austrian Pine	12	Twin	8	Fair	Insect Activity, Canopy Die-Back, Competing Leads
20	Pinus nigra	Callery rear Austrian Pine	10			Good Fair	Insect Activity Canony Die-Back Competing Leads
22	Pinus nigra	Austrian Pine	11			Poor	Major Canopy Die-Back, Missing Lead, Oozing Sap
23	Tree Is Dead R	emove From List					J IV / B / B I
24	Pinus nigra	Austrian Pine	9			Poor	Fungus, Insect Activity, A-Typical Growth Structure
25	Tree Is Dead R	emove From List					
26	Pinus nigra	Austrian Pine	11			Fair	Canopy Die-Back
27	Malus spp.	Crabapple	9			Fair Cool	Competing Leads
28	Pinus strobus Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	12			G000 Fair	Bent Lead Competition
30	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	10			Fair	Bent Lead
31	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	5			Good	
32	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	10			Good	
33	Picea pungens	Colorado Blue Spruce	9			Good	
34	Picea pungens	Colorado Blue Spruce	9			Fair	Bent Lead, Competition
36	Picea pungens	Colorado Blue Spruce	9			Good	Compatition Conserv Dia Bash
37	Picea pungens	Colorado Blue Spruce	9			Fair Cood	Competition, Canopy Die-Back
38	Picea pungens	Colorado Blue Spruce	12			Good	
39	Picea pungens	Colorado Blue Spruce	12			Fair	Competing Leads
<b>40</b>	Picea pungens	Colorado Blue Spruce	9			Fair	Competition, Canopy Die-Back
41	Picea pungens	<b>Colorado Blue Spruce</b>	12			Good	
342	Picea pungens	<b>Colorado Blue Spruce</b>	11			Good	
43	Picea pungens	Colorado Blue Spruce	11			Good	
544 245	Pinus nigra	Austrian Pine	12			Fair Fair	Competition, Canopy Die-Back, Insect Activity, Competing Leads
46	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	12			rair Fair	Bent Lead. Competition. Canony Die-Back
47	Pinus nigra	Austrian Pine	10			Fair	Competition, Canopy Die-Back, Insect Activity
48	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	13			Fair	Competing Leads, Competition, Canopy Die-Back
49	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	14			Fair	Competing Leads, Competition, Canopy Die-Back
50	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	11			Fair	Competing Leads, Competition, Canopy Die-Back
51	Pinus strobus	Eastern White Pine	10			Fair	Competing Leads, Competition
53	Catalpa speciosa Saliy amygdalaidas	Northern Catalpa Poochloof Willow	15	Multiple Stammad	76444	Poor Foir	Kotting Split Running Up Trunk, Major Canopy Die-Back
54	Populus deltoides	Eastern Cottonwood	13	winner stennieu	7, 0, 4, 4, 4	Fair	Competing Leads, Cattopy Die-Dack, White Leans, In NOW
55	Too Small Rei	nove From List				1 411	Competing Leaws, Rotting At Seam, in Rott
56	Catalpa speciosa	Northern Catalpa	13	Twin	6 (Dead)	Poor	Rotting Split Running Up Trunk, Canopy Die-Back, Rotting Base
57	Too Small Rei	nove From List					
58	Prunus serotina	Black Cherry	9		_	Fair	Moderate Lean, Climbing Vines, Competition
59 	Prunus serotina	Black Cherry	8	Twin	7	Poor	Major Canopy Die-Back, Strangling Vines, Competition, Competing Leads
60U 61	Catalpa speciosa	Northern Catalpa Dignut Hickory	1/ 8	Multiple Stammad	8 5	Poor Foir	Rotting Split Running Up Trunk, Major Canopy Die-Back
62	Carva glabra	Pignut Hickory	11	winnpie Stenniku	0, 5	Fair	Competition. Bent Lead
63	Prunus serotina	Black Cherry	8			Poor	Nearly Dead, Major Canopy Die-Back, Strangling Vines, Competition
64	Same Tr	ee As 865					
65	Prunus serotina	Black Cherry	23	Multiple Stemmed	23, 14 (Dead)	Poor	Dead And Dying Leads, Major Rot At Base, Canopy Die-Back, Competing Lead
66	Sassafras albidum	Sassafras	10			Poor	Bent Lead, Contorted Crown, Competition, Minor Lean, Large Rotting Canker
67	Sassafras albidum	Sassafras Northorn Bod Oale	11			Poor Cood	Nearly Dead, Missing Lead, Large Rotting Canker, Minor Lean, Insect Activity
008 260	Quercus rubra	Northern Red Uak Pignut Hickory	39 10			G000 Fair	Canony Dia-Back Contartad Crown Compatition
570	Prinus serotina	Black Cherry	10	Twin	16	Fair	Dving Lead. Conneting Leads. Winding Leads. Connetition
571	Quercus rubra	Northern Red Oak	15			Poor	A-Typical Growth Structure, Bent Lead, Contorted Crown, Competition
572	Quercus rubra	Northern Red Oak	12			Fair	Winding Lead, Canopy Die-Back, Strangling Vines
573	Populus deltoides	Eastern Cottonwood	22	Twin	8	Fair	Rotting Canker At Base, Competing Leads, Canopy Die-Back
574	Tree Is Dead R	emove From List	0			г.	
575 276	Populus deltoides	Eastern Cottonwood	8			Fair	Competing Leads, Competition
377	Pvrus callervana	Callery Pear	10			Fair	Competing Leads, Inosculation
<b>578</b>	Pyrus calleryana	Callery Pear	10			Good	
79	Picea glauca	White Spruce	6			Fair	Canopy Die-Back
80	Picea glauca	White Spruce	7			Good	
81	Pyrus calleryana	Callery Pear	14			Fair	Competing Leads, Inosculation, Surfacing Roots Getting Mowed, Minor Lean
82 92	Picea glauca	White Spruce	7			Good	
84	A cer rubrum	Red Manle	0 11			rair Good	Сапору Die-Back
85	Acer saccharum	Sugar Maple	11			Fair	Girdling Roots, Competing Leads
86	Acer saccharum	Sugar Maple	14			Good	
87	Acer saccharum	Sugar Maple	11			Good	
88	Acer rubrum	Red Maple	13			Fair	Competing Leads
אט ס <i>דר</i>	Acer saccharum	Sugar Maple	10	<b>T!</b>	12	Fair Eater	Surracing Koots Getting Mowed, Girdling Roots
978	Quercus rubra	Northern Red Oak	10	1 with	12	rair Fair	Competing Leads, Canopy Die-Back Competition Bent Lead A-Typical Growth Structure Competition
979	Carya ovata	Shagbark Hickory	18			Poor	Canopy Die-Back, Missing Leads, Competition, Dead And Dving Leads
980	Carya glabra	Pignut Hickory	21	Twin	13	Poor	Dead And Dying Lead, Competing Leads, Numerous Burls, Winding Lead
981	Carya glabra	<b>Pignut Hickory</b>	20			Good	
982	Quercus rubra	Northern Red Oak	15			Fair	Competing Leads, Minor Lean, Competition, Canopy Die-Back
983 08.4	Carya ovata	Shagbark Hickory	8			Fair	Competition, Bent Lead
784 985	Prunus serotina	Black Cherry Shaghark History	10 10			Poor Cood	nearly Dead, Major Canopy Die-Back, Dead And Dying Leads
203 986	varya ovata Carva ovata	Shagbark Hickory Shagbark Hickory	1ð 22			Good Good	
987	Carya glabra	Pignut Hickory	8			Fair	Competition, Canopy Die-Back
988	Carya glabra	Pignut Hickory	8			Fair	Competition, Bent Lead
989	Carya glabra	Pignut Hickory	25			Poor	Lost Major Lead, Spilt Running Down Trunk, Exposed Heratwood, Weak Struct
990	Prunus serotina	Black Cherry	29			Poor	Nearly Dead, Major Canopy Die-Back, Dead And Dying Leads
991 002	Quercus rubra	Northern Red Oak	13			Fair	Contorted Crown, Minor Lean, Competition
772 993	Prunus serotina Catalna anagican	Black Cherry Northern Cotolno	8 15			Poor Poor	Inverty Dead, Major Canopy Die-Back, Dead And Dying Leads Rotting Split Running Un Trunk, Major Conory Die Beek, Dead Lead
994	vataipa speciosa Primis serotina	Black Cherry	13 8			Poor	Strangling Vines. Comnetition. Major Canony Die-Back, Dead Lead
	- i unus scivillia	Sauch Chelly	v			1 001	Back



# NOWAK & FRAUS ENGINEERS

**CIVIL ENGINEERS** LAND SURVEYORS LAND PLANNERS

NOWAK & FRAUS ENGINEERS 46777 WOODWARD AVE. PONTIAC, MI 48342-5032 TEL. (248) 332-7931 FAX. (248) 332-8257 WWW.NOWAKFRAUS.COM

SEAL

# PROJECT UPS Facility -1212 & 1183 Fendt Drive Howell, MI 48843

CLIENT Sidock Group, Inc. 45650 Grand River Ave. Novi, MI 48374

Contact: Casey Leach, PE Phone: 248.349.4500 Email: cleach@sidockgroup.com

PROJECT LOCATION Part of the NE 1/4 of Section 8 T.2N., R.5E., Genoa Township, Livingston County, Michigan

SHEET Tree List



DATE ISSUED/REVISED 03-27-20 PRELIMINARY ALTA SURVEY ISSUED 03-30-20 ALTA & TOPO SURVEY ISSUED

DRAWN BY:			
M. Carnaghi			
DESIGNED BY:			
APPROVED BY:			
K. Navaroli			
DATE:			
March 27, 2020			
SCALE: 1" = 30'			
30 15 0	15	30	45
NFE JOB NO.	S	HEET NO	).
L641		3 of 3	







### BENCHMARK DATA EXISTING LEGEND BM A ARROW ON HYDRANT ELEVATION = 1023.25 www.sme-usa.com (NAVD88 DATUM) 回流回 BM B PK NAIL IN POLE ELEVATION = 1017.95 OVERHEAD UTILITY OH OH OH (NAVD88 DATUM) FIBER OPTIC FO FO BM C ARROW ON HYDRANT ELECTRICAL ELEVATION = 1026.87 FENCE Orientation Scale \_\_\_\_\_ x \_\_\_\_ x \_\_\_\_ (NAVD88 DATUM) HYDRANT GATE VALVE BM D POST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) PK NAIL IN POLE ELEVATION = 1024.74 WATER MAIN MANHOLE (NAVD88 DATUM) GRAPHIC SCALE: 1" = 20 SQUARE CATCH BASIN ROUND CATCH BASIN **BEEHIVE CATCH BASIN** SANITARY MANHOLE EXCEPTION 14 Project (COMMITMENT NO. 19000112696) END SECTION APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF 12' DTE UNDERGROUND EASEMENT (L.2967, P.924) CULVERT **UPS HOWELL** STORM MANHOLE CLEAN OUT **EMPLOYEE PARKING LOT** SIGN IMPROVOMENTS UTILITY POLE RISER LIGHT POLE LANDSCAPE LIGHT SPRINKLER ELECTRICAL BOX ELECTRICAL MANHOLE FLAG POLE **Project Location** MAILBOX STORM MH TOP OF CURB RIM 1008.20 24" N. INV 1003.00 24" SE. INV 1003.00 TC GU X<sup>999.99</sup> **1183 FENDT DRIVE** GUTTER EX. GRADE **HOWELL, MI 48843** TOP OF ASPHALT TA ΜН TOP OF WALL тw × 1008.09 BOTTOM OF WALL BW \*⊙ TREE CONCRETE ASPHALT PAVEMENT Sheet Name GRAVEL **REMOVAL PLAN** STORM MH RIM 1011.25 24" N. INV 998.75 24" SE. INV 998.75 ŘÓŘ RIP-RAP EXCEPTION 13 COMMITMENT NO. 19000112696): 40' EASEMENT FOR PUBLIC STORM DRAINAGE (PER EXHIBIT B TO THE MASTER DEED) **Engineer's Seal REMOVAL LEGEND** EXCAVATE AND STOCKPILE EX. GRAVEL FOR RE-USE TO ACCOMMODATE PROPOSED PAVEMENT SECTIONS. HUGO 、 ----CERON EXCAVATE EX. GREENSPACE TO ACCOMMODATE INSTALLATION OF PROPOSED PAVEMENT SECTIONS. GRADING LIMITS TO ACCOMMODATE PROPOSED GRADING •X•X•X•X•X•X•X• REMOVE EXISTING STORM SEWER Revisions CAUTION!!!!! EX. UTILITY IN AREA V ISSUED FOR DATE B' TOWNSHIP COMMI 09/23/2020 JAS Date STOP BOX 09/02/2020 SB SB SME Project No. 084617.00 STOP BOX RIM 1010.19 **Project Manager:** 🗶 SB J. SCHWARTZENBERGER Designer: H. CERON LP CADD: H. CERON Checked By: **B. HART** Reviewed By: J. SCHWARTZENBERGER Sheet No. **C-500** RAWING NOTE: SCALE DEPICTED IS MEANT FOR 24" X 36" ANI WILL SCALE INCORRECTLY IF PRINTED ON ANY OTHER SIZE

# **ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL**

MEDIA NO REPRODUCTION SHALL BE MADE WITHOUT THE PRIOR CONSENT OF SME © 2020







	BENCHMARK DATA	EXIST	ING LEGEND					
	BM A ARROW ON HYDRANT ELEVATION = 1023.25 (NAVD88 DATUM)	CONTOUR INDEX CON WATER MA STORM SEV	ITOUR <b>— — — — —</b> — — — — — — — — — — — — — —			www.sme-usa	a.com	
	BM B PK NAIL IN POLE ELEVATION = 1017.95 (NAVD88 DATUM)	CABLETV OVERHEAD FIBER OPTI	БЕШЕЯ сату сату — сату сату сату ) UTILITY он С го	сату сату ОН F0				
	BM C ARROW ON HYDRANT ELEVATION = 1026.87 (NAVD88 DATUM)	ELECTRICAI FENCE HYDRANT	GAS - E	GAS E x x x	Orientatio	n Scale		
	BM D PK NAIL IN POLE ELEVATION = 1024.74 (NAVD88 DATUM)	GATE VALV POST INDIC WATER MA SQUARE CA ROUND CA BEEHIVE CA	E CATOR VALVE (PIV) IN MANHOLE ATCH BASIN ATCH BASIN ATCH BASIN	⊗ ▲ ◎ ◎	w s	GRAPHIC	<b>40'</b> SCALE: 1" =	<b>80'</b> = 40'
		END SECTIO CULVERT STORM MA CLEAN OUT SIGN UTILITY PO RISER LIGHT POLI	NHOLE T LE	≥ < > © ⇒ Ø ↔ ↔	UPS H EMPL	IOWELL OYEE PARK OVOMENTS	ING LO <sup>.</sup>	т
		LANDSCAP SPRINKLER ELECTRICAI ELECTRICAI FLAG POLE	E LIGHT L BOX L MANHOLE	T ₩ ₩ □ □ □	Project Lo	cation		
		TOP OF CU GUTTER EX. GRADE TOP OF ASI TOP OF WA BOTTOM O	RB PHALT ALL DF WALL	ترسعی TC GU × <sup>999.99</sup> TA TW BW	1183   HOWI	FENDT DRIV ELL, MI 4884	'E 43	
		TREE CONCRETE						
		ASPHALT P. GRAVEL	AVEMENT		Sheet Nar	ne		
		RIP-RAP			OVER	ALL STRIPIN	IG PLAI	N
	PROF		EGEND					
~			PROPOSED ASPHALT COM	NCRETE PAVEMENT				
V.S.S.N.S.								
			PROPOSED CONCRETE CL	JRB AND GUTTER	Engineer's	s Seal		
1400			PROPOSED CONCRETE CL (REVERSE OR SPILLOUT)	JRB AND GUTTER		WITTE OF M/C		
			PROPOSED ADA RAMP (T WITH TRUNCATED DOME	YPE SPECIFIED PER PLAN)	1	HUGO J. CERON HUGO J. CERON		
XX1.03.	C	]) () ()	FURNISH AND INSTALL CA	ATCH BASIN		No. 110 7, 67351, 110 70 FESSION		
		<b>♥</b>	PROPOSED STD HYDRAN	T ASSEMBLY				
日本であるい		<b>Å</b>	FURNISH INSTALL BLUE P BARRIER FREE PARKING L	AINT ADA COMPLIANT OGO	Revisions     Rev   IS     01   TC	SUED FOR	DATE 09/23/2020	BY
	ت <b>ت</b>	, <u>o</u>	PROPOSED SIGN ON POS	I POST				
			FURNISH AND INSTALL 4"	WIDE PAINT STRIPES				
			FURNISH AND INSTALL ST	rriping @ 36" O.C.				
		IIIIIIIIIII	FURNISH AND INSTALLC	ROSS-WALK STRIPING				
	1	4 4	FURNISH AND INSTALL P	AINTED ARROWS	Date	09/02/20	20	
	(#		PROPOSED STD./ADA ST.	ALL COUNT	SME Proje	ect No. 084617.0	00	
	DI	20buci		ΔΤΔ ·	Project Ma	anager: J. SCHWARTZEN	NBERGER	
	PRC	DPOSED EMPL	OYEE LOT STD STALLS Y LOT STD STALLS	= 184	Designer:	H. CERO	N	
	TOT AD/ AD/ AD/	I AL OVERALL A STALLS (STD A STALLS (STD A VAN ACCESS	STD STALLS )) REQ'D )) PROVIDED SIBLE REQ'D	= 223 = 6 = 6 = 2	CADD:	н серо	N	
	AD/ TOT	α van access Tal overall	SIBLE PROVIDED STALLS	= ∠ = 231	Checked E	B. HART		

# **ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL**

**Reviewed By:** 

Sheet No.

J. SCHWARTZENBERGER

C-601

DRAWING NOTE: SCALE DEPICTED IS MEANT FOR 24" X 36" AND WILL SCALE INCORRECTLY IF PRINTED ON ANY OTHER SIZE MEDIA

NO REPRODUCTION SHALL BE MADE WITHOUT THE PRIOR CONSENT OF SME © 2020







### BENCHMARK DATA EXISTING LEGEND 5 BM A ARROW ON HYDRANT ELEVATION = 1023.25 www.sme-usa.com (NAVD88 DATUM) BM B PK NAIL IN POLE ELEVATION = 1017.95 OVERHEAD UTILITY OH OH OH (NAVD88 DATUM) FIBER OPTIC \_\_\_\_\_\_ F0 \_\_\_\_\_ F0 \_\_\_\_\_ GAS ——— BM C ARROW ON HYDRANT ELECTRICAL-ELEVATION = 1026.87 Orientation Scale FENCE \_\_\_\_\_ x \_\_\_\_ x \_\_\_\_ x \_\_\_\_ x \_\_\_\_ x \_\_\_\_ x (NAVD88 DATUM) HYDRANT GATE VALVE BM D POST INDICATOR VALVE (PIV) PK NAIL IN POLE ELEVATION = 1024.74WATER MAIN MANHOLE (NAVD88 DATUM) SOUARE CATCH BASIN GRAPHIC SCALE: 1" = 20' ROUND CATCH BASIN EXCEPTION 14 BEEHIVE CATCH BASIN OMMITMENT NO. 19000112696 PPROXIMATE LOCATION OF 12 SANITARY MANHOLE Project TE UNDERGROUND EASEMENT END SECTION (L.2967, P.924) CULVERT **UPS HOWELL** STORM MANHOLE CLEAN OUT **EMPLOYEE PARKING LOT** SIGN **IMPROVOMENTS** UTILITY POLE RISER LIGHT POLE LANDSCAPE LIGHT SPRINKLER ELECTRICAL BOX ELECTRICAL MANHOLE FLAG POLE **Project Location** MAILBOX TOP OF CURB TC 1183 FENDT DRIVE GUTTER GU ×999.99 EX. GRADE HOWELL, MI 48843 TOP OF ASPHALT TA TOP OF WALL ΤW BOTTOM OF WALL BW \*⊙ TREE CONCRETE ASPHALT PAVEMENT Sheet Name GRAVEL UTILITY PLAN AND DRAINAGE XÒÀ RIP-RAP AREA MAP D.A.M. LEGEND EXCEPTION 13 COMMITMENT NO. 19000112696) 40' EASEMENT FOR DRAINAGE AREA PUBLIC STORM DRAINAGE (PER EXHIBIT B TO THE MASTER DEED) **AREA X** DRAINAGE AREA I.D. X.XX AC. **Engineer's Seal** PR STORM STRUCTURE (X) **IDENTIFICATION TAG** HUGO J. CERON ENGINEEF UTILITY LEGEND \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_ FURNISH AND INSTALL STORM SEWER PIPE FURNISH AND INSTALL CATCH BASIN Revisions FURNISH AND INSTALL REAR YARD CATCH BASIN ISSUED FOR FURNISH AND INSTALL STORM MANHOLE 0 TOWNSHIP COMME 9/23/2020 6 FURNISH AND INSTALL STD. HYDRANT ASSEMBLY 8 FURNISH AND INSTALL GATE VALVE AND WELL **FURNISH AND INSTALL WATER SERVICE LEAD** - FURNISH AND INSTALL 6" UNDERDRAIN Date 09/02/2020

# **ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL**

MEDIA NO REPRODUCTION SHALL BE MADE WITHOUT THE PRIOR CONSENT OF SME © 2020

DRAWING NOTE: SCALE DEPICTED IS MEANT FOR 24" X 36" AND WILL SCALE INCORRECTLY IF PRINTED ON ANY OTHER SIZE

084617.00

J. SCHWARTZENBERGER

H. CERON

H. CERON

**B. HART** 

J. SCHWARTZENBERGER

**C-800** 

SME Project No.

**Project Manager:** 

Designer:

CADD:

Checked By:

**Reviewed By:** 

Sheet No.

UPS Ho SME P	owell Emplo roject No.	oyee Parkin 084617.00	g Lot Impr	rovements Tc = n=	= 15 = 0.013	Min.		= a = b =	a/(Tc+b) 175 25																	
To Structur	From e Structure	Area Drainage	Drainage Area (Acres)	Runoff Coefficient (C)	Equivalent Area (C*A) (Acres)	Total Area (sum(C*A))	Time of Concentration (Minutes)	Rainfall Intensity (in/hr)	Actual Discharge (CFS)	Pipe Size (in)	Pipe Size (ft)	Pipe Area (ft^2)	P Wetted Perimeter	Pipe Design Slope (%)	HG Slope (%)	Pipe Length (ft)	Flow Full Velocity (ft/sec)	Time of Flow (min)	Full Pipe Capacity (CFS)	Upper RIM Elevation (ft)	Lower RIM Elevation (ft)	Invert Elev. Upper End (ft)	Invert Elev. Lower End (ft)	HG Elev Top	Cover (ft)	Diff Rim to HG (ft)
4	3	1	0.31	0.94	0.291	0.291	15.00	4.375	1.275	12	1.00	0.79	3.14	0.48	0.13	66	3.14	0.350	2.47	1015.60	1015.10	1010.31	1,010.00	1011.22	4.29	4.38
3	2	2	0.30	0.94	0.282	0.573	15.35	4.337	2.487	15	1.25	1.23	3.93	0.36	0.15	23	3.16	0.121	3.88	1015.10	1015.10	1009.90	1,009.82	1011.13	3.95	3.97
5	2	4	0.40	0.94	0.376	0.376	15.00	4.375	1.645	12	1.00	0.79	3.14	0.48	0.21	118	3.14	0.626	2.47	1016.55	1015.10	1012.00	1,011.44	1011.69	3.55	4.86
2	1	3	0.36	0.94	0.338	1.288	16.10	4.258	5.484	21	1.75	2.41	5.50	0.20	0.12	32	2.95	0.181	7.09	1015.10	1015.75	1009.72	1,009.66	1011.10	3.63	4.00
1	UDS	-	0.00	0.94	0.000	1.288	16.28	4.240	5.460	21	1.75	2.41	5.50	0.20	0.12	8	2.95	0.045	7.09	1016.70	1009.30	1009.50	1,009.30	1010.71	5.45	5.99
UDS	ES1	-	0.00	0.94	0.000	1.288	16.32	4.235	5.454	6	0.50	0.20	1.57	1.00	45.34	41	2.86	0.239	0.561	1016.70	1,009.09	1009.50	1,009.09	1028.08	6.70	0.00

![](_page_61_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_6.jpeg)

# **ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL**

### **BENCHMARK DATA** BM A ARROW ON HYDRANT ELEVATION = 1023.25 (NAVD88 DATUM)

BM B PK NAIL IN POLE ELEVATION = 1017.95

(NAVD88 DATUM)

BM C ARROW ON HYDRANT ELEVATION = 1026.87 (NAVD88 DATUM)

BM D PK NAIL IN POLE ELEVATION = 1024.74 (NAVD88 DATUM)

# NOTES

- 1. ALL CATCH BASINS AND INLETS WILL HAVE 6" UNDERDRAIN, TAP AT ONE LOCATION.
- 2. EACH UTILITY CROSSING SHALL MAINTAIN AN 18" VERTICAL
- CLEARANCE.
- 3. ALL UTILITIES WITHIN, OR AT MOST 3 FEET FROM, PAVEMENT LIMITS SHALL BE BACKFILLED WITH COMPACTED SAND.
- 4. \*ALL FRANCHISE UTILITY LOCATIONS SHALL BE VERIFIED WITH THE FRANCHISE UTILITY OWNERS.
- 5. ALL UTILITIES MUST BE CONSTRUCTED PER GENOA TOWNSHIP STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
- 6. LOCATIONS OF HYDRANT AND FIRE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION TO
- BE APPROVED BY FIRE CHIEF. 7. ALL CATCH BASINS TO HAVE 2' SUMP MINIMUM.
- 8. ALL CATCH BASINS TO HAVE E.J.I.W. 7065-T1-M1.
- 9. ALL STORM SEWER MANHOLES TO HAVE E.J.I.W. 1040 TYPE C FRAME AND COVER.

Orientation	Scale		
	0'	20'	40'
w E			
Ĭ	GRAPHIC S	 SCALE: 1" =	20'H.5'V
S			,_
Proiect			
UPS HOV	VELL		
EMPLOY	EE PARKI	NG LO	<b>_</b>
IMPROV	OMENTS		
Proiect Location	1		
		_	
1183 FEN	IDT DRIV	E	
HOWELL	, <mark>MI 488</mark> 4	3	
Shoot Name			
			\$
JIURIVIS			3
Engineer's Seal			
		<i>u</i> .	
ŝ	ALL ALL OF MIC		
	G S HUGO J. ★ CERON		
Ju	ANGINEER	Bran	
	67351		
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Revisions			
REV ISSUED F	DR P COMMENTS	DATE 09/23/2020	BY JAS
1		1	I
Date			
	09/02/202	20	
SME Project No			
SME Project No	084617.0	0	
SME Project No Project Manage	084617.0 r:	0	
SME Project No Project Manage J. S	084617.0 r: CHWARTZEN	0 BERGER	
SME Project No Project Manage J. S	084617.0 r: CHWARTZEN	0 BERGER	
SME Project No Project Manage J. S Designer:	084617.0 r: CHWARTZEN	0 BERGER	
SME Project No Project Manage J. S Designer:	084617.0 r: CHWARTZEN H. CERON	0 BERGER N	
SME Project No Project Manage J. S Designer: CADD:	084617.0 r: CHWARTZEN H. CERON	0 BERGER N	
SME Project No Project Manage J. S Designer: CADD:	084617.0 r: CHWARTZEN H. CERON H. CERON	0 BERGER N	

**B. HART** 

J. SCHWARTZENBERGER

**C-801** 

DRAWING NOTE: SCALE DEPICTED IS MEANT FOR 24" X 36" AND WILL SCALE INCORRECTLY IF PRINTED ON ANY OTHER SIZE MEDIA

NO REPRODUCTION SHALL BE MADE WITHOUT THE PRIOR CONSENT OF SME O 2020

**Reviewed By:** 

Sheet No.

Ε

**S**M

www.sme-usa.com

![](_page_62_Figure_0.jpeg)

Location:		Howell, I	vell Employ VI	yee Parking L	.ot		
Prepared F	or:	SME				ENGI	VEEKED SOLUT
Purpose:	To calculate the WQV to be an	he first flus alyzed is t	h runoff flov he runoff pr	w rate (WQF) or roduced by the	over a given site first 0.5" of rain	area. In f fall.	this situation the
Reference:	United States Manual	Departme	nt of Agricul	lture Natural F	Resources Conse	ervation S	ervice TR-55
Given:	Structure Name	A (acres)	A (miles <sup>2</sup> )	Runoff Coefficient	Percent Imp. (%)*	t <sub>c</sub> (min)	t <sub>c</sub> (hr)
	WQU	1.32	0.00206	0.94	98.67	17.0	0.283
			0.00000		-33.33		0.000
			0.00000		-50.00		0.000

1. Compute WQV in watershed inches using the following equation:

# WQV = P \* R

UPS Howell Employee Parking Lot

![](_page_62_Figure_4.jpeg)

Structure Name	Percent Imp. (%)	R	P (in)	WQV (in)	WQV (CF)
WQU	98.67	0.938	0.5	0.469	2,247.26
0	-33.33	-0.250		0.000	
0	-50.00	-0.400		0.000	

2. Compute the NRCS Runoff Curve Number (CN) using the following equation, or graphically using Figure 2-1 from TR-55 (USDA, 1986):

CN = 1000 / [10+5P+10Q-10(Q<sup>2</sup>+1.25QP)<sup>1/2</sup>]

where: CN = Runoff Curve Number P = design precipitation (inches)

![](_page_62_Figure_9.jpeg)

0 0.000

	BASED BASED ON AI	ON THE RATIO N AVERAGE PA UPS EMPLOYI HOW for SYS	ONAL RAINFALL ARTICLE SIZE C EE PARKING LC /ELL, MI TEM: WQU
Area Weighted C	1.32 0.94	acres	CDS Model Particle size
<u>Rainfall</u> Intensity <sup>1</sup> (in/hr)	Percent Rainfall Volume <sup>1</sup>	<u>Cumulative</u> Rainfall Volume	<u>Total Flowrate</u> <u>(cfs)</u>
0.02	13.13%	13.1%	0.02
0.04	11.36%	24.5%	0.05
0.06	10.08%	34.6%	0.07
0.08	7.49%	42.1%	0.10
0.10	7.01%	49.1%	0.12
0.12	5.37%	54.4%	0.15
0.14	4.73%	59.2%	0.17
0.16	4.13%	63.3%	0.20
0.18	3.53%	66.8%	0.22
0.20	2.99%	69.8%	0.25
0.25	5.50%	75.3%	0.31
0.30	4.47%	79.8%	0.37
0.35	3.85%	83.6%	0.43
0.40	2.16%	85.8%	0.50
0.45	2.09%	87.9%	0.56
0.50	1.31%	89.2%	0.62
0.75	5.07%	94.3%	0.93
1.00	2.58%	96.9%	1.24
1.50	2.50%	99.4%	1.86
0.00	0.51%	99.9%	2.48
2.00		and the second	

- Based on Rainfall Data from DETROIT METRO AP Station - Reduction due to use of 60-minute data for a site that has a time of concentration less than 30-minutes.

	INSTALLED BY
	CONTECH
EN .	CONTECH
ATE *	CONTECH
	CONTRACTOR
50A01, OR EQUIV.	CONTRACTOR
01, OR EQUIV.	CONTRACTOR

CDS2015-4-C - 653688-10 UPS HOWELL EMPLOYEE PARK LOT HOWELL, MI for SYSTEM: STM 1	CONTECH ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS LLC ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS LLC UPS HOWELL EMPLOYEE PARK UPS HOWELL EMPLOYEE PARK LCT HOWELL, MI FOR SYSTEM: STM 1
All the second sec	CONNERED SOUTIONS LLC ENGINEERED SOUTIONS LLC TOTO V. Nayal Lane, lands 200, lindag, TX 201 COST

		Existin	g Site Con	ditions			
	SFT	С					Compound C
x. Greenspace	64,620	0.3					0.551
x. Gravel	40,835	0.8					
x. Building	17,411	0.9					
ributary Area (SFT)	122,866	ft					
ributary Area (A)	2.82	Acres					
un-off Coefficient (C)	0.551						
esign Constant (K1)	1.555		$K1 = A \times C$				
llowable Outflow Rate (Q0)	0.564	cfs	Q0 = 0.2 c	fs/acre x A			
ntensity			l = 275/(t+	-25)			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Duration (m)	Duration (c)	Intoncity			Outflow	Storage
	Duration (in)	Duration (S)	intensity		COI. 4 X KI	Volume (CFT)	Volume (CFT)
	5	300	9.17	2,750	4,275	169	4,106
	10	600	7.86	<mark>4,71</mark> 4	7,329	338	6,991
	15	900	6.88	<mark>6,188</mark>	9,620	508	9,112
	20	1,200	6.11	7,333	11,401	677	10,724
	30	1,800	5.00	9,000	13,992	1,015	12,977
	60	3,600	3.24	11,647	18,108	2,031	16,077
	90	5,400	2.39	12,913	20,076	3,046	17,030
	120	7,200	1.90	13,655	21,230	4,062	17,168
	180	10,800	1.34	14,488	22,524	6,093	16,432

		Propos	ed Improv	ements				
	SFT	С					Compound C	
Ex. Greenspace	44,891	0.3					0.681	
Proposed Pavement	60,564	0.9						
Ex. Building	17,411	0.9						
Tributary Area <mark>(</mark> SFT)	122,866							
Tributary Area (A)	2.82	Acres						
Run-off Coefficient (C)	0.681							
Design Constant (K1)	1.920		$K1 = A \times C$					
Design Outflow Rate (Q0)	0.561	cfs	Q0 = 0.2 c	fs/acre x A				
ntensity			I = 275/(t+	-25)				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	Duration (m)	Duration (c)	Intoncity			Outflow	Storage	
	Duration (iii)	Duration (S)	mensity		COI. 4 X KI	Volume (CFT)	Volume (CFT)	
	.5	300	9.17	2,750	5,281	168	5,112	
	10	600	7.86	4,714	9,052	337	8,716	
	15	900	6.88	6,188	11,881	505	11,376	
	20	1,200	6.11	7,333	14,082	673	13,408	
	30	1,800	5.00	9,000	17,282	1,010	16,272	
	60	3,600	3.24	11,647	22,365	2,020	20,345	Volume
	90	5,400	2.39	12,913	24,796	3,029	21,766	Required to
	120	7,200	1.90	13,655	26,221	4,039	22,182	Detain (CFT
	180	10,800	1.34	14,488	27,820	6,059	21,761	5,329

![](_page_62_Figure_17.jpeg)

# **ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL**

-			
	SP www.sme-usa.	com	E
Orientation W S E	Scale 0' GRAPHIC SC	### CALE: 1" =	### ###1,5'V
Project UPS HOV EMPLOY IMPROV	VELL EE PARKIN OMENTS	NG LOT	Γ
Project Location 1183 FEN HOWELL	IDT DRIVE , MI 48843	3	
Sheet Name MECHAN DETENTI	ICAL FOR ON CALCU	EBAY /	AND ONS
Engineer's Seal	HUGO J. CERON NGINEER NO. ROFESSIONA ROFESSIONA		
Revisions			
REV ISSUED FO	DR P COMMENTS	DATE 09/23/2020	BY JAS
		1	<u> </u>
Date	09/02/202	0	
SME Project No.	084617.00	)	
Project Manage J. S	r: CHWARTZENE	BERGER	
Designer:	H. CERON		
CADD:	H CERON		
Checked By:	B. HART		
Checked By: Reviewed By: J. S	B. HART	BERGER	

DRAWING NOTE: SCALE DEPICTED IS MEANT FOR 24" X 36" AND WILL SCALE INCORRECTLY IF PRINTED ON ANY OTHER SIZE MEDIA

NO REPRODUCTION SHALL BE MADE WITHOUT THE PRIOR CONSENT OF SME © 2020

![](_page_63_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_1.jpeg)

<u>NOTE:</u>							AND	RUNGS
THIS DRAWING IS INTENDED TO	DAPPLY TO L	ADDERS						
INSTALLED IN RISERS HAVING A	A DIAMETER (	DF 30" OR				ELEVATIO	/N	
LARGER. DUE TO SPACE CONS	STRAINTS AND	DLIMITED						
ACCESSIBILITY, THE PRACTICA	LITY AND SUI	ITABILITY OF						
UTILIZING RISERS SMALLER TH.	AN 30" DIAME	TER		RISEF	R LADDER DETAIL			
AND/OR INCORPORATING LADD	DERS IN THES	E SMALLER						
DIAMETER RISERS SHOULD BE	ADDRESSED	BY THE			NOT TO SCALE			
OWNER AND PROJECT ENGINE	ER							
The design and information shown on this drawing is provided							PROJECT No.: SE	EQ. No.: DATE:
Contech Engineered Solutions LLC ("Contech"). Nether this					<b>ASKATEAL</b>	48"Ø UNDERGROUND DETENTION SYSTEM - 653688-020	653688	020 9/1/20
drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of							DESIGNED:	DRAWN:
Contech. Failure to comply is done at the user's own risk and				ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS LLC	CMP DETENTION SYSTEMS	UPS HOWELL EMPLOYEE PARKING LOT	PMA/	PWA/
such use.				ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS LLC	CIVIP DETENTION STSTEIVIS		OUFOUED	400000000
If discrepancies between the supplied information upon which				www.ContechES.com	CONTECH	HOWELL. MI	CHECKED.	APPROVED.
the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these discrepancies must be reported				7037 Ridge Road, Hanover, MD 21076	PROPOSAL			
to Contech immediately for re-evaluation of the design. Contech				866-740-3318 410-796-5505 866-376-8511 EAX	DRAWING	SITE DESIGNATION:	SHEET NO .:	
<ul> <li>accepts no indexity for organis based on missing incomplete or inaccurate information supplied by others.</li> </ul>	MARK DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION	BY				P5	ս⊦ 5

### Structural Design Check for Corrugated Metal Round Pipe Per AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications, Section 12, 2014

**C NTECH** 

Project Name:	UPS Howell		CRM #653,688		
Location:	Howell, MI			Dates	9/21/2020
Material	Steel				
Loading Case	1	(lanes)			
Corrugation Type (Classification Type I - Round Pipe) Corrugation Profile	Helic 2-2/3 X 1/2 in.	al Pipe			
Gage	14				
S, Span	48	(in.)			
H, Height of Cover (Min cover required is \$/8, >= 1 t.)	2.4	(ft.)			
Design Truck (LRFD HighwayLoad is HL-93)	HL-93				
p, Density of Cover Material (120 pcf default)	0.12	(kcf)	120	(Table	3.5.1-1)
A <sub>w</sub> , Pipe Wall Area	0.968	(sq. in./ft.)		(Table	e A12-1)
I, Moment of Inertia	0.002392	(in. <sup>4</sup> /in.)		(Table	e A12-1)
r, Radius of Gyration	0.1721	(in.)		(Table	e A12-1)
Em, Modulus of Elasticity	29000	(ksi)		(Table	e A12-9)
F <sub>e</sub> , Tensile Strength	45	(ksi)		(Table	A1 2-9)
F <sub>y</sub> , Yield Strength	33	(ksi)		(Table	e A12-9)
Lt Surface Load Contact Length	0.83	(ft.)		(3.6	.1.2.5)
wt Surface Load Contact Width	1.67	(ft.)		(3.6	.1.2.5)
HS20 Contro	ols				
s <sub>w</sub> ,Wheel	6.00	(ft)			
s <sub>a</sub> , axle spacing	14.00	(ft)			
LLDF	1.15			(Table 3.	6.1.2.6a-1)
H http://www.uteraction.com/	3.56	(ft)		(3.6.1	.2.6b-1)
W <sub>w</sub> , live load patch length Ww⊨wt/1 2+LLD F × H + 0.06D i/1 2	4.67	(t)		(3.6.1	.2.6b-2)
H <sub>litep</sub> Axle Interaction Depth	11.45				
DL, Design Lane Load	0.64	(klf)		(3.6	.1.2.4)
l <sub>e</sub> , live load patch length Iw≐lt/12+LLFD(H)	3.59	(ft)		(3.6.1	.2.6a-1)
A <sub>LL</sub> , Area of live load patch at H	16.77	(ft2)		(3.6.1	.2.6a-1)
FFR, Flexibility Factor Required Number of Interacting Wheels	43 1	(in. <i>k</i> ip)		(Table 1	2.5.6.1-1)
k, Soil Stiffness Factor	0.22			(12.	7.2.4)
	23.10	(%)		(3.6	2.2-1)
m, Multiple Presence Factor	1.2			(Table 3	.6 .1 .1 .2-1)
P, Design Truck Load (HS20)	16.0	(kip/wheel group)		(3.6	.1.2.2)
SS, Seam Strength	N/A:	(kip/ft.)		(Table	A12-7)
Φ <sub>w</sub> , Wall Area and Buckling	1.00	2012 9315		(Table	12.5.5-1)

Page 1 of 2 These results are submitted to you as a guideline only, without Ilability on the part of Contech Engineered Solutions LLC for accuracy or suitability to any particular application, and are subject to your verification.

Structural Per AASHT	Design Check for ( D LRFD Bridge Design	<b>Corrugate</b> Specificatior	d Metal Rour	<b>1d Pipe</b> 2014	
Φ <sub>SS</sub> , Seam		N/A	*N ot Applicable to Pipe*	Helical	(Table 12.5.5-1)
η <sub>EV</sub> , Redundancy	Factor	1.05			(1.3.4, 12.5.4)
η <sub>LL</sub> , Redundancy	Factor	1.00	=		(1.3.4, 12.5.4)
VEV, Dead Load F	actor	1.95			(Table 3.4.1-2)
y <sub>LL</sub> , Live Load Fa	ctor	1.75			(Table 3.4.1-1)
P <sub>L</sub> = (P(1+IM/100	))m)/ALL	1.41	(ksf)		
P <sub>FD</sub> , Factored De	ad Load Crown Pressure =η <sub> Εν</sub> γ <sub>Εν</sub> ×Η ×ρ	0,590	(ksf)		(3.5.1)
P <sub>FL</sub> , Factored Liv	e LoadCrown Pressure = ղլլմել Pi	2.47	(ksf)		
P <sub>DL</sub> , Factored De	sign Lane Load = η <sub>LI</sub> γ.ເຫDL/10	0.134	(ksf)		
Factored Thrus	t (standard structures)				
Fmi	= greater of 1 5/S or 1	1.00	(dimensionless)		(12.7.2.2-4)
F1	= greater of 0.75S/lw or F <sub>mla</sub>	1.00	(dimensionless)		(12.7.2.2-3)
Culvert on which LL is	= lw≤ s	3.59	(ft)		(12.7.2.2-2)
T <sub>L</sub> , Factored Thre	us =(P FD+P DJ)S/2+(P FL C L F 1)/2	5.880	(kip/ft)		(12.7.2.2-1)
R <sub>wo</sub> Wall	$R_{w} = \Phi_{w} F_{y} \mathcal{A}_{w}$	31.944	(kip/ft.)	≽ T	5.880 OK (12.7.2.3-1)
F <sub>on</sub> Critical Buckling Stress	r (24 R.	39.523	(ksi)	LKS)2	
lf:	$S < \frac{r}{k} \sqrt{\frac{2}{F_a}}$	l'hen: upper case contro	$F_{\alpha} = F_{1} - \frac{\chi}{4}$	<u>r /</u> 8 <i>Em</i>	(12.7.2.4-1)
But if:	$S > \frac{r}{k} \sqrt{\frac{24E_m}{F_u}}$	ſhen:	$F_{\rm or} = \frac{\frac{12E_{\rm s}}{kS}}{\left(\frac{kS}{r}\right)}$	2	(12.7.2.4-2)
R <sub>b</sub> , Buckling	It $F_{cr} > F_{\gamma}$ then $F_{cr} = F_{\gamma}$	39.523	(ksi)	*	33
	$R_{\mathit{D}} = \Phi_{w} F_{cf} \Delta_{w}$	31.944	(kip/ft.)	> T	5.880 OK (12.7.2.3-1)
FF, Flexibility Factor	$FF = S^2/(E_m I)$	33.214	(in. <i>i</i> kip)	< FFR	43 OK (12.7.2.6-1)
Rs, Factored Seam Strength	$R_s = \Phi_{SS}SS$	N/A	(kip/ft.)	*See Note on ΦSS	(12.7.2.5)

Page 2 of 2

These results are submitted to you as a guideline only, without liability on the part of Contech Engineered Solutions LLC for accuracy or suitability to

any particular application, and are subject to your verification.

# **ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL**

	SR www.sme-usa.c	com	
Orientation	Scale 0' GRAPHIC SC	### CALE: 1" =	### ###1,5'V
Project UPS HOV EMPLOY IMPROV	VELL EE PARKIN OMENTS	NG LOT	Γ
Project Location 1183 FEN HOWELL	n IDT DRIVE , MI 48843	3	
Sheet Name UNDERG DETAILS	ROUND D	ETENT	ION
Engineer's Seal	HUGO J. CERON CERON A CERON A CERON		
Engineer's Seal	HUGO J. ★ CERON CERON A CERON A CE		
Engineer's Seal	OR P COMMENTS	DATE 09/23/2020	BY JAS
Engineer's Seal	OR PCOMMENTS	DATE	BY JAS
Engineer's Seal	OR P COMMENTS	DATE	BY JAS
Engineer's Seal         With the seal         With the seal         Revisions         Revisions         Revisions         Instrumentary         Instrumen	OR	DATE 09/23/2020	BY JAS
Engineer's Seal         With the seal         Revisions         Revisions         Note         Date	OR P COMMENTS	DATE 09/23/2020	BY JAS
Engineer's Seal	OR P COMMENTS O9/02/2020	DATE 09/23/2020	BY JAS
Engineer's Seal	OR P COMMENTS 09/02/2020 09/02/2020 084617.00	DATE 09/23/2020	BY JAS
Engineer's Seal	ог Р сомментя 09/02/2020 09/02/2020 09/02/2020 09/02/2020 084617.00 FT: 5CHWARTZENE	DATE 09/23/2020	BY
Engineer's Seal	OR P COMMENTS 09/02/2020 09/02/2020 084617.00 FT: CERON H. CERON	DATE 09/23/2020	BY JAS
Engineer's Seal	OR P COMMENTS OB4617.00 H. CERON H. CERON	DATE 09/23/2020	BY
Engineer's Seal	OR PCOMMENTS OB4617.00 H. CERON H. CERON H. CERON		BY

PATE: Son 22 2020 2:4Enu control VICmo including 4647 00/CAD) DMCCI CDA/ 2016

DRAWING NOTE: SCALE DEPICTED IS MEANT FOR 24" X 36" AND WILL SCALE INCORRECTLY IF PRINTED ON ANY OTHER SIZE

NO REPRODUCTION SHALL BE MADE WITHOUT THE PRIOR CONSENT OF SME © 2020

MEDIA

![](_page_64_Figure_0.jpeg)

# SIDEWALK CONSTRUCTION JOINT - DETAIL

![](_page_64_Figure_11.jpeg)

3. CARE SHOULD BE TAKEN TO MAINTAIN EXISTING ROADSIDE DRAINAGE VIA CULVERT INSTALLATION, WITH SEDIMENT SUMP PLACED DOWNFLOW OF

### STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION ACCESS NOT TO SCALE

![](_page_64_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_17.jpeg)

PARKING SIGN WITH POST IN GREENBELT NOT TO SCALE

![](_page_64_Figure_19.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_20.jpeg)

BARRIER FREE DETAIL NOT TO SCALE

![](_page_64_Figure_22.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_23.jpeg)

10'-0"

STOP BAR WITH STOP DETAIL

ACCESSIBLE RAMP

NOT TO SCALE

![](_page_64_Figure_24.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_25.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_26.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_27.jpeg)

(6' MIN.)	
K MARKING DETAIL	

Project Location
1183 FENDT DRIV

**Engineer's Seal** 

Revisions

Date

SME Project No.

**Project Manager:** 

Designer:

CADD:

Checked By:

**Reviewed By:** 

Sheet No.

ISSUED FOR

TOWNSHIP COMME

OF MIC

HUGO J. CERON

09/02/2020

084617.00

J. SCHWARTZENBERGER

H. CERON

H. CERON

**B. HART** 

J. SCHWARTZENBERGER

**D-100** 

DRAWING NOTE: SCALE DEPICTED IS MEANT FOR 24" X 36" AND WILL SCALE INCORRECTLY IF PRINTED ON ANY OTHER SIZE

MEDIA NO REPRODUCTION SHALL BE MADE WITHOUT THE PRIOR CONSENT OF SME © 2020

9/23/2020

| HUWELL, MI 48843

Orientation

ピ 야수는 Scale Project **UPS HOWELL EMPLOYEE PARKING LOT IMPROVOMENTS** Sheet Name DETAILS

![](_page_65_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_5.jpeg)

# **ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL**

GRANULAR MATER MDOT CLASS II 99 COMPACTION	RIAL 5%	SUIT EXCAVA TREN OTHEF	ABLE MATERIA TED FROM SEV CH 90% UNLES WISE SPECIFI	L WER IS ED	2	
	GRANULAR M MOOT CL 95% COMP De	VATERIAL ASS II ACTION	IN SURFACE			
oa Charter Township	EXCAVA	TRENCH	H BACKFILL	Date:	R-3	APRIL 2010

![](_page_65_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_0.jpeg)

### PROJECT NAME: UPS Howell Parking Addition PROJECT NO .: 083760 LOCATION: 1183 Fendt Dr., Howell MI CLIENT: UPS A/E: DATE: <u>7/20/20</u> BY: <u>BJM/JSF</u> PAVEMENT AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS Layer, in. From To Layer Thickness, in. 13 0 13 24 13 11 24 52 28 52 84 32 120 36 84 Depth to Groundwater From Ground Surface

# DCP TEST RESULTS

Upon Completion: Not Encountered

Depth to	start of test from ex	. ground surface:	0	inches					
No. of	Pen.	Blow Set	Pen./Blow	Blow	Depth from	CBR		Soil	Average
Blows	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	Factor	Surface (inches)	(%)	Comment	Туре	CBR (%)
0 4 1 20 30	160 235 310 370 420	75 75 60 50	19 75 3 2	1 1 2 2	3.0 5.9 8.3 10.2	11.0 0.6 39.3 75.8	Very Poor Very Poor Poor Marginal	Agg Base Agg Base Agg Base Agg Base	
20	480	60	3	2	12.6	39.3	Poor	Agg Base	29.3
15 23 10 15 20 20	590 685 780 885 940 995	110 95 95 105 55 55	7 4 10 7 3 3	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	16.9 20.7 24.4 28.5 30.7 32.9	14.4 27.4 10.8 15.2 43.3 43.3	Good Good Good Good Good Good	Subgrade Subgrade Subgrade Subgrade Subgrade Subgrade	22.5
		mmer and 2 for 10 H VS CBR (%)	0.1 lb Hamme	<u>r</u>	*CBR breaklines are bas Support Conditions	ed on blow counts performed pn CBR Range for Aggregate Base Materials (%)	or to sampling. CBR Ra Subgrade	Depths are app ange for Soils (%)	roximate,
5					Good	>80	>	10	
					Marginal	60 to 80	5 to	o 10	
10					Poor	30 to 60	3 t	05	
10					Very Poor	<30	<	3	

![](_page_66_Figure_4.jpeg)

# 🔊 SME

	083760	1000	
	1193 Fondt Dr	Howell MI	
CLIENT:	I IDS Fellut DL.		ä
	UF3		
	7/20/20		
BV-	RIM/ISE		
<u> </u>	Dominio Gr		
AVEMENT ANI	SUBSURFA	CE CONDITION	s
Laye	, in.	Layer	
From	То	Thickness, in.	
0	10	10	Crushed Cor
10	52	42	Fine to Coars
52	98	46	Fine to Medi
98	120	22	LEAN CLAY
			End of Test H
		10	NOTES

Upon Completion: Not Encountered

![](_page_66_Figure_8.jpeg)

© 2020 SME

### PAVEMENT CORE LOG AND USACE DCP DATA

### PROBE/CORE: B1 LOCATION:

Description	Comment
ulverized Asphalt with mixture of Sand	(GP)
ine to Medium Silty SAND with gravel - Trace Silt & Organics - Gray 9 Brown - Moist	(SM)
ine to Medium Silty SAND - Trace Gravel - Brown - Moist	(SM)
EAN CLAY with sand - Brown - Very Stiff	(CL) At 70" Qp =2.0; MC = 18%
andy LEAN CLAY - Brown - Stiff to Very Stiff	(CL) At 110" Qp =1.25; MC = 13%
nd of Test Hole at 120" Below Ground Surface	
OTES:	

\*\*Core picture shows approximate thickness CORE LOG DCP 1 meter rod (standard).XLS ver. 2/7/14- Clay DCP

PAVEMENT CORE LOG AND USACE DCP DATA

PROBE/CORE: B3 \_\_\_\_\_ LOCATION: ÂĬ.

Description	Comment
ushed Concrete & Pulverized Asphalt - Trace Organics	(GP)
e to Coarse SAND with Gravel - Trace Organics - Brown - Moist	(SP)
ne to Medium Silty SAND - Trace Gravel - Brown - Moist	(SM)
AN CLAY with Sand - Trace Gravel- Brown - Very Stiff	(CL) At 110" Qp =2.75; MC = 17%
d of Test Hole at 120" Below Ground Surface	

NOTES:

0	inches					
Pen./Blow	Blow	Depth from	CBR		Soil	Average
(mm)	Factor	Surface (inches)	(%)	Comment	Туре	CBR (%)
9 9 14	1 1 1	3.3 5.7 9.1	26.6 26.3 15.0	Very Poor Very Poor Very Poor	Agg Base Agg Base Agg Base	22
17 6 13 13 15 20 18		12.4 14.8 17.9 21.5 25.0 28.9 33.3	12.2 39.3 16.0 16.7 14.1 10.2 11.2	Good Good Good Good Good Good Good	Subgrade Subgrade Subgrade Subgrade Subgrade Subgrade Subgrade	15
.1 lb Hamme	r	*CBR breaklines are bas Support Conditions	ed on blow counts performed pri CBR Range for Aggregate Base Materials (%)	CBR Ra CBR Ra Subgrade	Depthsareapp angefor Soils(%)	proximate.
		Good	>80	>	10	
		Marginal	60 to 80	5 to	o 10	
		Poor	30 to 60	3 t	o 5	
	19	Very Poor	<30	<	3	

\*\*Core picture shows approximate thickness CORE LOG DCP 1 meter rod (standard).XLS ver. 2/7/1 4-Clay DCP

### **SME**

PAVEMENT CORE LOG AND USACE DCP DATA

PROBE/CORE: <u>B2</u>

LOCATION:

PROJECT NAME: UPS Howell Parking Addition PROJECT NO.: 083760 LOCATION: 1183 Fendt Dr., Howell MI CLIENT: UPS A/E: \_\_\_\_

DATE: 7/20/20 BY: BJM/JSF

Layer.	, in.	Layer	Description	Comment
From	То	Thickness, in.	Becaliphon	Connicit
0	6	6	Pulverized Asphalt	(GP)
6	12	6	Crushed Limestone	(GP)
12	88	76	Sandy LEAN CLAY - Brown - Very Stiff to Hard	(CL) At 34" Qp =3.5; MC = 15%
88	98	10	Fine to Medium SAND - Trace Gravel - Brown - Moist	(SP)
98	120	22	Sandy LEAN CLAY - Brown - Hard	(CL) At 106" Qp =4.5+; MC = 129
			End of Test Hole at 120" Below Ground Surface	

![](_page_66_Figure_27.jpeg)

© 2020 SME

\*\*Core picture shows approximate thickness CORE LOG DCP 1 meter rod (standard).XLS ver. 2/7/1 4. Clay DCP

SME

PAVEMENT CORE LOG AND USACE DCP DATA

PROBE/CORE: B4

LOCATION:

PROJECT NAME: UPS Howell Parking Addition PROJECT NO.: 083760 LOCATION: 1183 Fendt Dr., Howell MI CLIENT: UPS A/E: \_\_\_\_\_ DATE: 7/20/20

BY: BJM/JSF

Laye	er, in.	Layer	Description	Comment		
From	То	Thickness, in.	Description			
0	8	8	Top Soil with Organics			
8	52	44	Sandy LEAN CLAY - Trace Gravel & Organics - Very Stiff	(CL) At 38" Qp =3.5; MC = 15%		
52	76	24	Fine to Medium Silty SAND with gravel - Trace Clay - Brown - Moist	(SM)		
76	110	34	Fine Silty Sand - Brown to Gray - Moist	(SM)		
110	120	10	LEAN CLAY with Sand - Trace Gravel- Brown - Very Stiff	(CL) At 112" Qp =3.0; MC = 179		
			End of Test Hole at 120" Below Ground Surface			

Depth to Groundwater From Ground Surface Upon Completion: Not Encountered

![](_page_66_Figure_37.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Figure_38.jpeg)

\*\*Core picture shows approximate thickness CORE LOG DCP 1 meter rod (standard).XLS ver. 2/7/14 Clay DCP

Priorit       UPS HOWELL EMPLOYEE PARKING LOT IMPROVOMENTS         Priorit       UPS HOWELL EMPLOYEE PARKING LOT IMPROVOMENTS         Priorit       1183 FENDT DRIVE HOWELL, MI 48843         BORING LOGS       Improvements         Improvements       Improvements         Deter Name       BORING LOGS         Improvements       Improvements         Improvements       Improvements <td< th=""><th></th><th><image/><image/><image/><text></text></th></td<>		<image/> <image/> <image/> <text></text>
Prigret Lastiniti       1183 FENDT DRIVE HOWELL, MI 48843         Site: Name         BORING LOGS         Internet         Internet         Optimise         Internet         Optimise         Internet         Optimise         Internet         Optimise         Internet         Optimise         Internet         Optimise         Internet		Project UPS HOWELL EMPLOYEE PARKING LOT IMPROVOMENTS
Sheet Kame BORING LOGS         Engineer's Seal         Freedom         Revision         Revisent Revis         Revisent Re		Project Location 1183 FENDT DRIVE HOWELL, MI 48843
Engineer's Sed!       Use Sed       Sed 264       Sed 26		Sheet Name BORING LOGS
Revisions         Textministantian and additional and additintervaled additional and additiona additinterval additional and		Engineer's Seal
Image: 0023000 (Ministry Company)       0023000 (Ministry Company)       0023000 (Ministry Company)         Image: 09/02/2020       Image: 09/02/2020       Image: 09/02/2020         Image: 0.5CHWARTZENBERGER       084617.00         Project Manage: 1.5CHWARTZENBERGER       084617.00         Project Manage: 1.5CHWARTZENBERGER       084617.00         Project Manage: 1.5CHWARTZENBERGER       0.5CHWARTZENBERGER         Designe: 1.5CHWARTZENBERGER       0.5CHWARTZENBERGER         Image: 1.5CHWARTZENBERGER       0.5CHWARTZENBERGER         Shert No.       0.5CHWARTZENBERGER		Revisions       REV     ISSUED FOR       DATE     BY
Date         09/02/2020           Date         09/02/2020           SME Project No.         084617.00           Project Manager:         J. SCHWARTZENBERGER           Deigner:         H. CERON           H. CERON         B. HART           Reviewed By:         B. HART           J. SCHWARTZENBERGER         B. HART           Reviewed By:         B. HART           Dettore         D. CERON           Dettore         D. CHWARTZENBERGER           Stet No.         D-102		01 TOWNSHIP COMMENTS 09/23/2020 JAS
Date       09/02/2020         SME Project No.       084617.00         Project Manager:       J. SCHWARTZENBERGER         Designer:       H. CERON         CADD:       H. CERON         Checked By:       B. HART         Reviewed By:       J. SCHWARTZENBERGER         Detto       D. SCHWARTZENBERGER         Sheet No.       D-1002		
Date       09/02/2020         SME Project No.       084617.00         Project Manager:       1. SCHWARTZENBERGER         Designer:       H. CERON         CADD:       H. CERON         CADD:       H. CERON         Checked By:       B. HART         Reviewed By:       S. SCHWARTZENBERGER         Destorer       M. CERON         Checked By:       B. HART         Reviewed By:       S. SCHWARTZENBERGER         Destorer       D-102         INSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVALI       Market M		D0-Details.dwg
SME Project No.         084617.00           Project Manager:         J. SCHWARTZENBERGER           Designer:         H. CERON           CADD:         H. CERON           CADD:         B. HART           Reviewed By:         J. SCHWARTZENBERGER           J. SCHWARTZENBERGER         Dentog           ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL         Marcal Microsoft And Microsoft Astronomic Control of the State And Control of the St		Date 09/02/2020
USER DEFORMED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL       USER DEFORMED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL       USER DEFORMED FOR DEFORMED FOR TO STATE TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL DEFORMATION OF THE TOTAL DEFORMED FOR THE TOTAL DEFORMET       USER DEFORMET       USER DEFORMED FOR THE TOTAL DEFORMET       USER DEFORMET       USER DEFORMED FOR THE TOTAL DEFORMET <td< th=""><th></th><th>SME Project No.</th></td<>		SME Project No.
J. SCHWARTZENBERGER       Designer:       N. CERON         Data       N. CERON       CADD:       N. CERON         Checked By:       B. HART       N. SCHWARTZENBERGER       N. CERON         Discourse       B. HART       N. SCHWARTZENBERGER       N. CERON         Discourse       B. HART       N. SCHWARTZENBERGER       <		Project Manager:
H. CERON       H. CERON         CADD:       H. CERON         Decision       B. HART         Reviewed By:       J. SCHWARTZENBERGER         J. SCHWARTZENBERGER       Sheet No.         D-1022       D-102         Notesconter for the state method on any other size       Notesconter for the state method on any other size         Notesconter for the state method on any other size       Notesconter for the state method on any other size         Notesconter for the state method on any other size       Notesconter for the state method on any other size         Notesconter for the state method on any other size       Notesconter for the state method on any other size		J. SCHWARTZENBERGER
H. CERON       H. CERON         Checked By:       B, HART         Reviewed By:       J. SCHWARTZENBERGER         J. SCHWARTZENBERGER       Sheet No.         D-1022       D-1028         ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPPROVALI       Demonstrate see Management of the see Manageme		H. CERON
Criecked By:     B. HART     B. HART     Reviewed By:     J. SCHWARTZENBERGER     J. SCHWARTZENBERGER     J. SCHWARTZENBERGER     D-102     D-102     D-102     D. D		H. CERON
Reviewed By:     J. SCHWARTZENBERGER     J. SCHWARTZENBERGER <th></th> <th>B. HART</th>		B. HART
Sheet No. D-102 DRAWING NOTE: SCALE DEPICTED IS MEANT FOR 24" X 36" AND WILL SCALE INCORRECTLY IF PRINTED ON ANY OTHER SIZE MEDIA NO REPRODUCTION SHIEL REMORE WITHOUT THE PRIOR CONSENT OF SME		Reviewed By: J. SCHWARTZENBERGER
ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL         DRAWING NOTE: SCALE DEPICTED IS MEANT FOR 24" X 36" AND WILL SCALE INCORRECTLY IF PRINTED ON ANY OTHER SIZE MEDIA         NO REPRODUCTION SHALL BE MADE WITHOUT THE PRIOR CONSENT OF SME		Sheet No. <b>D-102</b>
© 2020	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL	DRAWING NOTE: SCALE DEPICTED IS MEANT FOR 24" X 36" AND WILL SCALE INCORRECTLY IF PRINTED ON ANY OTHER SIZE MEDIA NO REPRODUCTION SHALL BE MADE WITHOUT THE PRIOR CONSENT OF SME © 2020

# ELECTRICAL SYMBOL LIST (NOTE: SOME SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS SHOWN MAY NOT APPLY TO THIS PROJECT)

<u>symbol</u> fx (nl)	<u>DESCRIPTION</u> FIXTURE TYPE (NL INDICATES NIGHT LIGHT)	<u>SYMBOL</u> TWC	<u>DESCRIPTION</u> TWO-WAY COMMUNICATION SYSTEM CALL STATION	SYMBOL CP	DESCRIPTION CONTROL PANEL	<u>SYMBOL</u>	DESCRIPTION SECURITY CAMERA	<u>SYMBOL</u> F	<u>DESCRIPTION</u> MANUAL FIRE ALARM BOX		<u>SHEET NO.</u> E001 E002	<u>SHEET_TITLE</u> ELECTRICAL_STANDARDS_AND_DRAWING_INDEX ELECTRICAL_SCHEDULES_AND_LIGHTING_CUTSHEETS	SME
	LIGHTING FIXTURE	TWCD	TWO-WAY COMMUNICATION SYSTEM			MD	MOTION DETECTOR	SD	SMOKE DETECTOR		E002 E003 E004	ELECTRICAL DEMOLITION SITE PLAN	www.sme-usa.com
	DIRECT/INDIRECT LIGHTING FIXTURE	TWCA	TWO-WAY COMMUNICATION SYSTEM		MANUAL CONTROLLER	< <u>K</u>	SECURITY KEY SWITCH	DD	DUCT SMOKE DETECTOR		E701	ELECTRICAL DETAILS AND DIAGRAMS	
	EMERGENCY FIXTURE		ANNUNCIATOR & COMMUNICATION PANEL	$\boxtimes$	MAGNETIC CONTROLLER	KP DC	DOOR CONTACT		CARBON MONOXIDE DETECTOR	N			<b>D</b>
├───┤	LIGHTING FIXTURE		POWER SUPPLY WITH BATTERY BACK-UP		COMBINATION MAGNETIC CONTROLLER	CR	ACCESS CONTROL STATION		THERMAL DETECTOR	)			Peter Basso Associates Inc.
нен/Ю	WALL MOUNTED LIGHTING FIXTURE	TWCDP	POWER SUPPLY WITH BATTERY BACK-UP		NON-FUSIBLE DISCONNECT SWITCH	DB	DURESS PUSH BUTTON STATION	BD	PROJECTED BEAM DETECTOR				CONSULTING ENGINEERS
		RGP	REMOTE GENERATOR ANNUCIATOR PANEL		FUSIBLE DISCUNNECT SWITCH	DE	DELAYED EGRESS	FO	FIRE ALARM BELL				Troy, Michigan 48098-3276 Tel: 248-879-5666 Fax: 248-879-0007
⟨O / ∐⟩ ⊙	DIRECTIONAL LIGHTING FIXTURE PENDANT LIGHTING FIXTURE	ATS			PUSH BUTTON STATION	REX	REQUEST TO EXIT STATION	Ē⊲	FIRE ALARM AUDIBLE NOTIFICATION APPLIANCI	E			www.PeterBassoAssociates.com PBA Project No.: 2020.0233
$\bigtriangleup$	WALL SCONCE		LOW VOLTAGE CONTROL STATION	J	JUNCTION BOX	°)	CIRCUIT BREAKER		FIRE ALARM VISUAL NOTIFICATION APPLIANCE				Project
	LIGHTING TRACK	A	"X" INDICATES TYPE	${\rm \bullet}$	HARD WIRE POWER CONNECTION	<u>(</u>	DRAWOUT CIRCUIT BREAKER	\ <b>^^</b>	IF NO RATING SHOWN, APPLIANCE IS 15cd				UPS HOWELL
✓	POLE MOUNTED LIGHTING FIXTURE	Ψ/Ψ Φ/Φ	SINGLE/DUPLEX RECEPTACLE SINGLE/DUPLEX RECEPTACLE CONTROLLED BY	DP	AUTOMATIC DOOR CONTROLLER	€	MANUALLY/ OPERATED	□ × <sub>xx</sub>	FIRE ALARM COMBINATION VISUAL/ AUDIBLE "XX" INDICATES CANDELA RATING				EMPLOYEE PARKING LOT
	POLE MOUNTED LIGHTING FIXTURE - POST TOP	С/ © Ф	AUTOMATIC CONTROL DEVICE/SYSTEM	PP	AUTOMATIC DOOR PUSH PAD OPERATOR	(E)	DRAWOUT CIRCUIT BREAKER ELECTRICALLY/ OPERATED		IF NO RATING SHOWN, APPLIANCE IS 15cd				IMPROVENIEN IS
$\odot$	BOLLARD LIGHTING FIXTURE	₩	QUAD RECEPTACLE ABOVE COUNTER DUPLEX RECEPTACLE		GROUND ROD	\$ °∕	,	-F-xx	FIRE ALARM COMBINATION VISUAL/ AUDIBLE NOTIFICATION APPLIANCE- CEILING MOUNTED				
	EMERGENCY LIGHTING UNIT		(SIMILAR FOR TAMPER RESISTANT, QUADS, EMERGENCY, USB AND GFCI RECEPTACLES)		GROUND CONNECTION	ر ارت	SWITCH AUTOMATIC OR MANUAL TRANSFER SWITCH	, ,	"XX" INDICATES CANDELA RATING IF NO RATING SHOWN, APPLIANCE IS 15cd				
	ARROWS (SHADED AREA INDICATES FACE)	ф	DUPLEX RECEPTACLE-GROUND FAULT CIRCUIT	НН	HANDHOLE CONDUIT SLEEVE WITH BUSHINGS		FUSE	-),-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,	FIRE ALARM VISUAL NOTIFICATION APPLIANCE				
<b>↑</b> € ↑	ARROWS (SHADED AREA INDICATES FACE)	•	DUPLEX EMERGENCY RECEPTACLE	X	LENGTH AS REQUIRED "X" INDICATES CONDUIT SIZE	m	TRANSFORMER	, (	"XX" INDICATES CANDELA RATING IF NO RATING SHOWN, APPLIANCE IS 15cd				Project Location
	EXIT LIGHTING FIXTURE - WALL MOUNTED BRANCH CIRCUIT EMERGENCY LIGHTING	ф н	DUPLEX TAMPER RESISTANT RECEPTACLE	•	CONDUIT UP CONDUIT DOWN		CURRENT TRANSFORMER	(F)	FIRE ALARM AUDIBLE NOTIFICATION APPLIANCE	Έ –			1183 FENDT DRIVE
ALCR	TRANSFER SWITCH AUTOMATIC LOAD CONTROL RELAY	$\blacksquare$	QUAD TAMPER RESISTANT RECEPTACLE	$\triangleleft$	EMPTY BOX FOR FUTURE	۲۲ ⊶ال⊷	LIGHTNING ARRESTOR	<		ELECTRIC	CAL ABE	BREVIATION LIST	
LC	lighting control device — refer to Lighting control schedule	$\Leftrightarrow$	ABOVE COUNTER DUPLEX TAMPER RESISTANT RECEPTACLE	$\triangleleft$		<b>x</b>	PANELBOARD	F		ABBREVIATION DES	SCRIPTION	ABBREVIATION DESCRIPTION ABBREVIATION DESCRIPTION	
XX	ROOM CONTROL DESIGNATION - REFER TO LIGHTING CONTROL SCHEDULE		DUPLEX UPS RECEPTACLE	$\langle \uparrow \rangle$	EMPTY BOX FOR FUTURE CEILING		A INDICATES PANELBUARD NAME	FACP	FIRE ALARM CONTROL PANEL	A AMF AER ARC	Peres C Energy Reductio	JB JUNCTION BOX P POLE ON PB PUSHBUTTON STATION	
S	SINGLE POLE TOGGLE SWITCH	ф (	DUPLEX RECEPTACLE WITH 2 USB PORTS	$\checkmark$	MOUNTED TELECOMMUNICATION OUTLET   REFER TO   ELECTRICAL TELECOMMUNICATION OUTLET   STANDARD	Ţ	STRESS CONE TERMINATION		NOTIFICATION APPLIANCE CIRCUIT	AF AMF AFCI ARC	PERES FRAME (BREA C FAULT CIRCUIT INT	CAKER RATING)     KA     THOUSAND AMP     PH     PHASE       NTERRUPTER     KV     KILOVOLT     PT     POTENTIAL TRANSFORMER	
52 S3	3 WAY TOGGLE SWITCH	Ϋ́ Æ	4 PURT USB CHARGING STATION	۲ X	"X" INDICATES TYPE SCHEDULES	К	SECURITY KEY INTERLOCK		EXTENDER PANEL	A.F.F. ABC AIC AMF	JVE FINISH FLOOR PS INTERRUPTING CA	CAPACITY KW KILOWATT HOURS PDP POWER DISTRIBUTION PANEL KWH KILOWATT HOURS RECEPT. RECEPTACLE	Sheet Name
S4	4 WAY TOGGLE SWITCH	<b>—</b>		₹,	OUTLET "X" INDICATES TYPE	$\bigcirc$			ADDRESSABLE MONITORING MODULE	AR AUD AR AUD AT AMF	DIENCE RIGHT PERES TRIP (BREAKI	KER SETTING) LA LIGHTNING ARRESTOR RP RECEPTACLE DISTRIBUTION PANE LIGHTNING ARRESTOR RP RECEPTACLE PANEL	ELECTRICAL STANDARDS
K K3	KET OPERATED SWITCH 3 WAY KEY OPERATED SWITCH		FUWER FULE SPECIAL RECEPTACIE - REFER TO FLECTRICAL		TELECOMMUNICATION CEILING MOUNTED		UTILITY METER		ADDRESSABLE CONTROL MODULE	ATS AUT AUX AUX	TOMATIC TRANSFER	SWITCH LIGHTING PANEL RIGHT SCERED STEEL CONDUCT LDP LIGHTING DISTRIBUTION PANEL SCCR SHORT CIRCUIT CURRENT RATING	AND DRAWING INDEX
K4	4 WAY KEY OPERATED SWITCH	Ŷ	STANDARD SCHEDULES	X KXXXX	TELECOMMUNICATION BACKBOARD		ELECTRONIC METERING UNIT	FS	FLOW SWITCH	BKR BRE BPS BOL	EAKER _TED PRESSURE SWI	MAX MAXIMUM SCHED SCHEDULE MCA MINIMUM CIRCUIT AMPACITY SW SWITCH MCB MAIN CIRCUIT BREAKER SWBD SWITCHBOARD	
D	DIMMER SWITCH	$\Phi \Phi \Phi$	MULTI-OUTLET RACEWAY	⊢-TGB	TELECOMMUNICATION GROUNDING BUS BAR	$\langle A \rangle$	VOLTMETER		MAGNETIC DOOR RELEASE	C CON CB CIRC	NDUIT CUIT BREAKER	MCC MOTOR CONTROL CENTER SWGR SWITCHGEAR MDP MAIN DISTRIBUTION PANEL TE TERMINAL BOX	
Do	DIMMER OCCUPANCY SENSOR SWITCH	<b>⊷</b> "X"	MULTI-SERVICE DROP SEE ELECTRICAL DETAILS AND DIAGRAMS SHEET	⊢ <b>TMGB</b> ⊣	TELECOMMUNICATION MAIN GROUNDING BUS BAR	AS	AMMETER SWITCH			CFCI CON CON	NTRACTOR FURNISHE	ED, MECH MECHANICAL TELECOM TELECOMMUNICATIONS ED MIN MINIMUM TR TAMPER RESISTANT	
DL	LOW VOLTAGE DIMMER SWITCH		"X" INDICATES TYPE POKE-THROUGH ASSEMBLY		INTERCOM OUTLET	VS	VOLTMETER SWITCH			CKT CIRC CT CUR	RENT TRANSFORME	ER MISC. MISCELLANEOUS TTB TELEPHONE TERMINAL BACKBOAF MLO MAIN LUGS ONLY TYP TYPICAL	
Sp	PILOT SWITCH		"X" INDICATES TYPE FLOOR SERVICE FITTING	(S)	SPEAKER - WALL MOUNTED	SPD	SURGE PROTECTIVE DEVICE			DIM DIM DISC DISC	ENSION CONNECT	MTD MOUNTED U.O.N. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED MTG MOUNTING US UPSTAGE	Engineer's Seal
		[ FBX ]	"X" INDICATES TYPE	MIC	MICROPHONE	(CR)	CONTROL RELAY			DP DIST DS DOV	TRIBUTION PANEL	MTR MOTOR V VOLTS N NEUTRAL W WIRE OR WATTS	TE OF M/CA
		AFX	"X" INDICATES TYPE	VC	VOLUME CONTROL/STATION SELECTOR					DWG DRA EBU EME	AWING ERGENCY BATTERY U	NC NORMALLY CLOSED WG WIRE GUARD UNIT NEC NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CODE WP WEATHERPROOF	ST. MYRON SCOTT ★ E
		RX	"X" INDICATES TYPE	BO	SIGNALING BELL		NORMALLY OPEN CONTACTS			EC ELEC ELEC ELEC	CTRICAL CONTRACT( CTRICAL	TOR NF NON-FUSIBLE NIC NOT IN CONTRACT XFMR TRANSFORMER	
		55	DUAL SWITCHING FOR INNER/OUTER LAMPS OF FLUORESCENT LIGHT FIXTURES	$\bigcirc$	SINGLE FACE CLOCK - CEILING MOUNTED	$\sim 1 \sim$	NORMALLY CLOSED CONTACTS			EM/ EMERG EME EMT ELEC	CTRICAL METALLIC 1	TUBING NO NORMALLY OPEN (E) EXISTING FD NTS NOT TO SCALE	
		5353	3-WAY DUAL SWITCHING FOR INNER/OUTER LAMPS OF FLUORESCENT LIGHT FIXTURES	ΗĞ	SINGLE FACE CLOCK - WALL MOUNTED	o	N.O. PUSH BUTTON SINGLE CIRCUIT			EPO EME EWC ELEC	ERGENCY POWER OFF	ED NIS NOT TO SOULE (R) RELOCATED FF OC ON CENTER LER OC ON CENTER	TOFESSION
		5454	4-WAY DUAL SWITCHING FOR INNER/OUTER	G	DOUBLE FACE CLOCK - CEILING MOUNTED	$\circ \perp \circ$	N.C. PUSH BUTTON SINGLE CIRCUIT			EXIST EXIS	STING E ALARM	OFCI OWNER FURNISHED, CONTRACTOR INSTALLED OFOI OWNER FURNISHED	DATE SIGNED: 09-02-2020
		CT	LAMPS OF FLUORESCENT LIGHT FIXTURES	S	DOUBLE FACE COMBINATION CLOCK/SPEAKER	x−x	CABLE VAULT "X—X" INDICATES TYPE			FLA FULI FLR FLO	L LOAD AMPS OR		Revisions
		SI	ILLIMINATED TOGGLE SWITCH FOR CONTROL OF	Ŕ	DOUBLE FACE CLOCK - WALL MOUNTED		BRANCH CIRCUIT PANELBOARD			FOH FRO FSEC FOO	NT OF HOUSE D SERVICE EQUIPME		REV ISSUED FOR DATE BY
			LIGHTING ON CRITICAL POWER-ILLUMINATED WHEN SWITCH IS IN "OFF" POSITION	G		<b>_</b>	LOAD CENTER			G/GRD/EG GRO		DEMOLITION KEY NOTE (LETTER)	
		Sl	LOW VOLTAGE SWITCH	He	WALL MOUNTED		MOTOR CONTROL CENTER			GFD GRO	DUND FAULT CIRCUIT	CCTION EF (i.e. EXHAUST FAN NUMBER 1)	
		So	OCCUPANCY SENSOR	T/C	TIME CLOCK		IRANSFURMER			HUA HAN HP HOR HV LICE	ND-OFF-AUTO RSEPOWER H. VOLTAGE		
		S02	OCCUPANCY SENSOR REFER TO ELECTRICAL STANDARD SCHEDULES	C	CONTACTOR		GROUND BUS			HZ HER		SHEET ON WHICH SECTION IS DRAWN	<u>m</u>
		OSX	"X" INDICATES TYPE	(P)	PHOTOCELL	⊢−PB	PLUG IN BUSWAY					AREA OF ENLARGEMENT	
		<b></b>		$\bigcup$	IWISI IIMEK	⊢FB⊣ z	FEEDER BUSWAY						Know what's helow
					L JANCE	INATIO						SHEET ON WHICH ENLARGED PLAN IS DRAWN	Call before you dig. Min. Three Days prior to digging
					AUDIE N APPI	i come Vible	JRES						Date
		ABOVE	COUNTER		ALARM ICATIOI ICATIOI ICATIOI	ALARM \L/AUD	r fixtl signs :ks Akers					Image: Section of Enlarged Plan       F31     Section of the se	09/02/2020
		CLE	······································		SUIT FIRE NOTIFE NOTIFE	FIRE VISUA						SHEET ON WHICH SECTION IS CUT	SME Project No.
		CEPTA	UTLET									(ENLARGED PARTIAL PLAN SIMILAR)	084617.00
		ANT RE			PANEL LIGHTII CONTR								Project Manager:
STANDAR	MOUNTING HEIGHTS	JLE RESIST <i>I</i>	MUNICA MUNICA COL MO MO MO MO MO MO MO MO MO MO MO MO MO									HEAVY LINE WEIGHT INDICATES NEW WORK	Designer:
		CEPTA( VPER F	CCI REC LECOMI SWITCHI SWITCHI CONTF CONTF S BOXES ROOMS CLOS	H CIRCI OARDS	96" A.F.F. TO OF BOX OR 6	, IOP , ,						LIGHT LINE WEIGHT INDICATES EXISTING	B. REYNOLDS
		ex re ex tai	ex gf Jre te Comme Jre te Jght ( Jght ( Jantof Jantof Jantof	BRANCH ANELB	Image:	, LESS,						GRAY LINE INDICATES BACKGROUND INFORMATION	CADD:
BEHINI PARTIT		± ⊭ DUPL ∽ DUPL										THIN GRAY LINE INDICATES CEILING GRID	D. ABB
LETS	TLETS TION CLE 0 CLE 0 CLE 0	→ + - +				MOU SHO	NTING HEIGHTS WN ON PLAN					DASHED LINES INDICATE CONDUIT ROUTED IN OR BELOW SLAB OR GRADE	Checked By:
ICE LE OUT	LE OU IUNICA ONVENI SECIAL SECIAL SECIAL SECIAL SECIAL	8″ ABO\   CENTER	VE COUNTER TO OF BOX, U.O.N.48" A.F.F. TO TOP OF BOX, U.O.N.			FOR						HATCH MARKS INDICATE EQUIPMENT OR MATERIALS TO BE DISCONNECTED AND REMOVED.	Sheet No.
IVENIEN					6'-6" A.F.F. TO TOP OF ENCLOSURE. U.O.N.	BOT FIXT	TOM OF MOUNTING URE, U.O.N. HEIGHTS WITH					CIRCUIT HOMERUN	
CON REC	ᄨᄶᇐ ᄇᅙ <sup>™</sup>		48" A.F. ENCLOSU	.F. TO TOP OF URE, U.O.N.			ARCHITECT						
÷	6" A.F.F. HORIZONTALLY				ł ł		$\downarrow$					• IN USE • SPARE	DRAWING NOTE: SCALE DEPICTED IS MEANT FOR
I	TO TOP OF BOX, U.O.N.											ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL	24" X 30" AND WILL SCALE INCORRECTLY IF PRINTED ON ANY OTHER SIZE MEDIA NO REPRODUCTION SHALL BE MADE WITHOUT THE PRIOR CONSENT OF SME CO2020

![](_page_67_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Figure_3.jpeg)

STA

# ELECTRICAL DRAWING INDEX

![](_page_67_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Figure_3.jpeg)

Image: Series of the			TECI	HNICAL IN	IFORMAT	ION					
I.3 gust         Max 3 sec. gust         Bolt Circle (in) (in, in, in)         Approximate shift (ibs.)           0.125         1.60         100         1.20         100         0.0         0         7.5 - 9.5         .75 x 18 x 3         62           0.188         3.80         100         3.10         100         2.0         100         7.5 - 9.5         .75 x 18 x 3         72           0.188         3.80         100         3.10         100         2.0         100         .75 - 9.5         .75 x 18 x 3         72           0.188         7.22         214         6.10         100         4.3         100         9 - 10         .75 x 30 x 3         106           0.156         2.60         114         1.80         200         1.0         200         9.875 - 11.25         1 x 36 x 4         130           0.156         8.50         2.20         6.80         2.00         8.87         2.00         11 - 12         1 x 36 x 4         133           0.156         2.70         111         1.70         2.00         0.8         2.00         9.875 - 11.25         1 x 36 x 4         135           0.156         2.70         111         1.70         2.00         3.77				EPA	(ft2)						
II Thick (in)         100 mph (weight (in)         Max, Weight (bs.)         150 mph (bs.)         Max, (bs.)         Bolt Circle (in) (in.x.in.xin.)         Bor Size (in.x.in.xin.)         Approximate bis/ weight (bs.)           0.125         1.60         100         1.20         100         0.0         0         7.5 - 9.5         .75 x 18 x 3         62           0.188         3.80         100         3.10         100         2.0         100         7.5 - 9.5         .75 x 18 x 3         62           0.188         7.22         214         6.10         100         4.3         100         9.10         .75 x 30 x 3         107           0.156         5.40         162         4.10         200         1.0         200         9.875 11.25         1 x 36 x 4         120           0.156         5.40         162         4.10         200         4.8         200         11-12         1 x 36 x 4         130           0.156         5.30         151         4.00         200         2.6         200         11-12         1 x 36 x 4         153           0.156         5.30         151         4.00         200         2.6         200         11-12         1 x 36 x 4         153		1.3 g	gust		Max 3 s	ec. gust					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	all Thick (in)	100 mph	Max. weight	130 mph	Max. weight (lbs)	150 mph	Max. weight (lbs)	Bolt Circle (in)	Bolt ! (in. x in	Size . x in.)	Approximate shij weight (lbs.)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.125	1.60	100	1.20	100	0.0	0	7.5 - 9.5	.75 x 1	8 x 3	62
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0.188	3.80	100	3.10	100	2.0	100	7.5 - 9.5	.75 x 1	8 x 3	72
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	).188	7.22	214	6.10	100	4.3	100	9 - 10	.75 x 3	0 x 3	107
$\frac{136}{5} = \frac{2.60}{114} = \frac{114}{1.80} = \frac{2.00}{200} = \frac{1.0}{2.8} = \frac{2.00}{9.875 - 11.25} = \frac{1.736 \times 3}{1.86 \times 4} = \frac{100}{120}$ $\frac{136}{1.56} = \frac{5.40}{162} = \frac{1162}{4.10} = \frac{4.10}{200} = \frac{2.8}{200} = \frac{2.8}{9.875 - 11.25} = \frac{1.736 \times 4}{1.856 \times 4} = \frac{130}{130}$ $\frac{11.16}{1.188} = \frac{10.90}{2.61} = \frac{2.6}{8.80} = \frac{2.00}{2.00} = \frac{4.8}{6.2} = \frac{2.00}{2.00} = \frac{11.12}{1.12} = \frac{1.736 \times 4}{1.836 \times 4} = \frac{135}{1.155}$ $\frac{1.16}{5.30} = \frac{1.11}{1.10} = \frac{1.00}{2.00} = \frac{2.6}{2.00} = \frac{2.00}{11 - 12} = \frac{1.736 \times 4}{1.836 \times 4} = \frac{135}{1.150}$ $\frac{1.188}{1.188} = \frac{7.30}{1.40} = 9 = \frac{9}{5.60} = \frac{2.00}{2.00} = \frac{3.7}{2.00} = \frac{11.12}{1.12} = \frac{1.736 \times 4}{1.836 \times 4} = \frac{135}{1.112}$ $\frac{1.88}{1.430} = \frac{1.430}{3.77} = \frac{11.40}{1.140} = \frac{2.25}{2.25} = \frac{1.6}{1.12} = \frac{1.736 \times 4}{1.856 \times 4} = \frac{2.20}{2.25}$ $\frac{1.88}{1.188} = \frac{1.430}{4.50} = \frac{11.41}{3.20} = \frac{2.25}{2.5} = \frac{1.1}{1.12} = \frac{1.736 \times 4}{1.856 \times 4} = \frac{2.20}{2.25}$ $\frac{1.88}{1.188} = \frac{1.6}{1.40} = \frac{2.95}{7.90} = \frac{2.25}{2.5} = \frac{1.1}{1.12} = \frac{1.736 \times 4}{1.856 \times 4} = \frac{2.20}{2.25}$ $\frac{1.88}{1.188} = \frac{1.040}{2.29} = \frac{2.25}{7.90} = \frac{1.1}{1.22} = \frac{1.736 \times 4}{1.36 \times 4} = \frac{2.20}{2.25}$ $\frac{1.88}{2.70} = \frac{1.7}{1.22} = \frac{1.736 \times 4}{1.36 \times 4} = \frac{2.20}{2.25}$ $\frac{1.8}{1.122} = \frac{1.71}{1.12} = \frac{1.736 \times 4}{1.36 \times 4} = \frac{2.90}{2.25}$ $\frac{1.8}{2.20} = \frac{1.1}{1.12} = \frac{1.736 \times 4}{1.36 \times 4} = \frac{2.90}{2.25}$ $\frac{1.8}{2.20} = \frac{1.1}{1.12} = \frac{1.736 \times 4}{1.36 \times 4} = \frac{2.90}{2.25}$ $\frac{1.8}{2.20} = \frac{1.1}{1.12} = \frac{1.736 \times 4}{1.36 \times 4} = \frac{2.90}{2.25}$ $\frac{1.1}{1.12} = \frac{1.736 \times 4}{1.36 \times 4} = \frac{2.90}{2.25}$ $\frac{1.1}{1.22} = \frac{1.25 \times 48 \times 5}{1.1.12} = \frac{1.25 \times 48 \times 6}{3.95}$ $\frac{1.1}{2.20} = \frac{1.25 \times 48 \times 6}{3.95} = \frac{1.25 \times 48 \times 6}{3.95}$ $\frac{1.25 \times 48 \times 6}{3.95} = \frac{1.25 \times 48 \times 6}{3.95} = \frac{1.25 \times 48 \times 6}{3.95} = \frac{1.25 \times 48 \times 6}{3.95}$ $\frac{1.1}{1.12} = \frac{1.25 \times 48 \times 6}{3.95} = 1.25 \times 48 \times 6$	).156	9.00	256	7.50	100	5.3	100	9.875 - 11.25	1 x 36	x4	103
$\frac{1}{10} = \frac{5}{10} + \frac{1}{10} $	).156	2.60	114	1.80	200	1.0	200	9-10	.75 x 3	0 x 3	106
$\frac{130}{188} = \frac{220}{109} = \frac{220}{261} = \frac{200}{8.80} = \frac{200}{200} = \frac{11}{62} = \frac{11}{2} = \frac{11}{12} = \frac{11}{13} + \frac{130}{364} + \frac{130}{135} = \frac{130}{135} + \frac{130}{1$	150	5.40	162	4.10	200	2.8	200	9.8/5 - 11.25	1 X 30	x4	120
$\frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}$	188	0.50	220	8.80	200	4.0	200	11 - 12	1 x 30	x4	150
$\frac{1}{9} = \frac{1}{9} = \frac{1}{10} + $	) 156	2 70	111	1.70	200	0.2	200	9 875 - 11 25	1 x 36	x4	135
$\frac{1}{3^{2}} = \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{1$	156	5.30	151	4.00	200	2.6	200	11 - 12	1x36	x 4	155
$\frac{188}{3} = \frac{14.30}{4.30} = \frac{377}{377} = \frac{11.40}{1.40} = \frac{225}{225} = \frac{7.7}{7} = \frac{225}{225} = \frac{14-15}{1-12} = \frac{1 \times 40 \times 4}{1 \times 36 \times 4} = \frac{235}{1.45} = \frac{11.40}{1.40} = \frac{11.40}{1.40}$	.188	7.30	9	5.60	200	3.7	200	11 - 12	1 x 36	x4	175
$\frac{156}{8^{10}} = \frac{2.90}{119} = \frac{119}{1.80} = \frac{225}{225} = \frac{0.8}{1.9} = \frac{225}{225} = \frac{11-12}{1.12} = \frac{1 \times 36 \times 4}{1 \times 36 \times 4} = \frac{185}{220}$ $\frac{1.168}{1.20} = \frac{1.11}{1.12} = \frac{1 \times 36 \times 4}{1 \times 36 \times 4} = \frac{1.11}{220}$ $\frac{1.11}{1.12} = \frac{1 \times 36 \times 4}{1 \times 36 \times 4} = \frac{1.11}{220}$ $\frac{1.11}{1.12} = \frac{1 \times 36 \times 4}{1 \times 36 \times 4} = \frac{1.11}{220}$ $\frac{1.11}{1.12} = \frac{1 \times 36 \times 4}{1 \times 36 \times 4} = \frac{1.11}{220}$ $\frac{1.11}{1.12} = \frac{1 \times 36 \times 4}{1 \times 36 \times 4} = \frac{1.11}{220}$ $\frac{1.11}{1.12} = \frac{1 \times 36 \times 4}{1 \times 36 \times 4} = \frac{1.11}{220}$ $\frac{1.11}{1.12} = \frac{1 \times 36 \times 4}{1 \times 36 \times 4} = \frac{1.11}{220}$ $\frac{1.11}{1.12} = \frac{1 \times 36 \times 4}{1 \times 36 \times 4} = \frac{1.11}{220}$ $\frac{1.11}{1.12} = \frac{1 \times 36 \times 4}{1 \times 36 \times 4} = \frac{1.11}{220}$ $\frac{1.11}{1.12} = \frac{1 \times 36 \times 4}{1 \times 36 \times 4} = \frac{1.11}{220}$ $\frac{1.11}{1.12} = \frac{1 \times 36 \times 4}{1 \times 36 \times 4} = \frac{1.11}{220}$ $\frac{1.11}{1.12} = \frac{1 \times 36 \times 4}{1 \times 36 \times 4} = \frac{1.11}{220}$ $\frac{1.11}{1.12} = \frac{1 \times 36 \times 4}{1 \times 36 \times 4} = \frac{1.11}{220}$ $\frac{1.11}{1.12} = \frac{1 \times 36 \times 4}{1 \times 36 \times 4} = \frac{1.11}{220}$ $\frac{1.11}{1.12} = \frac{1 \times 36 \times 4}{1 \times 36 \times 4} = \frac{1.11}{220}$ $\frac{1.11}{1.12} = \frac{1.11}{1.12} = $	.188	14.30	377	11.40	225	7.7	225	14 - 15	1 x 40	x4	235
$ \frac{188}{20} + \frac{4.50}{141} + \frac{1}{3.20} + \frac{225}{225} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{225}{25} + \frac{11}{12} + \frac{1}{13} + \frac{3}{36} + \frac{220}{25} + \frac{1}{250} + \frac{1}{250} + \frac{1}{13} + \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{3}{36} + \frac{2}{251} + \frac{1}{13} + \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{3}{36} + \frac{2}{36} + \frac{2}{251} + \frac{1}{13} + \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{3}{36} + \frac{2}{36} + \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{3}{36} + \frac{2}{36} + \frac{2}{36} + \frac{2}{36} + \frac{2}{36} + \frac{1}{36} + \frac{2}{36} + \frac{2}{36} + \frac{1}{36} + \frac{2}{36} + \frac{2}{36} + \frac{1}{36} + \frac{2}{36} + \frac{1}{36} + \frac{2}{36} + \frac{1}{36} + \frac{1}$	.156	2.90	119	1.80	225	0.8	225	11 - 12	1 x 36	x 4	185
$\frac{250}{5}  7.50  183  5.70  225  3.8  225  11 - 12  1 \times 36 \times 4  251 \\ 1188  10.40  295  7.90  225  5.1  225  14 - 15  1 \times 40 \times 4  268 \\ 1188  2.70  122  1.60  225  0.6  225  11 - 12  1 \times 36 \times 4  250 \\ 1188  7.80  253  6.00  225  2.3  225  11 - 12  1 \times 36 \times 4  280 \\ 1188  7.80  253  6.00  225  6.2  225  14 - 15  1 \times 40 \times 4  295 \\ 1190  300  9.60  225  6.2  225  14 - 15  1 \times 40 \times 4  295 \\ 1250  11.90  300  9.60  225  6.2  225  14 - 15  1 \times 25 \times 48 \times 5  373 \\ 1250  6.50  300  4.000  225  1.8  225  14 - 15  1 \times 25 \times 48 \times 6  395 \\ \hline 27' - 6'' \text{ in height} \\ \hline \frac{5^{n}}{7}  7.5' - 9.5''  3 \times 25''  9 \times 25''  ABRTA - 5  ABTA - 6 \\ \frac{5^{n}}{7}  9^{n} -10''  3.50'''  10''  ABRTA - 6 \\ \frac{7^{n}}{9^{n} - 10''}  3.50'''  10''  ABRTA - 6 \\ \frac{7^{n}}{9^{n} - 11^{2''}  5''  14.5''  ABRTA - 10J  n/a  PI50035 \\ 10''J  14^{n} - 15''  5''  14.5''  ABRTA - 10J  n/a  PI50035 \\ \hline 10''J  14^{n} - 15''  5''  14.5''  ABRTA - 10J  n/a  PI50035 \\ \hline 10''J  14^{n} - 15''  5''  14.5''  ABRTA - 10J  n/a  PI50035 \\ \hline 10''J  14^{n} - 15''  5''  14.5'''  ABRTA - 10J  n/a  PI50035 \\ \hline 10''J  14^{n} - 15'''  5'''  14.5'''  ABRTA - 10J  n/a  PI50035 \\ \hline 10''J  14^{n} - 15'''  5'''  14.5''''  ABRTA - 10J  n/a  PI50035 \\ \hline 10''J  14^{n} - 15'''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''$	.188	4.50	141	3.20	225	1.9	225	11 - 12	1 x 36	x4	220
1.188       10.40       295       7.90       225       5.1       225       14-15       1 x40 x4       268         1.188       2.70       122       1.60       225       0.6       225       11-12       1 x36 x4       250         1.250       5.40       158       3.80       225       2.3       225       11-12       1 x36 x4       280         1.188       7.80       253       6.00       225       3.6       225       14-15       1 x40 x4       295         1.250       11.90       300       9.60       225       6.2       225       14-15       1.25 x48 x5       373         1.250       6.50       300       4.000       225       1.8       225       14-15       1.25 x48 x6       395         POLE DATA         Shaft base       Bolt       Base       Tanchor bolt description       Marchore Anchor description         Shaft base       Bolt       Base       Tanchor bolt description       Marchor description         Shaft base       Bolt       Base       Tanchor bolt description       Marchor description         5"       7.5 -9.5"       3.25"       9.25"       ABRT	.250	7.50	183	5.70	225	3.8	225	11 - 12	1 x 36	x 4	251
$\frac{188}{270}  \frac{122}{122}  \frac{1.60}{158}  \frac{225}{225}  \frac{0.6}{225}  \frac{225}{11-12}  \frac{1 \times 36 \times 4}{1 \times 36 \times 4}  \frac{250}{280}$ $\frac{250}{5.40}  \frac{158}{158}  \frac{3.80}{225}  \frac{225}{2.3}  \frac{225}{225}  \frac{11-12}{1 \times 15}  \frac{1 \times 40 \times 4}{1 \times 40 \times 4}  \frac{295}{295}$ $\frac{250}{11.90}  \frac{300}{300}  \frac{9.60}{225}  \frac{225}{6.2}  \frac{225}{225}  \frac{14-15}{1 \times 15}  \frac{1.25 \times 48 \times 5}{1.25 \times 48 \times 5}  \frac{373}{373}$ $\frac{250}{6.50}  \frac{300}{300}  \frac{4.000}{225}  \frac{225}{1.8}  \frac{225}{225}  \frac{14-15}{1 \times 15}  \frac{1.25 \times 48 \times 5}{1.25 \times 48 \times 6}  \frac{395}{395}$ $\frac{27'-6'' \text{ in height}}{5''  \frac{7.5'-9.5''}{2}  \frac{3.25''}{3.25''}  \frac{9.25''}{9.25''}  \frac{ABRTA-5}{ABRTA-5}  \frac{AB18-0}{AB30-0}  \frac{PJ50033}{PJ50032}$ $\frac{8''}{10''J}  \frac{11''-12''}{14''-15''}  \frac{4.25''}{14.5''}  \frac{ABRTA-7}{ABRTA-8}  \frac{AB36-0}{AB36-0}  \frac{PJ50035}{PJ50035}$	.188	10.40	295	7.90	225	5.1	225	14 - 15	1 x 40	x 4	268
1250       5.40       158       3.80       225       2.3       225       11-12       1 x36 x4       280         1.188       7.80       253       6.00       225       3.6       225       14-15       1 x40 x4       295         1.250       11.90       300       9.60       225       6.2       225       14-15       1.25 x48 x5       373         1.250       6.50       300       4.000       225       1.8       225       14-15       1.25 x48 x6       395         POLE DATA         Staft base       Bolt       Bolt       Bolt       Saguare       Tanchor bolt description       Warehouse       Template         5"       7.5"-9.5"       3.25"       9.25"       ABRTA-5       ABRTA-6       Pis0032         6"       9"-10"       3.50"*       10"       ABRTA-7       AB36-0       Pis0033         7"       9.875"-11.25       4.125"       10.5"       ABRTA-7       AB36-0       Pis0033         7"       9.875"-11.25       4.125"       10.5"       ABRTA-106       n/a       Pis0036         7"       9.875"-11.25       4.125"       14.5"       ABRTA-106       n/a       Pis0036	.188	2.70	122	1.60	225	0.6	225	11 - 12	1 x 36	x4	250
1188       7.80       253       6.00       225       3.6       225       14-15       1 x40 x4       295         250       11.90       300       9.60       225       6.2       225       14-15       1.25 x48 x5       373         250       6.50       300       4.000       225       1.8       225       14-15       1.25 x48 x6       395         POLE DATA         Staft base       Bolt       Bolt       Bolt       Bust       Square       Tanchor bolt description       Marehouse       Template         5"       7.5"-9.5"       3.25"       9.25"       ABRTA-5       ABRTA-6       Pisoo32         6"       9"-10"       3.50""       10"       ABRTA-6       AB30-0       Pisoo33         7"       9.875"-11.25       4.125"       10.5"       ABRTA-7       AB36-0       Pisoo33         7"       9.875"-11.25       4.125"       10.5"       ABRTA-106       n/a       Pisoo36         8"       11"-12"       4.25"       11.5"       ABRTA-106       n/a       Pisoo36         10" G       14"-15"       5"       14.5"       ABRTA-101       n/a       Pisoo36	.250	5.40	158	3.80	225	2.3	225	11 - 12	1 x 36	x 4	280
250       11.90       300       9.60       225       6.2       225       14-15       1.25 x 48 x 5       373         250       6.50       300       4.000       225       1.8       225       14-15       1.25 x 48 x 6       395         27'-6" in height         POLE DATA         Shaft base       Bolt       Bolt       Base       TAnchor bolt description       Marehouse       Template number         5"       7.5"-9.5"       3.25"       9.25"       ABRTA-5       AB18-0       PJ50032         6"       9"-10"       3.50""       10.5"       ABRTA-6       AB30-0       PJ50033         7"       9.875"-11.25       4.125"       10.5"       ABRTA-7       AB36-0       PJ50034         8"       11"-12"       4.25"       11.5"       ABRTA-10G       n/a       PJ50035         10" J       14"-15"       5"       14.5"       ABRTA-10J       n/a       PJ50036	188	7.80	253	6.00	225	3.6	225	14 - 15	1 x 40	x 4	295
250       6.50       300       4.000       225       1.8       225       14-15       1.25 x 48 x 6       395         POLE DATA         POLE DATA         Shaft base       Bolt       Bolt       square       TAnchor bolt description       Marchous       Template         Shaft base       Bolt       Bolt       square       TAnchor bolt description       Marchous       Template         S"       7.5"-9.5"       3.25"       9.25"       ABRTA-5       AB18-0       PJ50032         6"       9"-10"       3.50""       10"       ABRTA-6       AB30-0       PJ50033         7"       9.875"-11.25       4.125"       10.5"       ABRTA-7       AB36-0       PJ50034         8"       11"-12"       4.25"       11.5"       ABRTA-10G       n/a       PJ50035         10" J       14"-15"       5"       14.5"       ABRTA-10J       n/a       PJ50036			200	9.60	225	6.2	225	14 - 15	1.25 x 4	18 x 5	373
Staff base         Bolt         Bolt         Base         Tanchor bolt description         Marchous         Template           5"         7.5"-9.5"         3.25"         9.25"         ABRTA-5         AB18-0         PJ50032           6"         9"-10"         3.50""         10"         ABRTA-6         AB30-0         PJ50033           7"         9.875"-11.25         4.125"         10.5"         ABRTA-7         AB36-0         PJ50034           8"         11"-12"         4.25"         11.5"         ABRTA-8         AB36-0         PJ50035           10" G         14"-15"         5"         14.5"         ABRTA-10G         n/a         PJ50036	250	11.90	500								
Bolt         Bolt         Base         Tanchor bolt description         Marehouse Anchor description         Template number           5"         7.5"-9.5"         3.25"         9.25"         ABRTA-5         ABI8-0         Piso032           6"         9"-10"         3.50""         10"         ABRTA-6         AB30-0         Piso033           7"         9.875"-11.25         4.125"         10.5"         ABRTA-6         AB30-0         Piso033           8"         11"-12"         4.25"         11.5"         ABRTA-8         AB36-0         Piso035           10" G         14"-15"         4.50"         14.5"         ABRTA-10G         n/a         Piso035           10" G         14"-15"         4.50"         14.5"         ABRTA-10G         n/a         Piso036           10" J         14"-15"         5"         14.5"         ABRTA-10J         n/a         Piso063	0.250 0.250 <b>27'-(</b>	11.90 6.50 6" in he	300 300	4.000	225	1.8	225	14 - 15	1.25 x 4	18 x 6	395
size         circle         projection         square         Anchor         number           5"         7.5"-9.5"         3.25"         9.25"         ABRTA-5         AB18-0         PJ50032           6"         9"-10"         3.50""         10"         ABRTA-6         AB30-0         PJ50033           7"         9.875"-11.25         4.125"         10.5"         ABRTA-7         AB36-0         PJ50034           8"         11"-12"         4.25"         11.5"         ABRTA-8         AB36-0         PJ50035           10" G         14"-15"         4.50"         14.5"         ABRTA-10G         n/a         PJ50036           10" J         14"-15"         5"         14.5"         ABRTA-10J         n/a         PJ50063	0.250 0.250 <b>27'-</b> (	11.90 6.50 6" in he	300 300	4.000	225	1.8	225	14 - 15	1.25 x 4	18 x 6	395
5"         7.5"-9.5"         3.25"         9.25"         ABRTA-5         ABTA-0         PJ50032           6"         9"-10"         3.50""         10"         ABRTA-6         AB30-0         PJ50033           7"         9.875"-11.25         4.125"         10.5"         ABRTA-7         AB36-0         PJ50034           8"         11"-12"         4.25"         11.5"         ABRTA-8         AB36-0         PJ50035           10" G         14"-15"         4.50"         14.5"         ABRTA-10G         n/a         PJ50036           10" J         14"-15"         5"         14.5"         ABRTA-10J         n/a         PJ50063	0.250 0.250 <b>27'-</b> (	11.90 6.50 6" in he	300 300 eight	4.000	225 Bolt	1.8 Bolt	225 P( Base	14 - 15 DLE DATA TAnchor bolt	1.25 x 4	18 x 6	395
6"         9"-10"         3.50""         10"         ABRTA-6         AB30-0         PJ50033           7"         9.875"-11.25         4.125"         10.5"         ABRTA-7         AB36-0         PJ50034           8"         11"-12"         4.25"         11.5"         ABRTA-8         AB36-0         PJ50035           10" G         14" - 15"         4.50"         14.5"         ABRTA-10G         n/a         PJ50036           10" J         14"-15"         5"         14.5"         ABRTA-10J         n/a         PJ50063	.250 .250 <b>27'-</b> (	11.90 6.50 6" in he	300 300 eight	4.000 aft base size	Bolt circle	1.8 Bolt projection	225 P( Base squar	14 - 15 DLE DATA e TAnchor bolt o	1.25 x 4	Warehouse Anchor descrintion	395 e Template number
7       9.875 - 11.25       4.125       10.5       ABRIA-7       AB30-0       PJ50034         8"       11"-12"       4.25"       11.5"       ABRTA-8       AB36-0       PJ50035         10" G       14" - 15"       4.50"       14.5"       ABRTA-10G       n/a       PJ50036         10" J       14"-15"       5"       14.5"       ABRTA-10J       n/a       PJ50063	.250 .250 <b>27'-(</b>	11.90 6.50 6" in he	300 300 eight	4.000 aft base size 5"	Bolt circle A 7.5"-9.5"	Bolt projection B 3.25"	225 Pf Base squar C 9.25"	14 - 15 DLE DATA e TAnchor bolt o ABRTA	1.25 x 4 Jescription -5	Warehouss Anchor description AB18-0	e Template number n PJ50032
10" G         14" - 15"         4.50"         14.5"         ABRTA-10G         n/a         PJ50036           10" J         14"-15"         5"         14.5"         ABRTA-10J         n/a         PJ50063	250 250 <b>27'-(</b>	11.90 6.50 6" in he	300 300	4.000  aft base size 5" 6" 0	Bolt circle A 7.5"-9.5" 9"-10"	Bolt projection B 3.25" 3.50"	225 P( Base squar ( 9.25" 9.25" 10"	14 - 15 DLE DATA e TAnchor bolt o ABRTA ABRTA	1.25 x 4 lescription -5 -6 -7	Warehouss Anchor description AB18-0 AB30-0	395 e Template number n PJ50032 PJ50033
10" J 14"15" 5" 14.5" ABRTA-10J n/a PJ50063	.250 .250 <b>27'-</b> 1	11.90 6.50	300 300	4.000 4.000 5° 6° 7° 9. 8°	Bolt circle A 7.5"-9.5" 9"-10" 875"-11.25 11"-12"	Bolt projection <b>B</b> 3.25" 3.50" 4.125" 4.25"	225 P( Base squar ( 9.25" 10" 10.5"	14 - 15 DLE DATA e TAnchor bolt o ABRTA ABRTA ABRTA ABRTA	1.25 x 4 Jescription -5 -6 -7 -8	Warehouss Anchor descriptiol AB18-0 AB36-0 AB36-0	395 e Template n umber PI50032 PI50034 PI50035
A	27'-( 8"	11.90 6.50	300 300	aft base           size           5"           6"           7"           8"           10" 6	Bolt circle A 7.5"-9.5" 9"-10" 875"-11.25 11"-12" 14" - 15"	Bolt projection B 3.25° 4.125° 4.25° 4.25°	225	DLE DATA e TAnchor bolt o ABRTA ABRTA ABRTA	1.25 x 4 description -5 -6 -7 -7 -8 10G	Warehouse Anchor description AB18-0 AB36-0 AB36-0 n/a	395 Template number n PI50032 PI50033 PI50034 PI50035 PI50036
	27'-( 8"	11.90 6.50 6" in he	300 eight	aft base           size           5"           6"           7"           8"           10" G           10" J	Bolt circle A 7.5"-9.5" 9"-10" 875"-11.25 11"-12" 14" - 15" 14"-15"	Bolt projection B 3.25" 3.50"" 4.125" 4.25" 4.25" 5"	225 P( Base squar C 9.25" 10" 10.5" 11.5" 14.5" 14.5"	14 - 15 DLE DATA e TAnchor bolt o ABRTA ABRTA ABRTA ABRTA	1.25 x 4 lescription -5 -6 -7 -7 -8 -8 10G 10J	Warehouse Anchor description AB18-0 AB36-0 AB36-0 n/a n/a	395 e Template number PI50032 PI50034 PI50036 PI50036
	0.250 0.250 27'-0	11.90 6.50 6" in he	and a second sec	aft base	Bolt circle A 7.5"-9.5" 9"-10" 875"-11.25 11"-12" 14" - 15" 14"-15"	Bolt projection B 3.25" 3.50"" 4.125" 4.25" 4.25" 5"	225 P( Base squar C 9.25" 10" 10.5" 11.5" 14.5"	14 - 15 DLE DATA e TAnchor bolt o ABRTA ABRTA ABRTA ABRTA	1.25 x 4 lescription -5 -6 -7 -7 -8 -8 -10G -10J	Warehouss Anchor description AB18-0 AB36-0 AB36-0 n/a n/a	395 e Template number PI50032 PI50034 PI50035 PI50036 PI50063
		11.90 6.50 6" in he	aight	aft base           size           5"           6"           7"           8"           10" J	225 Bolt A 7.5"-9.5" 9"-10" 875"-11.25 11"-12" 14"-15"	Bolt projection B 3.25" 3.50" 4.125" 4.25" 4.25" 4.50" 5"	225 P( 8ase squars 9.25" 10.5" 10.5" 11.5" 14.5"	14 - 15       DLE DATA       e     TAnchor bolt of       ABRTA       ABRTA       ABRTA	1.25 x 4 Jescription -5 -6 -7 -7 -8 10G 10J	Warehous Anchor description AB18-0 AB36-0 AB36-0 n/a n/a	395 e Template number n PI50032 PI50034 PI50035 PI50036 PI50036
IMPORTANT: These specifications are intended for general purposes of Utility are received the data material or decime with	0.250 0.250 27'-0	11.90 6.50 6" in he	A A	aft base	Bolt circle A 7.5"-9.5" 9"-10" 875"-11.25 11"-12" 14"-15" 14"-15"	Bolt projection B 3.25" 3.50"" 4.125" 4.25" 4.25" 5"	225 P( Base squar C 9.25" 10" 10.5" 11.5" 14.5"	14 - 15 DLE DATA TAnchor bolt ( ABRTA ABRTA ABRTA ABRTA ABRTA ABRTA	1.25 x 4 lescription -5 -6 -7 -8 10G 10J NT: ecifications at	Warehouss Anchor description AB18-0 AB36-0 AB36-0 n/a n/a n/a	395 e Template number PI50032 PI50034 PI50035 PI50063 PI50063
IMPORTANT: These specifications are intended for general purposes of Lithonia reserves the right to change material or design, with prior notice, in a continuing effort to upgrade its products.		11.90 6.50 6" in he	300 aight Sh	aft base	225 Bolt circle <b>A</b> 7.5"-9.5" 9"-10" 875"-11.25 11"-12" 14" - 15" 14"-15"	Bolt           projection           B           3.25"           3.50""           4.125"           4.50"           5"	225	14 - 15       DLE DATA       e       TAnchor bolt of       ABRTA       ABRTA       ABRTA       ABRTA       ABRTA       MPORTA       IMPORTA       These sp Lithonia r prior noti	1.25 x 4 lescription -5 -6 -7 -7 -8 10G 10J VIT: ecifications an eserves the rig ec, in a continu	Warehouse Anchor description AB18-0 AB30-0 AB36-0 AB36-0 n/a n/a n/a e intended for ht to change n ing effort to u	395 e Template number n PJ50032 PJ50034 PJ50036 PJ50036 PJ50063

![](_page_68_Picture_5.jpeg)

	EWAY / CONDUCTOR /
	EXPOSED, SURFACE MOUNTED TO STRU
anch Jits - Erior	EXPOSED, SURFACE MOUNTED TO STRU BELOW PARKING LOTS AND ROADWAYS
Branch Circuits - Exterior	EXPOSED, SURFACE MOUNTED TO STRU BELOW PARKING LOTS AND ROADWAYS BELOW GREEN SPACE
Branch Circuits - Exterior	EXPOSED, SURFACE MOUNTED TO STRU BELOW PARKING LOTS AND ROADWAYS BELOW GREEN SPACE WITHIN 5' OF FOUNDATION WALL
H BRANCH - CIRCUITS - R EXTERIOR	EXPOSED, SURFACE MOUNTED TO STRU BELOW PARKING LOTS AND ROADWAYS BELOW GREEN SPACE WITHIN 5' OF FOUNDATION WALL EXPOSED, BELOW 10' AFF AND SUBJEC
ANCH BRANCH UITS - CIRCUITS - ERIOR EXTERIOR	EXPOSED, SURFACE MOUNTED TO STRU BELOW PARKING LOTS AND ROADWAYS BELOW GREEN SPACE WITHIN 5' OF FOUNDATION WALL EXPOSED, BELOW 10' AFF AND SUBJEC EXPOSED, BELOW 10' AFF AND NOT SU
BRANCH BRANCH CIRCUITS – CIRCUITS – INTERIOR EXTERIOR	EXPOSED, SURFACE MOUNTED TO STRU BELOW PARKING LOTS AND ROADWAYS BELOW GREEN SPACE WITHIN 5' OF FOUNDATION WALL EXPOSED, BELOW 10' AFF AND SUBJEC EXPOSED, BELOW 10' AFF AND NOT SU EXPOSED, ABOVE 10' AFF UNFINISHED S

1. TRANSITION FROM PVC/HDPE AND PROVIDE RI CONCRETE SLABS, CONCRETE BASES, AND ASPH

								<b>SME</b>
eries Size 1 Area Luminaire	Catalog Numbe Notes	) er						www.sme-usa.com
	Intr Hardwe Table The yet u state envir of th perfe	oduct moder unobtru ement e ronmer ne lates ormanc	L3 ion n styl usive even nt. Th t in L ce, hig	ling o - mak as it k ED te gh eff	f the [ sing a olends Series schnol ficacy,	D-Series is striking bold, progressive s seamlessly with its distills the benefits ogy into a high long-life luminaire.		Peter Basso Associates Inc CONSULTING ENGINEERS 5145 Livernois, Suite 100 Troy, Michigan 48098-3276 Tel: 248-879-5666 Fax: 248-879-0007 www.PeterBassoAssociates.com
H1 H1 H2 H2 H2 H2 H2 H2 H2 H2 H2 H2 H2 H2 H2	The resu pole idea peda typic servi	outstar Its in sit spacin I for rep estrian cal ener ice life ABLE CTUF ER LI	nding tes w og an olacir and a rgy sa of ov AL <sup>-</sup> RER GH1 IGH	g phot ith ex d low ng up area li avings er 100 TERI S: TING	tomet iccellen ver pov to 75 ightin s of 65 0,000 l NAT	ric performance t uniformity, greater wer density. It is JW metal halide in g applications with % and expected nours. E ALLEON" SERIES PER L" SERIES		PBA Project No.: 2020.0233 Project UPS HOWELL EMPLOYEE PARKING LOT IMPROVEMENTS
EXAMPLE: DSX1 LED	) P7 40K	( T3M I	MVO	)LT SF	Pa nl	TAIR2 PIRHN DDBXD		
Distribution         T1S       Type I short (Automotive)         T2S       Type II short         T2M       Type II medium         T3S       Type II medium         T3S       Type III short         T3S       Type III short         T3M       Type III medium         T4M       Type II medium         TFTM       Forward throw medium	hort <sup>2</sup> um <sup>2</sup> trol <sup>3</sup> utoff <sup>3</sup> cutoff <sup>3</sup>	Voltage <u>MVOLT</u> <sup>4</sup> 120 <sup>5</sup> 208 <sup>5</sup> 240 <sup>5</sup> 277 <sup>5</sup> 347 <sup>5</sup> 480 <sup>5</sup> <u>Other opt</u>	Mo SI RI SI RI SI KJ	Punting hipped ir PA PA /BA PUMBA PUMBA hipped so MA8 DDB	ncluded Squ Roi Wa Squ Roi <b>eparately</b> XD U Ma (sp	Iare pole mounting Ind pole mounting Il bracket <sup>2</sup> Jare pole universal mounting adaptor <sup>6</sup> und pole universal mounting adaptor <sup>6</sup> r st arm mounting bracket adaptor ecify finish) <sup>7</sup> Finish (required)		Project Location 1183 FENDT DRIVE HOWELL, MI 48834
<ul> <li>High/low, motion/ambient sensor, 8-15' mounti ambient sensor enabled at 5fc <sup>16,17</sup></li> <li>High/low, motion/ambient sensor, 15-30' mount ambient sensor enabled at 5fc <sup>16,17</sup></li> <li>High/low, motion/ambient sensor, 8-15' mounti ambient sensor enabled at 1fc <sup>16,17</sup></li> <li>Bi-level, motion/ambient sensor, 15-30' mountir ambient sensor enabled at 1fc <sup>16,17</sup></li> <li>Field adjustable output <sup>15</sup></li> <li>Field adjustable output <sup>15</sup></li> </ul>	ing height, nting height, ing height, ng height,	Shipped HS Hc SF Sir DF Dc L90 Le R90 Rii Shipped BS Bir EGS Ex	installe ouse-side ngle fuse ouble fuse ouble fuse ft rotated separat rd spikes cternal gla	ed e shield <sup>18</sup> (120, 277, e (208, 240 d optics <sup>1</sup> ed optics <sup>1</sup> tely <sup>19</sup> are shield	', 347V) <sup>5</sup> 0, 480V) <sup>5</sup>	DDBXD     Dark bronze       DBLXD     Black       DNAXD     Natural aluminum       DWHXD     White       DDBTXD     Textured dark bronze       DBLBXD     Textured black       DNATXD     Textured natural aluminum       DWHGXD     Textured white		Sheet Name ELECTRICAL SCHEDULES AND LIGHTING CUTSHEETS
						Page 1 of 8		
		80				I		Engineer's Seal
	WRE				Y			MYRON SCOTT GIBBS ENGINEER No. 61469 € FUILING APOFESSIONA
				E EPC-40	EDULE 40			DATE SIGNED: 09-02-2020
	HN/THWN-2	HHW-Z LLIC TUBING (EMT)	DUIT (RSC)	LIC CONDUIT (RNC) TYPE	LYETHYLENE (HDPE) SCH			Revisions         REV       ISSUED FOR       DATE       BY         Image: Instant state states
	COPPER, TYPE TH	COPPER, TYPE XI ELECTRICAL META	RIGID STEEL CON	RIGID NON-METAL	HIGH DENSITY PO			
IURE		X X X	X	X	X			Know what's <b>below.</b> <b>Call</b> before you dig. Min Three Days prior to digging
	×	X	x					
BJECT TO DAMAGE	X X X	X X					ion	SME Project No. 084617.00
IGID STEEL SWEEPS WHERE (		is pen		X ATE V	VALLS	<b> </b>	uct	Project Manager:
IALT.							strı	S. GIBBS
							ons	B. REYNOLDS CADD:
							or C	D. ABB Checked By:
Γ							<b>F</b> C	S. GIBBS Sheet No.
NOTE: SHOW	SC N M	)ME  AY	S N	OT	IBC Al	LS AND ABBREVIATIONS PPLY TO THIS PROJECT.	Not	E002
ISSUE	DF	0	R	S	T	E PLAN APPROVAL	]	DRAWING NOTE: SCALE DEPICTED IS MEANT FOR 24" X 36" AND WILL SCALE INCORRECTLY IF PRINTED ON ANY OTHER SIZE MEDIA NO REPRODUCTION SHALL BE MADE WITHOUT THE PRIOR CONSENT OF SME © 2020

![](_page_69_Figure_0.jpeg)

# SITE PLAN GENERAL NOTES:

- 1. THESE NOTES ARE GENERIC GUIDELINES ONLY. ELECTRICAL CONTRACTOR'S PERSONNEL ON SITE SHALL BE THOROUGHLY FAMILIAR WITH THE PUBLISHED SPECIFICATIONS FOR EXACT DESCRIPTIONS OF SCOPE, METHODS, AND MATERIAL.
- 2. THESE DRAWINGS REPRESENT THE GENERAL EXTENT AND ARRANGEMENT OF SYSTEMS, BUT ARE NOT TO BE CONSIDERED FABRICATION DRAWINGS. COORDINATE WITH OTHER TRADES, AND PROVIDE EACH SYSTEM COMPLETE, INCLUDING ALL NECESSARY COMPONENTS, FITTINGS, AND OFFSETS.
- 3. CONDUCT A SURVEY TO IDENTIFY ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES. CALL 811 PRIOR TO EXCAVATION.
- 4. UTILITIES SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS ARE FOR REFERENCE ONLY. COORDINATE EXACT LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES, AND ROUTING OF ALL NEW UNDERGROUND UTILITIES PRIOR TO EXCAVATION.
- 5. DEWATER TRENCHES PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF CONDUITS. PROVIDE WATER TIGHT FITTINGS ON ALL UNDERGROUND CONDUITS.
- 6. COORDINATE DEMOLITION WORK, AND ELECTRICAL AND TELEPHONE SERVICES TO THE SITE, WITH THE RESPECTIVE LOCAL UTILITY COMPANY REPRESENTATIVES PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK. INCLUDE ALL ASSOCIATED COST/FEES BY THE UTILITY COMPANIES IN THE BID PRICE.
- 7. INSTALL UNDERGROUND CONDUITS 42" BELOW FINISHED GRADE, MINIMUM, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- 8. COORDINATE SERVICE SHUT-DOWNS WITH ALL TRADES INVOLVED ON SITE AND OBTAIN WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION FROM OWNER 72 HOURS PRIOR TO ANY ELECTRICAL AND/OR TELEPHONE SHUT-DOWN.
- 9. REMOVE ALL DE-ENERGIZED CONDUCTORS FROM SITE AT COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT.
- 10. OUTDOOR LIGHTING BRANCH CIRCUIT WIRING SHALL BE MINIMUM #8 AWG CONDUCTORS (XHHW-2), IN MINIMUM 1" DIA. CONDUIT, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- 11. SPARE CONDUITS SHALL INCLUDE PULL STRING AND SHALL BE TERMINATED WITH A CAP.
- 12. EXCAVATE THE ENTIRE LENGTH OF TRENCH TO PROPERLY SET DUCT ELEVATIONS.

# **DEMOLITION KEY NOTES**:

- A. REMOVE CONDUCTORS IN ALL EXTERIOR CONDUIT BACK TO SOURCE. EXTERIOR CONDUIT TO REMAIN WHERE INDICATED.
- B. REMOVE POLE, BASE, AND LIGHTING FIXTURES COMPLETE. TURN FIXTURES OVER TO OWNER.
- C. REMOVE CONDUIT WHERE INDICATED.
- D. REMOVE CONDUIT AND CONDUCTORS FOR PARKING LOT LIGHTING INSIDE BUILDING BACK TO SOURCE.

![](_page_69_Picture_20.jpeg)

**ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL** 

![](_page_70_Figure_0.jpeg)

# SITE PLAN GENERAL NOTES:

- 1. THESE NOTES ARE GENERIC GUIDELINES ONLY. ELECTRICAL CONTRACTOR'S PERSONNEL ON SITE SHALL BE THOROUGHLY FAMILIAR WITH THE PUBLISHED SPECIFICATIONS FOR EXACT DESCRIPTIONS OF SCOPE, METHODS, AND MATERIAL.
- 2. THESE DRAWINGS REPRESENT THE GENERAL EXTENT AND ARRANGEMENT OF SYSTEMS, BUT ARE NOT TO BE CONSIDERED FABRICATION DRAWINGS. COORDINATE WITH OTHER TRADES, AND PROVIDE EACH SYSTEM COMPLETE, INCLUDING ALL NECESSARY COMPONENTS, FITTINGS, AND OFFSETS.
- 3. CONDUCT A SURVEY TO IDENTIFY ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES. CALL 811 PRIOR TO EXCAVATION.
- 4. UTILITIES SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS ARE FOR REFERENCE ONLY. COORDINATE EXACT LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES, AND ROUTING OF ALL NEW UNDERGROUND UTILITIES PRIOR TO EXCAVATION.
- 5. DEWATER TRENCHES PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF CONDUITS. PROVIDE WATER TIGHT FITTINGS ON ALL UNDERGROUND CONDUITS.
- 6. COORDINATE DEMOLITION WORK, AND ELECTRICAL AND TELEPHONE SERVICES TO THE SITE, WITH THE RESPECTIVE LOCAL UTILITY COMPANY REPRESENTATIVES PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK. INCLUDE ALL ASSOCIATED COST/FEES BY THE UTILITY COMPANIES IN THE BID PRICE.
- 7. INSTALL UNDERGROUND CONDUITS 42" BELOW FINISHED GRADE, MINIMUM, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- 8. COORDINATE SERVICE SHUT-DOWNS WITH ALL TRADES INVOLVED ON SITE AND OBTAIN WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION FROM OWNER 72 HOURS PRIOR TO ANY ELECTRICAL AND/OR TELEPHONE SHUT-DOWN.
- 9. REMOVE ALL DE-ENERGIZED CONDUCTORS FROM SITE AT COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT.
- 10. OUTDOOR LIGHTING BRANCH CIRCUIT WIRING SHALL BE MINIMUM #8 AWG CONDUCTORS (XHHW-2), IN MINIMUM 1" DIA. CONDUIT, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- 11. SPARE CONDUITS SHALL INCLUDE PULL STRING AND SHALL BE TERMINATED WITH A CAP.
- 12. EXCAVATE THE ENTIRE LENGTH OF TRENCH TO PROPERLY SET DUCT ELEVATIONS.

# **(#)** CONSTRUCTION KEY NOTES

- 1. PROVIDE 27'-6" ROUND TAPERED ALUMINUM POLE ON 2'-6" CONCRETE BASE.
- 2. PROVIDE (1)1" SCHEDULE 40 PVC CONDUIT WITH 2#8 & 1#8G.
- 3. INTERCEPT EXISTING CONDUIT AND INSTALL 24"x24"x24" HANDHOLE.
- 4. PROVIDE 2#8 & 1#8G IN EXISTING EXTERIOR CONDUIT. PROVIDE NEW WIRING IN POLE TO FIXTURE.
- 5. PROVIDE 2#8 & 1#8G IN 3/4"C INSIDE BUILDING. RECONNECT PARKING LOT LIGHT FIXTURES TO EXISTING CONTACTOR ADJACENT TO (E)MDP-1.
- 6. EXISTING CONDUIT TO REMAIN AS SPARE.

![](_page_70_Picture_22.jpeg)

SME

www.sme-usa.com

Ra

Peter Basso Associates Inc

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

5145 Livernois, Suite 100

Troy, Michigan 48098-3276

Tel: 248-879-5666 Fax: 248-879-0007

www.PeterBassoAssociates.com

PBA Project No.: 2020.0233

EMPLOYEE PARKING LOT

1183 FENDT DRIVE

Project

**UPS HOWELL** 

Project Location

IMPROVEMENTS

![](_page_71_Figure_1.jpeg)

# LIGHTING POLE BASE DETAIL NO SCALE

NOTE:

- 1. PROVIDE PRECAST CONCRETE BASE AS MANUFACTURED BY NORTHERN CONCRETE PIPE, INC. OR APPROVED EQUAL. 2. CONCRETE REINFORCEMENTS SHALL BE BARE, ZINC
- GALVANIZED, OR ELECTRICALLY CONDUCTIVE COATED STEEL. BOND ALL CONCRETE REINFORCEMENTS AND ANCHOR BOLTS TOGETHER SO THAT SYSTEM IS ELECTRICALLY CONTINUOUS.

				(E)	PAI	NELI	BOA	RD	MD	<b>-</b> 1				
#	LOAD TYPE	DESCRIPTION	CB TYPE	CB	VA	ØA	ØB	ØC	VA	СВ	CB TYPE	DESCRIPTION	LOAD TYPE	#
1	NC		EXIST		27713	31593			3880		EXIST		М	2
3	NC	(E)CDP-1 PANEL	EXIST	125	27713		31593		3880	30	EXIST	(E)A/C UNIT	м	4
5	NC		EXIST		27713			31593	3880	1	EXIST		М	6
7	L	(E)LIGHTING (EMERGENCY)	EXIST	20	4432	8864			4432	20	EXIST	(E)LIGHTING	L	8
9	L	(E)LIGHTING	EXIST	20	4432		8864		4432	20	EXIST	(E)LIGHTING	L	10
11	L	LIGHTING (PHOTOCELL)	EXIST	20	3562			7994	4432	20	EXIST	(E)LIGHTING	L	12
13	L	(E)LIGHTING (EMERGENCY)	EXIST	20	4432	8864			4432	20	EXIST	(E)LIGHTING	L	14
15	L	(E)LIGHTING	EXIST	20	4432		8864		4432	20	EXIST	(E)LIGHTING	L	16
17	NC		EXIST		22170			26602	4432	20	EXIST	(E)LIGHTING	L	18
19	NC	(E)RP1–1	EXIST	100	22170	26602			4432	20	EXIST	(E)LIGHTING	L	20
21	NC		EXIST		22170		26602		4432	20	EXIST	(E)LIGHTING	L	22
23	L	(E)LIGHTING	EXIST	20	4432			8864	4432	20	EXIST	(E)LIGHTING	L	24
25	МН		EXIST		4739	9171			4432	20	EXIST	(E)LIGHTING	L	26
27	МН	(E)EXHAUST FANS	EXIST	20	4739		9171		4432	20	EXIST	(E)LIGHTING	L	28
29	MH		EXIST		4739			9171	4432	20	EXIST	(E)LIGHTING	L	30
						85094	85094	84224						
						ØA	ØB	ØC				FEEDER AND		
								D	EMAND	CALCULA	TED	OVERCURRENT		
	PANELE	BOARD INFORMATION	BRANCH	CIRCUI	T CONNE	CTED LO	<u>AD</u>	E	ACTOR	DEMAND		<u>SIZING</u> <u>NOTES:</u>		
	VOLTAC	GE: <u>480Y/277</u>	CONTINU	IOUS LO	AD (C)			-	100%		_	125%		_
	BUS AI	MPACITY: <u>400A</u>	ELECTRIC	C HEAT	(E)			-	100%		_	125%		-
	MAIN T	TYPE:	NON-CO	NTINUO	US LOAD	) (NC)	149649	-	100%	149649	_	100% <u>149649</u>		_
	MINIMU	M A.I.C.: <u>22,000</u>	KITCHEN	LOAD	(K)			-	100%		_	100%		_
	MOUNT	ING: <u>SURFACE</u>	RECEPTA	ACLE BA	SE LOAD	D (R)		-	100%		-	100%		_
		FEED-THROUGH LUGS	RECEPTA	ACLE DE	MAND LO	OAD (R)		-	50%		_	100%		_
		DOUBLE LUGS	LIGHTING	; LOAD	(L)		78906	-	100%		_	125% 98633		_
		INTEGRAL SPD	ADDITION	VAL TRA	ACK LIGH	TING LOA	'D					100%		_
			MOTORS	, HIGHE	ST LOAD	(MH)	14217	-	100%	14217	_	125%17771		_
	PANELE	BOARD LOCATION	MOTORS	, REMAI	NING LO	AD (M)	11640		100%	11640	_	100%		_
	W	EST WALL (CENTER)	NOTE: DE	MAND AN	id sizing	INFORMATIC	)n is	TOT	AL(KVA):	254.41				_
			CALCULAT	ED FROM	CONNECT	ED LOAD		TOTAL	(AMPS):	306	TOTA	L (AMPS): <u>334</u>		_
©Cop	yright 20	)20 by Peter Basso Associates, Inc												

CONDUIT AS SHOWN

![](_page_71_Figure_11.jpeg)

# COMPOSITE HANDHOLE DETAIL FOR ELECTRIC NO SCALE

	<b>SME</b> www.sme-usa.com	
	Peter Basso Associates Inc CONSULTING ENGINEERS 5145 Livernois, Suite 100 Troy, Michigan 48098-3276 Tel: 248-879-5666 Fax: 248-879-0007 www.PeterBassoAssociates.com PBA Project No: 2020.0233	
	UPS HOWELL EMPLOYEE PARKING LOT IMPROVEMENTS	
	Project Location 1183 FENDT DRIVE HOWELL, MI 48834	
	Sheet Name ELECTRICAL DETAILS AND DIAGRAMS	
	Engineer's Seal	
	DATE SIGNED: 09-02-2020 Revisions	
	REV     ISSUED FOR     DATE     BY       Image: State of the state of	
	Know what's below.	0\2020-0233-E7-DT.dwg
	Call before you dig. Min. Three Days prior to digging Date	-0233-00\CAI
U	09/02/2020 SME Project No.	s\2020\2020
ctio	084617.00 Project Manager:	local\project
tru	S. GIBBS	rion: //pba.
SUC	B. REYNOLDS	FILE LOCAT
SO	CADD: D. ABB	ġ
or	Checked By: S. GIBBS	5pm - nhami.
Not F	Sheet No. <b>E701</b>	Sep 01, 2020 - 2:4{
	DRAWING NOTE: SCALE DEPICTED IS MEANT FOR 24" X 36" AND WILL SCALE INCORRECTLY IF PRINTED ON ANY OTHER SIZE MEDIA NO REPRODUCTION SHALL BE MADE WITHOUT THE PRIOR CONSENT OF SME 2020	PLOT DATE:

# **ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL**




0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.8 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 1.2 1.2 1. ×0.200.1 0.4 FOI.6 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.8 0.8 •0.9 1.0 1.1 1.3 1.6 1.6 1. R = 75.00'(R & M)0.5 0.8 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.2 •1,1 •1,1 •1,2 •1,3 •1,4 •1.6 •1.9 •2.1 •1. = 63°46'15"(M) 1.7 1.7 1.6 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.7 2.0 2.3 2.5 2.1.6/2.0/2.7 2.6 2.6 2.5 2.3 2.0 1.8 1.7 1.6 1.6 1.8 2.2 2.7 2.8 2.22.2 2.7 3.5 4.5 4.1 3.7 3.5 3.4 2.9 2.4 2.0 1.7 1.8 2.2 2.8 2.9 3. 4.8 4.2 3.9 3.9 3.2 2.5 2.0 4.8 1.7 1.8 2.3 2.9 2.8 2.8 2.83.9 3.4 3.1 3.0 2.5 2.0 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.9 2.1 2.3 2.5•2.8 •2.6 •2.8 •2.6 •2.2 •1.8 •1.5 •1.4 •1.4 •1.4 •1.4 •1.4 •1.8 •1.9 •1. 2.2 2.2 2.4 2.3 2.0 1.7 1.5 1.4 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.71.9 2.0 2.2 2.1 1.8 1.6  $\times$  1.4 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.4 1.4 1.4 1. 0 8 1.4 1.6 1.8 1.9 1.9 1.7 1.5 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.3 1. 0.8 1.3 1.5 1.7 1.8 1.8 1.6 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1. 1.1 1.4 1.6 1.7 1.7 1.6 1.4 1.3 1.3 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.3 1.5 1.6 1. 1.2 1.5 1.8 1.8 1.7 1.6 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.3  $1.5 \times 1.7$  1.9 1.92 ----- 18'---24' -**2**.0 **2**.1 **2**.2 **2**.4 **2**.1 **1**.8 **1**.4 **1**.2 **1**.1 **1**.1 **1**.2 **1**.4 **1**.7 **2**.3 **2**.6 **2**. 4.0 3.6 3.2 3.0 2.8 2.2 1.6 1.3 1.1 1.0 1.1 1.3 1.8 2.4 2.8 3. 4.2 3.7 3.6 2.7 2.0 1.5 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.4 1.8 2.5 2.8 2. ₩ 💮 1.9 2.3 2.8 3.7 3.6 4.1 3.8 3.6 3.4 2.9 2.3 1.8 1.5 1.4 1.3 1.5 1.7 2.2 2.4 2.9 $1.6 \qquad 1.8 \qquad 2.3 \qquad 3.0 \qquad 3.7 \qquad 3.4 \qquad \times 3.3 \qquad 3.1 \qquad 2.8 \qquad 2.4 \qquad 2.1 \qquad 1.7 \qquad 1.4 \qquad 1.3 \qquad 1.2 \qquad 1.3 \qquad 1.5 \qquad 1.8 \qquad 2.0 \qquad 2.$ •1.2 •1.4 2.6 2.5 2.3 1.9 1.6 1.4 1.3 1.1 1.1 1.3 1.5 1.7 1. 1.8 1.9 1.7 1.5 1.3 1.1 1.0 1.0 0.9 1.0 ΗĦ 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.9 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.3 1.2 1.1 0.9 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.7 0.8 0.8 0.9 0.9 S86 0.3  $0.3^{\circ}$   $0.3^{\circ}$  0.5 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.8 0.8 0.7 0.7 0.6  $0.6 ^{\times}$  0.6 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6

Calculation Summary	
Label	
Light Tresspass_Planar	
Parking Lot_Planar	

Units	Avg	Max	Mir
Fc	0.21	1.7	0.0
Fc	2.03	5.5	0.7

-												
0.4 0	.5 0.6	0.6	0.6	•0.6	•0.5	•0.4	•0.3	0.2	•0.1	0.1	•0	ate
7 0.7	0.8	•0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	•0.6	•0.4	•0.3	•0.2	•0.1	•0	σ
1 1.0	• <u>1</u> .2	•1.2	1.2	1.2	•1.0			•0.4	0.2	0.1	•0	
4 <b>1</b> .3	1.5	• <b>1</b> .6	• 1.5	1.4	1.3	1.1	• . 8	•0.4	•0.2 UP	•0.1	'ଞ" ଅ''	
.8 1.6	2.0	•1.9	•1.8	1.8	1.6	• 1.4	•1.0	0.5	UtilePole /n s ug 0.2	•0.1	•0	NO
2 2.	• 2.6	•2.5	2.5	2.3	• <b>1.9</b>	<b>1</b> .6	•1.1	•0.5	0.2	•0.1	•0	REVIS
6 2.9	•3.5	•3.3	2.8	2.7	2.1	•1.7	•1.0	•0.5	0.2	•0.1		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
0 3.6	•4.8	•4.5	•3.5	3.1	2.2	•1.8	•1.1	•0.5	•0.2	•0.1	•0	0 275 -879-00 <sup>-</sup> ates.cor
1 3.7	₽ E	4.9	•3.6	3.2	•2.2	•1.8	•1.1	0.5	0.3	•0.1	•0	Suite 10 88098-33 3 F: 248 oAssoci
.8 3.3	•4.1	•3.9	•3.2 ×	2.9	•2.2	1.8	1.1	0.5	0.3	•0.1	•0	/ernois, chigan ∠ 79-5666 tterBass
4 2.5	•3.1	•2.9	2.7	2.6	•2.1	1.8	1.1	0.5	•0.3	] 0.1 ES	•0	5145 Liv Troy, Mi T: 248-8 www.Pe PBA Pro
1 1.9	× 2.3	•2.2	•2.3	2.3	• <b>2</b> .0	1.7	• 1.2	0.5	•0.3	• • • • • • •	•0	Ĕ
7 1.6	•1.9	•1.9	<b>1</b> .9	× 1.8	•1.7	• <u>1.5</u>	•1.1	0.6	•0.3	0.2	•0	
5 1.4	•1.6	1.7	• <u>1.</u> 7	1.7	1.5	• 1.3	•1.0	•0.6	0.3	⊥ ⊑\$0.2	•0	E Base A CONSULTING
3 1.2	× •1 4	•1.6	1.6	1.6	•1.4	•1.1	•0.9	•0.6	•0.3	0.2	•0	Pete
2 1.1	1.3	•1.5	•1.5	1.5	•1.3	1.1	• 0.9	•0.5	•0.3	•0.2	<b>B</b> •0	
3 1.2	•1.5	•1.6	1.6	1.6	1.4	•1.1	•0.9	•0.5	•0 <mark>83 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 </mark>	•0.2	8 •0	lts
5 1.4	1.6	•1.9	•1.7	<b>1</b> .7	•1.5	•1.3	•1.0	•0.6	•0 <sup>H</sup>	•0.2	•0	vemei
8 1.6	•1.8	•2.1	•1.9	1.9	•1.7	•1.6	1.2	0.6	0.3	0.2	•0	Impro
1 2.0	× - 182.1	• <u>2.5</u> 18'	2.3	2.5 FI			6' —⊳ 1.3	• • . 8. 6	0.3	•0.2	•0	ıg Lot
4 2.4	2.8	•3.2	•2.8	2.7	• 2.2	• 1.9	•	• • <u>\$</u> .e	•0.3	•0.2	•0	LE Barkir
.7 3.0	3.8	•4.5	•3.4	× 3.0	•2.4	•2.0	<b>1</b> .3	0.9.6	•0.3	• <b>0</b> .2	•0	ECT TIT Howe loyee
0 3.4	4.6	• • • •	• 3.9	3.5	•2.5	•2.0	1.3	• 0 9. 6	•0.3	•0.1	•0	UPS UPS Empl
.9 3 3	* 4.3	• • • 5./1	3.7	3.3	•2.5	•2.0	1.3	•0.7	•0.3	•0.1	•0	
.6 2.7	•3.3	3.7	•3.0	2.7	•2.3	•1.9	•1.3	•0.7	•0.3	•0.1	•0	
3 2.2	× 2.3	•2.6	•2.4	2.4	2.0	•1.8	•1.3	• . 8	•0.3	•0.1	•0	
0 1.8	• <u>1</u> .8	2.0	•1 - 8	×	•1.6	•1.4	<u> </u>		•0.3	•0.2	•0	
6 1 4	1 4	•1 7	•1 5	1 5	•1 3	•1 2		•0.6	•0.3	•0.2	•0	ations
					1.1	•0.9	•0.6	•0.5	•0.3 <sup>T</sup>	<sup>JP</sup> 0.1	•0	Calcul
<b>0</b> .8 0	.8 0.9	0.9	0,9	•0.9	•0.8	•0.7	•0.5	•0.4	•0.2	• 0.1	•0	ET TIT
0.5 0	<u>W</u> 310	.00' (?	K&M) 0.6	•0.7	0.6	•0.5	•0.4	•0.3	•0.2	•0.1	<b>ER</b> " •0	
					-							09-02-2020 ISSUE

Avg/Min

N.A.

2.90

Max/Min

N.A.

7.86

L201

SHEET No.

For Review

# GENOA CHARTER TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARING SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 6:30 P.M. MINUTES

<u>CALL TO ORDER:</u> Vice-Chairman Rauch called the meeting of the Genoa Charter Township Planning Commission to order at 6:32 p.m. Present were Marianne McCreary, Eric Rauch, Jim Mortensen, Jeff, Dhaenens, and Glynis McBain, Absent were Chris Grajek and Jill Rickard. Also present were Kelly VanMarter, Community Development Director/Assistant Township Manager, Gary Markstrom of Tetra Tech and Brian Borden of Safebuilt Studio. There were 15 audience members present.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: The pledge of allegiance was recited.

## APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

**Moved** by Commissioner Mortensen, seconded by Commissioner Dhaenens, to approve the agenda as presented. **The motion carried unanimously.** 

CALL TO THE PUBLIC: The call to the public was made at 6:33 pm with no response.

**OPEN PUBLIC HEARING #1**...Review of a special use application, environmental impact assessment and site plan for a proposed 28,851 sq. ft. addition for a retreat center which will include overnight stays located at 1391 Kellogg, southwest corner of Kellogg and McClements Roads. The request is petitioned by the Chaldean Catholic Church of the U.S.A.

- A. Recommendation of Special Use Application
- B. Recommendation of Environmental Impact Assessment (7-31-2020)
- C. Recommendation of Site Plan (8-26-2020)

Ms. Eavan Yaldo of Saroki Architecture was present representing the applicant. Father Andrew Seba, Father Manuel Boji, and Vincent Jarbow, CFO for the applicant, were present.

Ms. Yaldo stated the property is 160 acres and includes Euler Lake. They would like to construct a 28,851 square foot retreat center to be used for religious retreats, youth retreats, as well as to foster community gatherings. These retreats will occur mainly on the weekends. It will be located on the southwest portion of the property and adjacent to the existing church. Over the last 10 years, when the church was first built, it has been underutilized. One portion of the proposed building is one story, a portion is two story and there is a walkout basement.

There are 20 each two-bedroom units; however, it can sleep a total of 80 people. She noted there will be an enclosed walkway connecting the retreat center to the existing church.

She showed the proposed site plan and building elevations. They do have their proposed building materials and can supply them if the Planning Commission would like.

Commissioner Mortensen asked if it will be available to the public. Ms. Yaldo stated it will be open to other faiths; however, they must abide by the rules and standards that will be put in place by the Caldean Catholic Church. He asked if alcohol will be served anywhere on the property. Ms. Yaldo stated this retreat center will not have alcohol; however, she is not sure about other locations on the campus.

Commissioner Dhaenens questioned where the retreats are currently held. Father Seba stated their retreats are held in different locations in Detroit or Lansing.

Mr. Borden reviewed his letter dated September 2, 2020.

- Provided comments from the Township Engineer and Brighton Area Fire Authority are addressed, his opinion is that the special land use standards of Section 19.03 are generally met.
- The Commission may wish to request building material calculations as it is mostly a brick building.
- The Commission may wish to consider whether the existing gravel parking lot should be improved as part of this project.
- The Commission may wish to require landscaping around the proposed detention pond. He calculated that 7 trees and 70 shrubs should be planted, although this property is heavily wooded.
- The Planning Commission must approve the use of rough sawn cedar for the waste receptacle enclosure. His opinion is that the proposed material is appropriate for this site.
- The Planning Commission may require submission of a photometric plan per Section 12.03.07 of the Ordinance due to the addition of light fixtures. Based on the type and numbers of fixtures, he believes they will meet the Ordinance.
- If approval is granted, the applicant must obtain a sign permit from the Township prior to its installation.

Mr. Borden noted that if this project is approved, it may be appropriate to have the Township evaluate the Future Land Use classification for the property during its next Master Plan review period.

Mr. Markstrom reviewed his letter dated September 10, 2020.

- The Petitioner will need approval from the Livingston County Health Department for the proposed well and septic updates. This should be obtained and provided to the Township for their records.
- The Petitioner shows parking calculations on the plans. Currently the plans show seven new spaces, while the parking calculations show 74 spaces required for the proposed use. The Petitioner is proposing to share parking with the church, which has 102 parking spaces currently. Due to the church and retreat center being used mostly by the same group of people, he finds this co-use of parking to be reasonable.
- The Genoa Township Zoning Ordinance requires that the parking lot be hard surface with concrete curb and gutter. However, an aggregate parking lot may be considered as a Low Impact Development alternative to the zoning requirements. The Petitioner should provide more information on the intended use of this parking lot. If the Petitioner is intending to use the lot during the winter and to clear snow, the parking lot should be paved with curb and gutter as the Zoning Ordinance describes. As the Petitioner is proposing a paved access drive through the existing gravel parking lot, the petitioner should at least consider paving the parking spaces adjacent to the drive.
- The tributary area shown on Sheet 6 does not encompass all of the proposed site improvements, but it does collect some of the existing drive and church that was not previously captured by on site storm sewer. The development is proposed on a small portion of the entire site. The parcel contains ponds and basins that collect all the runoff from the developed portion of the property. Since the tributary area to the new basins is essentially the same size as the proposed impervious area addition we feel the proposed detention meets the intent of the storm water management guidelines and is acceptable as presented. He noted that they are making improvements to the storm water management on the site.

Ms. Yaldo acknowledged receipt of the Brighton Area Fire Authority's letter. She has been working with the Fire Marshal and she will address their concerns. She is also working with the Livingston County Health Department.

She stated that many of the retreats are youth retreats and the kids are bussed in. With regard to the adult retreats, most people carpool or are also bussed in so the amount of vehicular traffic and parking will be low; therefore she does not feel it is necessary to pave the parking lot. The applicant would like to keep the natural look of the area as well as reduce the amount of runoff from the site.

Commissioner Mortensen noted that the Township Engineer recommended at least paving the parking spaces adjacent to the drive. Vice-Chairman Rauch agrees, especially since Ms. Yaldo stated that there will be buses coming in. Chairman Dhaenens agrees.

The call to the public was made at 7:10 pm.

Mr. Mike Berean of 1273 Euler Road has concerns with the addition of a 30,000 square foot building and the capacity being 80 people every weekend, the potential for a decrease in their

property values, and the increased drainage, which already drains onto his property. He does not believe this is the appropriate site for this type of use. This will affect all of the residents in the area. He has lived in his home for two years and has heard the music from the camp in his home with the windows closed.

Mr. James Drouillard of 6781 Filice stated that 300 feet of his property borders this property. He questioned what type of people will be at the retreats and where will they be coming from. If the building will accommodate 80 people then he believes there will always be 80 people there. He wants to know how the drainage and the septic system will affect him. Will there be more garbage trucks coming to the site? Will there be a backup generator? He is concerned about the traffic and speed of drivers on Kellogg Road as there are many walkers and bicycle riders on this road, and how this use will affect the condition of the road. He asked why he didn't know about this until the end of August. He is concerned about the condition of the existing fence; it has not been maintained.

Ms. Patricia Kopicko of 6843 Filice Drive has complained numerous times about the noise from the camp. She cannot be in the yard most times due to the noise. She is concerned about a 30,000 square foot building in their residential neighborhood.

Ms. Dori Berean of 1273 Euler Road stated trees have fallen on the fence and it has not been repaired, they have taken down trees that were protected, she finds alcohol bottles on both sides of the road, and the parties and concerts on the property are loud. This will negatively affect the neighbors and the property values.

Father Andrew Seba agrees with many of the comments made this evening, specifically regarding the maintenance. It costs a lot of money to maintain the property so they need income. The intention of the use of the proposed building is for people to come to escape noise; they are coming to a religious Catholic retreat. He appreciates the neighbors' concerns. He wants to work with them and have a better relationship.

Father Manuel Boji reiterated what Father Seba stated regarding the events that will be taking place at the new facility.

Mr. Berean asked if the Township knew that the church needed income when it approved their plan. Will they have the money to maintain the new facility or will they need to hold more events for their income.

Commissioner Mortensen noted that when the church was first built, there were many noise complaints within the first few years and the Township acted upon those complaints. He is not aware that there have been more complaints. Ms. VanMarter stated she receives approximately one complaint per year regarding the noise.

Mr. Robert Kopicko of 6843 Filice Drive has taken measurements of the noise and has presented them to the Township. They are in the 95 range from 150 feet away. Currently the lights in the parking lot shine into his home in the winter when the trees have no leaves.

The call to the public was closed at 7:59 pm.

Commissioner McCreary is a supporter of people being allowed to use their property as they wish and that the applicant is trying to bring people together for retreats. The question she asks herself is will this alter the character of the area. She does not feel that this is the appropriate place for this. It is a rural area surrounded by five-acre parcels.

Commissioner Dhaenens is familiar with this site as he used to camp there as a child. Because of the current use of the property and the noise concerns and parties, the neighbors do not believe that this place will change and that it will be more quiet . He thinks it will be a great place for a retreat and the noise issue does need to be addressed.

Commissioner McBain noted that the current zoning of the property allows for this type of use.

Mr. Jarbow stated that if this property starts to be used for the retreats as what is being proposed this evening, there would no longer be wedding receptions, family reunions, concerts, etc. The income generated from having these events was not used to maintain the property. They are a very minor source of income. It is not intended to be a profit making facility.

Ms. Yaldo reiterated that they are here this evening proposing a retreat center to have a quiet, meditative place to pray in a church and in a peaceful setting, and to remove people from the noise of the world.

Commissioner Mortensen is not in favor of the Township approving the expansion of a use that currently has violations of the sound ordinance. He needs the applicant to absolutely guarantee that they will comply with the sound ordinance.

**Moved** by Commissioner Mortensen, seconded by Commissioner McCreary, to table the request for a Special Land Use, Site Plan, and Environmental Impact Assessment for the Prophet Elijah Retreat Center to allow the applicant to come back with information regarding how they will address the noise concerns of the neighborhood. **he motion carried unanimously**.

**OPEN PUBLIC HEARING #2.**.. Review of a site plan and environmental impact assessment for re-approval of an expired project for a 4,661 sq. ft. addition for enclosed storage, located at 1275 Grand Oaks Drive, Brighton. The request is petitioned by Tadbad, LLC.

- A. Recommendation of Environmental Impact Assessment (7-28-2020)
- B. Disposition of Site Plan (7-27-2020)

Mr. Tom Dewitt, the owner of the building, and Mike Long from Dewitt's radiator, who is the tenant, were present. Mr. Dewitt stated he applied for and was granted approval in 2015 for an addition to his building. He would like to begin the construction of the plan that was approved by the Township at that time..

Mr. Borden stated that nothing has changed since the original plan. He has reviewed the Township Ordinance to see if there were any changes that would affect this project and there were none.

Mr. Markstrom reviewed his letter dated September 9, 2020.

- The proposed site plan and gravel access drive will need to be approved by the Brighton Area Fire Authority and this approval should be provided to the Township prior to site plan approval.
- The proposed addition will increase the net impervious area on the site, but the existing on-site detention basins are shown to have adequate capacity. Additional spot elevations near the corner of the building, indicating positive drainage towards the existing detention basin should be added to the construction plans. This comment was on the January 2015 review letter also.

Vice-Chairman Rauch advised Mr. Dewitt that the concerns noted in the Fire Marshal's letter dated September 8, 2020 shall be addressed. Mr. Dewitt's architect has reviewed the letter and will be working with them to address their requirements. He added that there may be areas where the applicant and the Fire Marshal can compromise on some of his comments in their letter.

The call to the public was made at 8:21 pm with no response.

**Moved** by Commissioner Mortensen, seconded by Commissioner McBain, to recommend to the Township Board approval of the Environmental Impact Assessment dated July 28, 2020 for Tadbad, LLC. **The motion carried unanimously.** 

**Moved** by Commissioner Mortensen, seconded by Commissioner Dhaenens, to approval the Site Plan dated July 27, 2020 for Dewitt Radiator, subject to the following:

- 1. The applicant shall meet the requirements listed in the Township Engineer's letter dated September 9, 2020
- 2. The applicant shall work with the Fire Marshal to address his concerns.

The motion carried unanimously.

**OPEN PUBLIC HEARING #3...** Review of a site plan and environmental impact assessment for proposed exterior building renovations and site improvements to the existing commercial building located at 2700 E. Grand River Avenue on the south side of Grand River, east of Chilson Road. The request is petitioned by Partlund Development, LLC.

- A Recommendation of Environmental Impact Assessment (8-18-2020)
- B. Disposition of Site Plan (8-18-2020)

Mr. Shawn Toole, the project manager, Steve Baibak of Desine Inc, and Todd Ballou, the architect, was present.

Mr. Toole stated they are working on the former Tenpenny Furniture store at 2700 East Grand River and are requesting to increase the size of the rear parking lot.

Mr. Baibak stated this will be an improvement to the site. They would be increasing the permeable area of the greenspace, adding landscaping, and increasing the quality of the asphalt.

Mr. Borden reviewed his letter dated September 2, 2020.

- If the Commission considers favorable action on the site plan, it should be conditioned upon execution of the proposed land transfer between the subject site and the adjacent property to the east. Ms. VanMarter stated that this land transfer is pending at this time.
- The applicant is deficient in the side and rear parking lot setbacks. The Planning Commission may reduce side and rear parking setbacks given the use of shared drives and connected parking lots.
- The applicant must provide lot coverage calculations for both building and impervious surfaces.
- He would like the applicant to present building material samples or a color rendering to the Planning Commission.
- He agrees that the applicant is adding additional landscaping; however, the landscape plan is deficient in greenbelt, parking lot, and buffer zone plantings along both sides and the rear setback. He acknowledges the difficulty in adding plantings to the greenbelt area in the front of the site. The Planning Commission has discretion over the waiving of this requirement.
- Light fixture and pole details must be provided as part of a lighting plan.
- Maximum lighting intensities are exceeded along the east and rear property lines.
- The applicant must obtain a sign permit from the Township prior to installation of any new signage.

Mr. Baibak has sent the photometric plan back to the lighting engineer and he is confident that they can meet the Ordinance requirements. They can add some additional landscaping, such as shrubs and trees in the areas noted and he agrees that adding plantings to the front of the site would be difficult.

Mr. Markstrom reviewed his letter dated September 9, 2020.

- The Petitioner is proposing to discharge the onsite drainage to an existing retention pond on the parcel to the south. The petitioner has provided calculations to show that the proposed site improvements lower the amount of impervious surface on the site. A drainage easement should be obtained and provided to the Township as part of the site plan approval. The Petitioner should also obtain approval from the Livingston County Drain Commissioner. He noted that the Drain Commissioner is withholding approval until comments in their letter dated August 28, 2020 have been addressed.
- The revised site plan no longer proposes a fire suppression lead. The petitioner should verify this is correct.
- The proposed 8-inch water main will be public and should be shown in a 25-foot-wide water main easement.

• Once the site plan is approved, construction plans must be submitted to MHOG for review and permitting through EGLE.

Vice-Chairman Rauch asked the applicant if they have received the Fire Marshal's letter dated August 8, 2020. Mr. Baibak stated he has and they will be able to meet all of his requirements.

Vice-Chairman Rauch stated the Livingston County Drain Commissioner's letter was included in the review letters and these issues and concerns will have to be addressed.

Commissioner McCreary asked how many tenants will be allowed. Mr. Toole showed the site plan and colored renderings, showing that there are three addresses for this building; however, it is being built to accommodate up to eight tenants.

The call to the public was made at 8:56 pm with no response.

**Moved** by Commissioner Mortensen, seconded by Commissioner Dhaenens, to recommend to the Township Board approval of the Environmental Impact Assessment dated August 18, 2020 for the building located at 2700 East Grand River. **The motion carried unanimously**.

**Moved** by Commissioner Mortensen, seconded by Commissioner Dheanans, to approve the Site Plan dated August 18, 2020 for the building located at 2700 East Grand River, subject to the following:

- Execution and recording of a land transfer agreement between this site and the adjacent property to the east shall be obtained and submitted to the Township.
- The applicant will provide lot coverage calculations to Township Staff to ensure the building and surface coverage are within the Township Ordinance.
- The building and colored renderings are acceptable and will become Township property.
- The landscape plan is deficient in various plantings and recognizing the difficulty of the site, the applicant will work with Township staff for appropriate plantings.
- The applicant will provide light fixture and pole details to Township Staff and demonstrate that lighting intensities will not exceed the Township Ordinance across the east and rear property lines.
- The requirements of the Township engineer's letter dated September 2, 2020 shall be met.
- The requirements of the Livingston County Drain Commissioner's letter dated August 28, 2020 shall be met.
- The requirements of the Brighton Area Fire Authority Fire Marshal's letter dated August 28, 2020 shall be met.

The motion carried unanimously.

### ADMINISTRATIVE BUSINESS

#### Staff Report

Ms. VanMarter stated the October Planning Commission meeting will be held on Tuesday, October 13, due to Columbus Day on Monday.

Staff is working on the Master Plan and there may be a joint meeting between the Planning Commission, ZBA, and Township Board at the end of October.

#### Approval of the August 10, 2020 Planning Commission meeting minutes

**Moved** by Commissioner McCreary, seconded by Commissioner Dhaenens, to approve the minutes of the August 10, 2020 Planning Commission Meeting as presented. **The motion** carried unanimously.

#### **Member Discussion**

Commissioner McCreary advised there is a Zoom Meeting being held on water quality issues on Wednesday. If anyone is interested in attending, she can send you the link.

#### Adjournment

**Moved** by Commissioner Mortensen, seconded by Commissioner McCreary, to adjourn the meeting at 9:09 pm. The motion carried unanimously.

Respectfully Submitted,

Patty Thomas, Recording Secretary